EASTERN INTERIOR ALASKA FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL

VOLUME I PUBLIC MEETING

October 15, 1997 Community Hall 8:30 o'clock a.m. Dot Lake, Alaska

COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:

CRAIG FLEENER, CHAIRMAN
PHILIP J. TITUS, VICE CHAIRMAN
NATHANIEL GOOD, SECRETARY
LEE A. TITUS, MEMBER
STEVEN E. GINNIS, MEMBER
CHARLES P. MILLER, SR., MEMBER
GERALD D. NICHOLIA, MEMBER
CALVIN M. TRITT, MEMBER

Vince Mathews, Coordinator

PROCEEDINGS

2 3

1

(On record: 8:45 a.m.)

4 5

MR. FLEENER: Go ahead, Vince, the show is yours.

6 7

8

MR. MATHEWS: All right. Well, what we're doing at this session -- I suppose for the record, I'm Vince Mathews, the Regional Coordinator for Eastern Interior. And we have 10 Paul Starr and Virgil. There are agendas in the back. This is 11 the first time for this council we're going to have an hour or 12 two work session. The idea for the work session came up out of 13 the Tanana meeting, that maybe if we had a better understanding 14 what staff, and what council were going to do, and others were 15 going to do, maybe things would have gone a little bit 16 different than they did in Tanana, okay? So this is a work 17 session. We're just going to kind of go through the agenda, 18 but not actually take action on it, so we know how it's laid 19 out. Also, if there's some things in there that you would like 20 explained differently, or done in a different way, then that's 21 how we would talk about it.

22 23

Okay. Lee is going to try to be here by probably in an 24 hour or so. The rest of the people will be here at 11, so 25 we're going to have to kind of hold off on some of this stuff 26 until probably 11, if we can, meaning actual action items. 27 Does that make sense? So this is kind of a free forum for the 28 work session, so I'm just going to walk through the agenda. 29 you guys have questions about how it's presented, not what is 30 presented, but how to present it, ask. Now, before I do that, 31 the work session I think will be critical for the next meeting. 32 When we actually have proposals in front of us, I think that 33 will be more critical. Pete's probably going to help me out on 34 some of the stuff, but it's kind of get a feel so we know 35 what's going on. The reason we're recording it or on record is 36 because it gets into a touchy area if we have what's called a 37 closed or executive session meeting, so we're actually having a 38 public meeting now, but you're not going to take any actions, 39 okay.

40

41 So if you look -- the agenda's under Tab A, if you want 42 to look at that. I don't need to go through the first couple 43 items. I suppose I need an evaluation from you guys on 44 Item IV. We haven't worked real hard on No. IV, that's opening 45 comments from elder. I don't know if I reminded Chuck of that 46 or not, but partially that's my fault. But in other meetings, 47 it's been very helpful to have an elder here to speak. It can 48 be a prayer or that -- are you guys still comfortable with 49 having that, even though we haven't achieved it as well as we

0003 1 Okay, the next one 2 3 MR. PHILIP TITUS: Vince, No. IV, it's open, anytime you want to get up and say something, right? 6 MR. MATHEWS: Right. That's another thing we need to 7 lay out throughout the meeting. We forget that, because we get 8 dialoguing to ourselves. We have to remember, like let's say Virgil walked in a half hour from now. He'd think, well, I 10 can't interrupt them, I mean, they're really going along. 11 do I -- you know, I don't want to interrupt them. The tone of 12 the meeting is set by the chair, and I haven't talked to the 13 chair on this, but in the past it's been, if someone needs to 14 talk, raise their hand or get a hold of somebody to do that. 15 We need to remember that throughout the meetings some people --16 you know, it's overpowering to come into a room with all these 17 tables, and have people see all these mikes, and they see all 18 the staff here, and they go all, boy, I'm not going to talk. 19 My sense from talking to each of you members, including the new 20 members, is that you want to hear the local subsistence users.

22 get that on the record?

2324

2526

2728

2930

31 32

44

COURT REPORTER: Yes, I did.

MR. MATHEWS: Okay.

MR. FLEENER: All in favor?

(General laughter)

MR. MATHEWS: All right. Okay, I got that one passed.

33 So we need to remember -- I'll try to remind the board -- I

34 mean, the chair and the council, that we need to announce that.

35 Thinking on that, you'll see that I have on here - and in every

36 meeting everybody's laughed at it - but where it says scheduled

37 periods are 11 and 5? We're on a road-connected community

38 here. A lot of people are working 8 to 5 jobs, and we've had

39 complaints in the past. They've not pushed them through, you

40 know, but they've complained to me saying, well, I can't come

41 all day to testify, you know, wait to testify when you bring up

42 moose in Unit 12 or something. So that's why they're there.

43 And that ties you guys into being here at 5:00, obviously.

21 You probably want to hear less from staff, in reality. Did you

Okay, the moment of silence, that started last year, to 46 explain to the other members. We've continued it within the 47 program. It's up to you guys if you want to, but we had -- I 48 can't think of the name of the guy last year. Or the -- it was 49 actually two years. Well, whatever, it was a couple of

50 meetings ago -- that passed away in a plane accident. This is

```
0004
```

a member from Seward Pen that passed away, in your packet.
Craig can quote from it, or whatever. At the end of Tab A is a little bit about his history. I suppose I should ask, do you want to continue with that in the future, if we know of a council member. The Western Interior - Pete was there, too - it turned into one or two elders in McGrath that had passed away. And so that's open to Chuck to -- I hope there's none that have passed away here, but if there is someone that we need to give respect to in the community, this would be an opportunity to do that.

11

Okay, the next item -- I'm kind of just going item by item, but the next one's council member concerns and topics. That's a real important one for me and for other staff, but I'll just speak for myself. That's when you guys start laying out what you think are important topics. And you'll see me take a lot of notes on that, because then I get a feel of where you're going, where you want to go, and what issues are before you. And it also gives a heads-up to the complete staff here that if, you know, Gerald wants to talk about airboat control on the Tanana, then staff can start gearing up for that, and let alone the public. So that's a time where you can explore ideas without saying, I would like this added to the agenda.

24

MR. MILLER: Hey, Vince, I got some students from the 26 school coming in.

27

MR. MATHEWS: Oh, okay.

28 29 30

MR. MILLER: Maybe we could --

31

32 MR. MATHEWS: Well, that's the future leaders of 33 America.

34 35

MR. MILLER: of Dot Lake here.

36

37 MR. MATHEWS: Yeah, I'll recapture. I used to work 38 with school groups, so I think I can handle it.

39 40

(Students enter community hall)

41 42

MR. MATHEWS: You guys can sit up front, if you want. 43 We just started, and we'll get you guys up to speed. And if 44 that's okay with Craig, I'll just bring them up to speed.

45

MR. FLEENER: Sure.

46 47

48 MR. MATHEWS: Okay. Let me just -- for the school that 49 just came in, students I should say, let me recapture where

50 we're at. Okay, where we're at is this is the Eastern Interior

Regional Subsistence Advisory Council. So they're going to probably introduce themselves. We're going through a work session. But very quickly, I'll go over where we're at and then maybe they'll introduce themselves so you have an idea. But basically, Eastern Interior covers from Arctic Village, Venetie area, all the way up there, all the way down here through Northway, and it goes as far west as Tanana, and goes east to the Canadian border. I do have maps in the back, if the class needs them. We don't have a lot, but there is a map back there. These are subsistence leaders that were selected by the Secretary of Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture out of Washington, so if you're wondering how you're connected to Washington, here they are.

14 15

So the subsistence council meets twice a year. They're 16 right now going through a work session where we're just kind 17 of -- kind of like what the teacher does before you have a 18 large assignment, going through what is -- what potentially 19 will happen today and tomorrow, kind of going through topics, 20 trying to get an idea where people may need more information, 21 or where they want things a little bit differently.

22 23

I'm Vince Mathews. I'm the Regional Coordinator for 24 Eastern and Western Interior, and I work for Fish and Wildlife 25 Service. So I'll let them introduce themselves, and then we'll 26 go right back into the work session.

2728

MR. NICHOLIA: Gerald Nicholia from Tanana.

2930

MR. PHILIP TITUS: Philip Titus from Minto.

31 32

MR. FLEENER: Craig Fleener from Fort Yukon.

33 34

MR. MILLER: I think you guys all know me, so

35 36

MR. GOOD: And I'm Nat Good from Delta Junction.

37

38 MR. TRITT: My name's Calvin Tritt. I'm from Venetie, 39 originally from Arctic Village.

40 41

MR. MATHEWS: And Pete may want to introduce himself.

42

43 MR. DeMATTEO: I'm Pete DeMatteo. I'm a biologist. I 44 work with Vince in the Office of Subsistence Management for the 45 Fish and Wildlife Service.

46

MR. MATHEWS: And Sharon may want to introduce herself.

47 48 49

COURT REPORTER: I'm Sharon Gaunt. I'm a court

50 reporter, just taking things down on tape.

0006 1 MR. MATHEWS: And then George is behind you. 2 3 MR. MILLER: George is hiding in the back there. 4 MR. SHERROD: I'm George Sherrod. anthropologist. I work with Pete and Vince. 7

8

18 19

21 22

MR. MATHEWS: Okay. And then we'll get back into this. 9 But basically, it's a council, they're advisory, they're set up 10 by ANILCA. These are terms probably your teacher may have 11 talked about, Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act. 12 And maybe during a break we can explain more how important that 13 is to all of this. They have a support team which is made up 14 of George, the social scientist, anthropologist, Pete, the 15 biologist, and myself, the coordinator. We do have other staff 16 here I forgot to introduce. They may want to introduce 17 themselves.

(Staff members from audience introduce themselves -20 inaudible, away from microphone)

MR. MATHEWS: Okay. So we're just going to go through 23 the agenda. You'll get an idea, and we're up to -- we just 24 talked about how this council usually takes a moment of 25 silence, or respect, or prayer to a council member that may 26 have passed away. There's ten councils in the state. One 27 passed away this past year for Seward Peninsula, which is up in 28 the Nome area. So we were just talking about that, and that 29 there'd be an opportunity, if the community wanted respect 30 given to one of the elders that has passed away in the past 31 year or two, that's it's an opportunity for them to bring it 32 up. 33

We talked about council member concerns, so that's 34 35 where you guys give us an idea what else you want to talk 36 about. Craig and I will then try to work it in the agenda if 37 it's appropriate, and so we'll capture those. The next one is 38 self-explanatory, changes to agenda, agenda approval. You guys 39 have always done that. Reading and approval of minutes. 40 minutes are in there. Real quickly, they're in there under one 41 of the tabs, Tab B, I believe it is. Where it's grayed --42 what's that called? Red-lined? Where it's red-lined are 43 corrections that were called into me. So I put Timothy Sam in $44\,$ twice at the last meeting. I forgot to put Chuck Miller in, so 45 that was just an oversight. But I'll point those out when we 46 get actually into that section. But you did get copies of 47 these in the mail, and then you had an opportunity to call back 48 if there was corrections or additions.

On the minutes, I ask you every meeting, are they

50 functioning well? Are they doing the job you guys want? To be

```
0007
  honest with you, I'm under pressure at the office to make them
  more - what is it - slender.
3
4
           MR. TOM BOYD: Streamlined.
5
           MR. MATHEWS: Streamlined. I got to get all these
7 politically correct terms down. Streamlined. I look at
8 minutes a little bit different than others in the office.
  look at them as an historic document, and I look at them, that
10 if when you go to the next meeting, you could pick up those
11 minutes, and if you just kind of speed read them, you'll be
12 right up to speed where you ended the one before. So is the
13 minute format being possibly 12 pages, 10 to 12 pages, okay
14 with you?
15
16
                       I don't see a problem with it.
          MR. MILLER:
17
18
          MR. FLEENER: For a two-day meeting, it's not that ....
19
20
          MR. MILLER: Yeah, that's not really that long.
21
22
          MR. FLEENER: That's not very long for a two-day
23 meeting.
24
          MR. MATHEWS: Okay.
25
                                The next item we have is ....
26
27
                        Some of the council members think that
          MR. FLEENER:
28 they'd like longer minutes. No, I'm just kidding.
29
           MR. MATHEWS: Well, the other thing is, is that if you
30
31 guys want copies of the transcripts -- I think Nat wanted them,
32 or somebody on this -- oh, no, it was ....
33
34
          MR. FLEENER:
                         I did. I always wanted a copy of it.
35
36
                        Yeah. We can get you electronic versions
          MR. MATHEWS:
37 or paper versions. The paper versions get a little hefty,
38 though, but that's up to if you want a couple of Fairbanks
39 phone books.
40
41
          MR. PHILIP TITUS:
                              These are public record, right?
42
43
          MR. MATHEWS:
                         These are public record.
44
45
          MR. PHILIP TITUS: Anybody could request them?
46
47
          MR. MATHEWS:
                       Correct.
48
49
          MR. PHILIP TITUS: Okay, like ....
```

1 MR. MATHEWS: And I don't have it with me. Maybe 2 George can remember. They're on the internet, too.

3

4 MR. PHILIP TITUS: Like if the local community wanted 5 to review the minutes before the meeting, they could request 6 that

7

MR. MATHEWS: Oh, back to the minutes. Yeah, what I do 9 with the minutes is they're sent to -- I don't have the full 10 list here. All tribal councils, village councils, agencies, 11 representatives on all the advisory committees, all the state 12 agencies, all the federal agencies within Eastern Interior get 13 a copy of the minutes ahead of time. And I know most of them 14 don't read them, but sometimes, you know -- like Healy Lake, if 15 their issue is still as important to them, probably looked at 16 those minutes. So if it's issue driven, that they look at 17 them. I don't think -- I hope someone doesn't sit down and 18 read them just to read them. But they're usually more issue 19 drive. So yes, they're given all a copy; that the transcripts 20 are on the internet, and we can get them disk copies or paper 21 copies. But realize the transcripts that some of you have 22 looked at are a wealth of information, but it's hard to find 23 things in them unless you sit down and go page by page, or you 24 search by computer.

25

Okay. This meeting, in the fall every year you have officer elections. So you have three offices, chair, vice chair, and secretary. They serve for one year. The next item -- remember, this agenda was done in August, so things have changed. We don't have - unless I missed something, and Hollis can correct me - but we don't have any appointments to Subsistence Resource Commission, because you appointed Paul Starr to Denali Subsistence Resource Commission, and for Wrangell-St. Elias, you appointed Frank Entsminger. So I don't think we have any pending appointments on commissions. Cooperative management groups, you might, by the end of the meeting, have a management group, so I don't know. Subcommittees, depending on how the elections go, there may be some changes there.

40

Okay. The agenda this year changed from old business, 42 new business, to the informational items, to action items. And 43 we'll evaluate if that's any better, or just using different 44 terms. The next one is kind of reports. The chair attends a 45 lot of meetings throughout the year. He attended the Federal 46 Subsistence Board Meeting. You were involved in a couple of 47 teleconferences, right, on training materials? And on 48 something else, I can't remember. So this is an opportunity 49 for him to share the material. Now, the items that are

50 underneath No. 2 there, joint chairs, Federal Subsistence Board

meeting, those they'll be other staff to assist Craig with. So the first item is status of regional council Member compensation. Assuming Tom gets here from Fairbanks, he'll be talking about that. That's compensation for time lost from work. It's not per diem or travel, this is additional. The next one is alternates for restructuring the Subsistence Board. That will be laid out for you. On that, they're going to lay out three alternatives. You can come up with another one, if you so desire.

10 11

MR. FLEENER: Who's they?

12

13 MR. MATHEWS: That'll be Tom will be doing that also, 14 at least that's how it was in McGrath. We'll see when they 15 arrive here who will be presenting that. And for the students, 16 I suppose I should go over the board real quickly. This is an 17 advisory council, so they are the first line of information. 18 If you have information coming in from all users, and you start 19 narrowing it down, this is the first advisory group that takes 20 that information and passes a recommendation. That goes on to 21 the Federal Subsistence Board, which is like the Board of Game, 22 but it's made up differently. The Federal Subsistence Board 23 has the directors for National Park Service, Bureau of Land 24 Management, Bureau of Indian Affairs, Fish and Wildlife 25 Service, Forest Service, and then the chair is appointed by the 26 Secretary of the Interior, who happens to be an Interior 27 subsistence user, which is Mitch Demientieff. So their 28 recommendations go to the board. The chair goes to the board 29 and presents those recommendations. So they meet - which is 30 different than the state system, they meet all ten chairs, 31 there's ten regions - and the full board meet in executive 32 session before they start the public meeting or the board 33 meeting in April. So there they basically sit down and roll up 34 their sleeves and talk about what statewide issues there are. 35 And out of that came all these items underneath here, which 36 were compensation, restructuring the board.

37

The next item, I'm not sure if Ely, Tom Ely is going to present that, or if someone else is. It's called memorandum of agreement. It's with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game. 41 You're going to have a draft kind of copy of it - actually, 42 it's a draft briefing of it - saying your input on setting up 43 this particular memorandum of agreement with Fish and Game. 44 And basically they want to improve coordination and 45 communication between the federal and state program, and you 46 can comment on that. And you'll probably get a final of it at 47 the next meeting, is my understanding.

48

For the students, if you haven't been reading the

50 paper, there's two management systems in effect in Alaska.

```
0010
```

There's federal management and there's state management, as far as in the subsistence arena. So all the maps that you see around you, all the lands that have color on them, this board advises, or this council advises on. All the lands depicted on there that are white, they're under state jurisdiction for subsistence. They're either private lands or Native corporation lands. So we have a matrix of management. And the state has approached this program and said we want to improve coordination and communication so we can streamline and save money, et cetera. Any questions on that from the council, on the MOA?

12

Okay, the next item is consent agenda. I kind of 14 jumped the gun here. That'll be a topic that'll be more before 15 you at the next meeting. Basically, the board wants to 16 streamline its time, so if it sees that your recommendation, 17 staff committee recommendation - that's about it - and possibly 18 in general everyone else, is in alignment, they want to take 19 all those items and put them together in one item, and then 20 pass that as one package. Okay? And basically it's called a 21 consent agenda.

22

23 MR. MILLER: I was just looking through the agenda 24 here, and I was wondering, that co-management thing we've been 25 working on?

26

MR. MATHEWS: Yeah, that needs to be added. It's not 28 on there.

29 30

MR. MILLER: Okay.

31 32

MR. FLEENER: Would we put that under F?

33

MR. MATHEWS: Yeah, we could put it under F, I think. 35 You mean after the briefing on the governor's task force, 36 or

37

38 MR. FLEENER: No, under the small letter (f) under the 39 chair's report.

40

MR. MATHEWS: Oh, okay. Okay, for those that are new 42 to the council, we had a subcommittee formed from Tanana called 43 the Co-management Subcommittee, which was made up of Craig 44 Fleener, Chuck Miller, and Nat Good and myself, and that 45 subcommittee drafted a concept of what they considered 46 co-management, which will be back before the full council for 47 you to look at it, approve, whatever.

48 49

MR. FLEENER: And I notice we don't have any copies in

50 our

MR. MATHEWS: No, you have it in your -- this thing.

2

1

MR. FLEENER: Oh, in this supplemental?

4 5

MR. MATHEWS: It should be green. I try to color code them so it's easier to find. Okay. And then we'll

7

MR. FLEENER: This is the one that Steve wanted us to do up here. Remember last meeting in Tanana, Steve suggested that we get together a committee made up of council members, and discuss co-management and come up with some sort of a definition of co-management, and that's where that came from. So this is just something that Nat, Chuck and I worked on, put together, and of course Vince laid out the hard copy and we went and worked on it from there. Then we had a teleconference, went over the meat of it, made some changes. And this is still open for change if you guys have suggestions, that's why we're bringing it before this group now. And what we put down, it's a lot harder for just three guys to work on something as broad as co-management, so you guys feel free to make suggestions.

22 23

MR. MATHEWS: And then if you adopted that concept, 24 it's possible you want to apply it to some areas. Where was I 25 at?

2627

MR. FLEENER: Consent agenda.

28

MR. MATHEWS: Consent agenda. So we'll talk more about 30 that at the next meeting. The thing I want to caution you on 31 the consent agenda, is whoever goes down to the board meeting, 32 either the chair or the representative, and they see something 33 on the consent agenda, it'll be talked about with all, that you 34 don't want on that consent agenda, then you got to say, no, I 35 don't want that, because if it's on the consent agenda, there's 36 no discussion. It just -- you know, they -- someone makes a 37 motion that I -- we move to adopt all items on the consent 38 agenda, someone seconds it, then they vote on it. So if you 39 don't stop it, or you don't pull it off of that, then it just 40 goes ahead. But there'll be more laid out on that. There 41 could be some questions by others, so we'll have to see

42

MR. FLEENER: That's usually not a big concern. If we 44 pass something, and we support it here, and we know that the 45 board is going to support it, and Fish and Wildlife Service 46 supports it, Fish and Game supports it, you know, unless we 47 discover that there's something that we really overlooked, that 48 we might want to pull something -- we might want to pull one of 49 the items off a proposal or something, but for the most part,

50 there's not really much of a problem. And if you want to taken

```
0012
  off, just right before they -- right before they say they want
  to approve the consent agenda, you just request it; have your
  Proposal 97.17 withdrawn, or whatever. It's pretty simple.
           MR. MATHEWS: Okay. The next thing is the board
6 meeting, but that'll come up throughout the whole meeting.
7 next one is, you should have gotten these in the mail, this
8 gray book and yellow book. This is what Craig and -- boy,
9 there was a whole bunch of people involved in this. Bill
10 Thomas, right?
11
12
          MR. FLEENER:
                        Yeah.
13
14
          MR. MATHEWS: Somebody from YK. Anyway, there was
15 three or four regional council representatives involved with
16 staff in drafting up this manual for you to use. If you have
17 any comments about it, when that comes up, bring them up, as
18 far as improving it, or changing it, or adding, or something to
19 that effect. What you'll end up with by next meeting, assuming
20 all the wheels turn and all the staff is available, you'll end
21 up with a manual like this, but bigger, because you're going to
22 have materials that are specific to your area. Okay. And for
23 the students and for those that may not have had a chance to
24 look at it, this is set up in the question/answer format, so if
25 you're wondering, well, how do I really change regulations, you
26 go to Section 4 - and if I can't find it quick enough here -
27 and you go ....
28
29
                        Does everybody ....
          MR. FLEENER:
30
31
          MR. MATHEWS: And you're wondering, well, how long do
32 these regulations stay in effect? There's a questionnaire and
33 it tells you, so it gives you a quick paragraph.
34
35
          MR. FLEENER: Did everybody here get a copy of this?
36
37
          MR. MILLER: Yeah.
38
39
          MR. FLEENER: You guys got a copy? If not, I've
40 got ....
41
42
          MR. MATHEWS: Did you get a copy, Philip?
43
44
          MR. FLEENER:
                        If not, I've got my copies if you
45 guys ....
46
47
          MR. MATHEWS:
                        I have extra copies.
48
49
          MR. FLEENER:
                        This would be good to take a look at,
```

50 because this is also going to be used as a training manual for

new council members.

3

5

MR. MATHEWS: I used it somewhat with Gerald and 4 Calvin. I kind of broke away from it, but it was very helpful when questions came up, then we looked in the book and found it; found the answer, I mean.

7 8

MR. FLEENER: Yeah. So it's going to be used as a 9 training manual, so if you guys have -- if you see something 10 that you wish you would have known when you first became a 11 council member, look in here, and if it's not in there, make a 12 suggestion to have it added, because anything we can do is 13 better than what we have done. So I guess Vince is one of the 14 only ones that's gone through and had an actual in-depth 15 training with a lot of council members, aren't you?

16 17

MR. MATHEWS: Yeah. I mean,

18

19 MR. FLEENER: And so a lot of the other areas haven't 20 done that as in-depth as

21

22 Well, we're the only region that had MR. MATHEWS: 23 people brought in.

24 25

MR. FLEENER: Right, so

26

27 MR. MATHEWS: We were able to do that because Fairbanks 28 is a hub, and kept the cost down. Western Interior, we did it 29 before the meeting, and I question how effective that is. 30 again, we're working on it. Other regions do it either the 31 night before the meeting. I personally would rather meet with 32 them one on one. And the way we set it up -- and again, if we 33 do it next year and a member from -- that's been on the council 34 that wants to come in to do it, that's fine, but in the morning 35 we go through all the background material. In the afternoon, 36 we meet with the different managers. This year, due to 37 scheduling conflicts and the lateness of pulling it off, we 38 didn't have a lot of management staff show up. But it's a time 39 to test the water with, you know, a refuge manager or park 40 representative saying, well, we're thinking about this, what do 41 you feel about it? But it's more a time to go face to face, so 42 we got a green light to do that, and I think it's -- well, you 43 can ask the new members how effective it was.

44

45 MR. FLEENER: And one of the things I suggested, too, 46 is that if it's possible, and I guess it's not possible yet, 47 but that we take an existing council member in the training and 48 actually talk to the new members. Because Vince can give one 49 perspective, and you can go around and shake hands with all the

50 guys in the suits, but it's not the same thing as talking to

someone that's actually a board member or a council member, and having a little bit of dialog, asking questions, and giving an overview of the issues that are taking place and what's at the heart of the people in the various meetings that we've had in the past. So that's something that I thought would be pretty important, is having a person go to the meeting. We weren't able to do it this time, but I called in and teleconferenced with them. And what we were able to do, is I told them some of our concerns, which the two new guys had already been at the last meeting anyway, so they were there and they knew. But if it was two other people that hadn't been there, you know, we would talk about some of our concerns and then listen to some of their concerns, just so we get a feel of working together already. And so I thought it was pretty successful.

15 16

MR. MATHEWS: And we're going to be doing a training video, but when that will be produced, I'm not sure. But there will be a training video that you could take back home, or if you knew of somebody that was kind of interested in this program but wasn't real sure what it all involved, then we would mail them, send them, give them a training video so they could watch it at home. It's been funded, I just don't know where the schedule is. When Tom gets here, we'd know better. We're going through some staffing changes, so a lot of the schedules are somewhat up in the air. Okay, well, that will be something that you'll talk about when we get to that section is this, if you have comments, otherwise, we'll hear from you individually.

2930

New member welcome and introduction is kind of out of 31 place there. We probably will already have done that earlier, 32 but that's here. This section here is just if like -- I'm 33 trying to think now. Well, Calvin may -- I don't know, maybe 34 it won't, but they just had a fairly significant meeting in 35 their community. He may want to share information on that. 36 Craig has been to different meetings. This is a time for you 37 to share what you've learned or discovered by attending other 38 events within the region, so that's what that C is there for, 39 is to make sure that you have an opportunity to share what 40 you've done.

41

And then we'll just kind of move along. Correspondence 43 sent and received. We've done that two different ways here. 44 We've let you look at them and then go through them, or I can 45 summarize them for the record. It's up to you. The question 46 on that, which I'll ask you when we get to it, or get an answer 47 when we get to it is, do you like getting it at the meeting, or 48 do you want it mailed the minute they're done and then get, you 49 know, eight, nine mailings, or do you want to wait? The chair

50 gets a copy of all the stuff when it comes in, or it's done,

immediately. I don't usually copy all the members, because a lot of the stuff is -- there's no reason to act immediately. There's more information. So think about that and give me an answer when we get to that. Because I can mail it out separately, I just don't know how effective it is to get, you know, eight different mailings throughout the eight months there, six months, whatever it is.

8

 $9\,$ MR. FLEENER: Do you mail the other council members $10\,$ anything?

11 12

MR. MATHEWS: Yeah. If it's a topic that's important 13 to the council, then I do. And then if you give me direction, 14 or the chair gives me direction, then I do. There's some stuff 15 that the office does. We have to improve our communication 16 between Anchorage and Fairbanks, but there's stuff that they 17 send out that I'm not always aware of that you'll get in the 18 mail, also. I think Philip had a question, or somebody.

19

20 MR. PHILIP TITUS: I don't see why we should get a 21 bunch of mail over eight months, when we could pick it up all 22 at one time.

23 24

MR. MATHEWS: Okay.

2526

MR. PHILIP TITUS: Unless it's all -- I mean,

27

MR. MATHEWS: Well, I will consult with the chair in 29 that to find out if he, whoever it is, may want that stuff sent 30 out earlier, you know. It may be something -- example, I don't 31 know what I did in your region, but I know in Western, the wolf 32 trapping, or trapping -- excuse me, trapping on refuges was a 33 very important topic. They got letters of response from both 34 senators, so that was mailed out immediately to them because of 35 the position they took on that issue. But in general, they had 36 other letters on other things that we waited until this 37 meeting, or their recent meeting, to present. Okay,

38

MR. MILLER: Excuse me, Vince, do you have copies of 40 the agenda, extra copies? I think that maybe

41 42

MR. MATHEWS: Yeah, they're in the back on the table.

43

44 MR. MILLER: if you guys want to look through the 45 agenda to see certain items that you guys had interest in?

46

MR. MATHEWS: If you want to go back and pick one up, 48 then I can slug down some more coffee. It's up to you, because 49 we're just walking through it. It's kind of a complex agenda,

50 to be hones with you.

1

MR. FLEENER: If you kids have anything you want to 2 talk about, issues that are important to you guys, you know, this is an open forum. Don't feel ashamed to come up and speak 4 your mind on any kind of a subsistence issue that you know is 5 important to you, or is important to your family. So if you 6 guys think that there's anything you want to talk about, or 7 even just give your opinion and not even ask a question, you 8 feel free to do that. When other people start coming in, 9 they'll be doing the same thing, so feel free to come up to the 10 microphone anytime you want to. Okay?

11

12 MR. MATHEWS: Okay. The next topic - and we're on the 13 back of the page, for those who just got the agenda - I believe 14 Bill will be presenting, like he did in Tanana, an update on 15 the expansion of federal subsistence fisheries. It won't be a 16 lengthy presentation, but anyway, that will come up. If you 17 have questions about that, I encourage you to ask Bill. 18 will be -- or is our Regulations Specialist. His title has 19 changed, but that's what I know him as. And then Tom Boyd will 20 be here. He's the one that oversees the entire subsistence 21 program, so hopefully they will be here. I know they left 22 about two hours ago. If you have questions, those are the ones 23 that are in the meetings figuring this stuff out.

24 2.5

The next item there is a briefing on the State of 26 Alaska Governor's Task Force. That's on your agenda. 27 know if the governor will send a representative here or not. 28 In general, the Alaska Department of Fish and Game employees 29 don't discuss this.

30 31

MR. FLEENER: Did we ask for a representative from the 32 governor's office?

33

34 MR. MATHEWS: I asked indirectly on it, and no, there 35 was no direct communication to the governor's office. You have 36 in your book the July version of it, and then in your yellow 37 folder -- excuse me, yeah. It's the other way around, isn't 38 it? Oh, I know what it is. You have the July version in the 39 book. In here you have the September 23rd version of the 40 Governor's Task Force. This is a state initiative. It's a 41 state proposal. I just felt it was important that it would 42 be -- you be exposed to it, and you have your opportunity 43 through your elected representatives, or whatever, to deal with 44 it.

45

MR. PHILIP TITUS: That comment Stevens made to the 46 47 legislature about this being an upcoming election year, and he 48 sort of challenged them about subsistence, does that have any 49 bearing on the coming session?

```
0017
1
           MR. MATHEWS: Well, you mean Stevens was suggesting
2 that the Alaska electorate, if this legislature cannot pass a
  solution to subsistence, maybe they ought to vote somebody else
  in, is that the statement you're going with?
6
          MR. PHILIP TITUS: Yeah. I mean, ....
7
8
          MR. MATHEWS: Yeah. I can't speak for Senator Stevens,
  but that's a way of putting pressure on the legislature to take
10 some action.
11
12
          MR. MILLER: Excuse me, Vince. Do you guys by chance
13 have copies of the proposal that AFN and AITC came up with in
14 August?
15
16
          MR. MATHEWS: Yes, we do.
17
18
          MR. MILLER: I didn't see one in the packet. I was
19 just wondering if ....
20
21
           MR. MATHEWS: I don't have the other one. It's this
22 big thing here, and then there ....
23
24
          MR. FLEENER: Oh, is that the big thing?
25
26
          MR. MILLER: No, they had a ....
27
28
           MR. MATHEWS:
                        There should be a packet on top of it. I
29 don't have an example of it. Okay. And Steven Ginnis -- well,
30 many of you attended the AFN summit, so I shouldn't just focus
31 on him. It's in here, the 12 points somewhere, that would be
32 part of the -- 12 principles, excuse me. They have been ....
33
34
          MR. MILLER: They came up with a draft plan there at
35 that subsistence summit. That's the one I was talking about.
36
37
           MR. MATHEWS: I don't have that other copy, so maybe
38 someone else does. I don't know if it's in -- there's one
39 that's on normal size paper that went on top of it, so it would
40 have been a fairly large -- if not, we'll have to look it up.
41 On that topic, just so you know, not that we're ducking it,
42 there's no staff capable to speak on all those. That would
43 have to come internally.
44
45
           MR. FLEENER: Steve can address it, since he's the
46 chair of AITC. And you can be sure he probably will, so ....
47
          MR. MATHEWS: I would hope he would. The other member
49 that the students - he's in transit here - is Steven Ginnis
```

50 from Fort Yukon. He's the president? Is that the title?

0018

MR. FLEENER: Chairman.

MR. PHILIP TITUS: The chair.

MR. MATHEWS: Chairman of the Alaska Intertribal

Council. So he'll be here, and he can talk about his -- he was

8 9 10 information.

7

10 MR. FLEENER: We could probably ask him to give us a 11 report under "other member reports" for AITC.

in Washington, et cetera, so hopefully he'll share that

12

13 MR. MATHEWS: Okay. Then that brings us up to agency 14 reports. This time we've switched some things around. 15 a request to do that. So you'll see that there's a separate 16 line for Native corporations, which was pulled out of the 17 original agenda, which was under other agencies. So anyway, 18 this is the time for any of the agencies to come up and talk to 19 you about subsistence related issues. This is comparable to 20 where I told you earlier about member concerns. This is where 21 the agency, if they want to, may float out an idea to you to 22 see how you react to it; do you support it, do you not. 23 is the time when you can ask them different questions, 24 et cetera, on it. You are in control of how long they present, 25 if that becomes a problem; the chair is. So you can, you know, 26 limit them if it tends to get way off track or something. 27 We've not had that problem. But you can see down there, for 28 like, let's do National Park Service. I put down there 29 statewide, because I'm not sure anybody's coming from the 30 Anchorage staff, but sometimes they bring up a statewide issue. 31 Denali's here. Hollis is here for Denali. Wrangell-St. Elias, 32 I believe Jay Wells is going to be here. I'm not sure on that. 33 And then Yukon-Charley.

34

35 Okay. Bureau of Land Management, the same thing. 36 know Ruth Gronquist is the biologist for the -- one of the 37 biologists, excuse me, for Northern District. She'll be here. 38 Fish and Wildlife Service, they'll be -- it doesn't look like 39 it now, but there'll be quite a few here in a few hours. 40 anyway, you get an idea. And then you see underneath there was 41 added Fish and Wildlife Service report on animal trapping and 42 refuges. Tom Ely will be presenting that. And you have a copy 43 of the report that was presented to Congress. Fish and Game, 44 according to what I've heard, they're going to have quite a bit 45 of staff here, but I don't see them yet, so I don't know how to 46 interpret that. And the Wildlife Notebook, Pete can cover that 47 now, but he'll have to cover it when it comes up on the agenda 48 again because we'll have people coming in at different times. 49 Do you want to tell them about the notebook?

MR. DeMATTEO: Mr. Chair, as we mentioned at the 2 previous meeting, that the staff is in the process of drafting 3 a Wildlife Management Handbook, as it's called. And the 4 handbook is simply divided into chapters, and each chapter will 5 be devoted to one particular big game species; like say, black 6 bear, for instance. And it will give a brief description of 7 the natural history, and life habits, and feeding habits, and 8 home ranges, and all that good stuff of, say, the black bear. 9 And then it'll go into a brief description of the animal, as 10 far as characteristics like size, length, weight, and all that 11 wonderful stuff. And any other information that should be 12 pertinent. The purpose of the book is just merely a reference 13 for your own use, that's all it is, to help supplement 14 council's knowledge as we come to meeting here. Sometimes 15 these meetings, biologists get up and give these wonderful 16 presentations, and there's never enough time to give a full 17 presentation which would explain everything. They're so 18 abbreviated in this short time period. And it's not meant to 19 take the place of traditional knowledge, it's just strictly a 20 supplement reference for your own use.

21 22

MR. FLEENER: Weren't there supposed to be included in there some management strategies? Or for instance,

2425

MR. DeMATTEO: Correct. Yeah. Very good. That's the 26 other thing that would be in each chapter, is management 27 strategies, surveying and inventory techniques that are used, 28 how data is compiled, what the data is used for. Correct.

2930

MR. MATHEWS: And this would be for like classes and et 31 cetera, would be a little bit above their head, but it would be 32 excellent material for classes if you were doing understanding 33 of moose for your area. Wasn't there going to be added to it 34 some population statuses over time, or something to that 35 effect?

36 37

MR. DeMATTEO: Well, correct. It's meant to be sort of 38 a living document, and we'll make improvements upon it and add 39 additional knowledge as time goes by. There will also be 40 another chapter which would be a glossary of definitions 41 somewhere in the back. Yeah, it's not going to be carved in 42 stone. It's something that'll change as time goes by.

43

MR. MATHEWS: So for like students and council members, 45 if - I assume this will be in there - if they start talking 46 about natural and healthy populations versus healthy 47 populations versus sustained yield, you'll have definitions 48 somewhat in there that you can help both the staff and yourself 49 understand what standards are being applied and what those

50 mean. But again, it's mainly a reference document.

1 MR. DeMATTEO: It's my understanding that you will have 2 a draft in front of you at the winter meeting for your review.

3

MR. MATHEWS: And then we'll go over this when the whole meeting's in session. Okay, then we go up to the action items, which we would start tomorrow morning, or maybe sooner. But annual reports, your annual report is in there under one of the tabs. That's required by ANILCA. Well, I've got to correct myself. It's an option under ANILCA to do an annual report, it's not a requirement. And in there there's four factors, and I'll go over them. And you've submitted annual reports since 1993. The board now has a process to deal with it, and we'll go over that. At the fall meeting,

14 15

MR. FLEENER: Has the board been caught up with all their backed up annual report?

17

18 MR. MATHEWS: They're caught up with the issues, as far 19 as responding to them. So we now have a process where, this 20 meeting you're going to draft up some ideas. Whoever's on the 21 review committee, we flush them out before the next meeting. 22 The next meeting they come back before you, and you approve the 23 annual report. That then is analyzed and presented to the 24 board in June. The board responds to it, and you get a reply 25 probably in August; July, August. Then you start your process 26 over again. The annual report is to me a very powerful part of 27 ANILCA, but even if you don't agree with that part of it, is 28 the power of the annual report is you can address topics that 29 cannot be addressed by regulation change. There may be 30 something that just cannot be addressed by regulation. There's 31 a lot of stuff that can't be. This would be an opportunity to 32 get the board to position itself, support, or give you a 33 response on it.

34 35

The next thing is, the chair asked for this, and I'll 36 try to maybe get George up here on it. Again, he's not going 37 to go through the whole presentation. But the next item is 38 customary and traditional use determinations, pros and cons 39 discussion. And maybe he'll give you an idea how he's going to 40 present it. He's not going to present it now, because we're 41 not into the actual meeting. Did you want to do that, George?

42 43

MR. SHERROD: (Inaudible - away from microphone)

44

 $\,$ MR. FLEENER: Please step up to the microphone and 46 state your name.

47 48

(General laughter)

49

0021
1 how we're going to do this work session, so they're going to be
2 kind of dancing
3
4 MR. SHERROD: Yeah, Vince is picking on me. George
5 Sherrod. I wasn't prepared to do anything at this moment. I

6 think it'd be more productive to take it up in one block,

8

7 rather than split it.

9 MR. FLEENER: Well, that was the intention, yeah, I 10 guess that since we were just doing an overview of what was 11 going on. Is that what you were looking for, an overview of 12 what he's going to discuss?

13

MR. MATHEWS: Yeah, so people would know that when we 15 get to this topic item, this might happen,

16 17

MR. SHERROD: Okay. Well, I

18

19 MR. MATHEWS: but he's not going to go through the 20 details.

21 22

MR. SHERROD: I have brought some material. I brought some history that the state wrote up. As you know, that our eight criteria, or eight factors, were modeled after the original eight criteria that the state brought up. So I brought some material that they brought up that sort of explains what they were thinking of when the developed the concept of customary and traditional determinations, what the goal was. And I brought some material that I drafted up arlier. I guess it was last year when we had talked about changing the manner in which we did customary and traditional use determination, and that's in subparts A and B, right?

33 34

MR. MATHEWS: Yeah, B.

35

MR. SHERROD: Which we didn't open up for review 37 because of taking on fish and the problems associated with 38 that. But I have some material there, so I can provide 39 somewhat of a history of C&T. I can also provide my opinion of 40 what C&T is, which is not exactly -- there's varying views as 41 to why there is C&T and what C&T is, but we should take that up 42 tomorrow?

43

MR. MATHEWS: Yeah, it's probably going to be tomorrow, 45 and

46

47 MR. SHERROD: Okay. If you'd like, before the end of 48 the day, I can hand out the documents I've got so you guys can 49 look them over this evening and come up with some easy

50 questions for me to try and answer.

1

MR. MATHEWS: Gerald and Calvin met with George and I, so we've kind of gone over the history of C&T with this council. Just to remind the other members, you've taken a stand on C&T's that are in your annual report, so this is a 5 wide open session for you to ask any of the questions about 6 C&T. That's why the chair, I believe, wanted this on here. 7 you're looking beyond what's on the printed page, is basically 8 what I'm encouraging you to do.

9 10

MR. FLEENER: Thanks, George.

11 12

MR. SHERROD: Thank you.

13

14 MR. MATHEWS: Then we get into the actual review of 15 what the board's done on that, and I don't need to go through 16 that here. For the students, I'm constantly giving you a 17 comparison to the state system, because if you've been involved 18 in this, you may be a little bit more familiar with the state 19 system. It's a requirement of ANILCA that this council get a 20 written response from the board as to why their recommendation 21 was not adopted. That may sound like a paper chase, but that's 22 extremely important. It's the basis of education, is if you 23 don't know what you're doing right or wrong, you can't improve. 24 So this is a written response, and that's kind of what we'll 25 cover in this section, as to what did the board do when they 26 took up Proposal 72. And that way, they can learn from what 27 the board did how to improve in the future, or take action to 28 maybe help the board change its mind on future proposals.

29 30

That's pretty much that whole Section C there under XI. 31 There's one thing you've added on there, and -- oh, go ahead, 32 Craig.

33 34

MR. FLEENER: I was just going to ask, does the Dall 35 River fishing issue stay -- Fishery Proposal 193, do we have a 36 copy of that proposal?

37

38 MR. MATHEWS: Yeah, you have it in your yellow thing. 39 That's what I was going to talk about. If the students are 40 here, or when that comes up, you're going to hear me go on 41 record saying that this council does not have jurisdiction over 42 fisheries. They're free to comment on it, but there's nothing 43 that the Federal Subsistence Board can do with fisheries at 44 this time. So then they can go ahead and deal with that. 45 There'll be state people here. They're free to discuss, if 46 they want to, the issue; they've done it in the past. So 47 actually, if the students -- well, anyway, it's a good time to 48 target coming here for action, would be at that time, under 49 that C Section where they actually grapple with proposals.

0023 MR. PHILIP TITUS: Vince, is there any spawning grounds in our jurisdiction, or we just totally don't have no MR. MATHEWS: I'd have to look at the records and see 5 in the book. I think there's some very, very small ones. 6 he's getting at, is where do we have jurisdiction under the 7 existing program? And there are some areas that were 8 pre-statehood federal lands that would fall underneath this 9 program. Anything that came in after federal -- after 10 statehood, and definitely part of ANILCA, we do not have 11 jurisdiction. What does that mean to the students and others? 12 You have Denali -- well, let's just use Denali. You have 13 Denali National Park. You have the Toklat River coming out of 14 Denali National Park. For subsistence management in the 15 preserve there, we manage up to where the willows grow along 16 the Toklat River, and from between the willows to willows, 17 where the water is, that's managed for subsistence by the state 18 government. So that's -- it adds another complexity, because I 19 hope the students realize that the fish don't read maps, the 20 caribou don't attend meetings, and the moose just hold out; 21 they just never cooperate. So the wildlife does not know 22 jurisdiction. It's us, what geopolitical actions define areas. 23 So what does that mean? On certain colored areas up there, 24 they have a different season than where the white areas are. 25 In certain -- in the colored areas, certain people qualify to 26 hunt there and others don't. That's where it affects you guys 27 somewhat for Dot Lake. There's not a lot of federal land 28 around Dot Lake.

29 30

MR. MILLER: Hey Vince, that's kind of a different outlook on it. Like when they do a C&T, they do by species, but yet when you govern -- or when they manage the area, they manage by area. I mean, there's a conflict there. Why not manage, you know, the whole thing by area, all species?

35 36

MR. MATHEWS: Well, that's

37 38

38 MR. MILLER: I mean, it's an argument that keeps coming 39 up. That's just something I

40 41

MR. MATHEWS: That's where you're going to discuss 42 that. That's the position of the regional council, which 43 you'll have to help Calvin and Gerald, because I did portray 44 that to them, and I don't know if Craig was on line when we 45 portrayed that.

46 47

MR. FLEENER: We talked about C&T for quite a

48 49

MR. MATHEWS: Well, for the students, what he's talking

50 about sounds like he's saying the same thing, but he's really

not. There are major differences there. In our regulations 2 book - which I don't have one here, there's a blue one back 3 there - we do customary and traditional determinations, who 4 qualifies by species. What he's saying, is it would be by 5 area. And this council has made a stand that somewhat has 6 slowed the board down in its tracks. Hasn't stopped them, I 7 don't want to mislead them, so he's saying, well, we manage by 8 area. Generally, your parks, your refuges - BLM lands, Bureau 9 of Land Management somewhat - but parks and refuges, they go 10 drainage to drainage, so it's by area. But we're setting up 11 our management regimes as to who qualifies by species. Now, 12 not to lose the students, that means you can have one circle 13 drawn for black bear, another circle drawn for moose. And what 14 they're saying is this area -- they'll define it more tomorrow, 15 but they're saying, let's just define the customary and 16 traditional use area. Any species that's in that area would be 17 considered customary and traditional. Something I know your 18 teacher's probably discussed, lines on the map are very 19 powerful, and sometimes it's good to have them on the map, and 20 sometimes it's not. And that's up to this council to figure 21 out.

22

23 MR. PHILIP TITUS: That line on the map will dictate if 24 you eat or not.

25 26

MR. MATHEWS: What now?

27

28 MR. PHILIP TITUS: The line on the map will dictate if 29 you eat or not.

30 31

MR. MATHEWS: Yeah.

32

33 MR. PHILIP TITUS: As opposed to species. We could go 34 out and hunt, and then if they cross the line, you could go get 35 them. But the lines that -- you're an outlaw if you cross the 36 line.

37

38 MR. MATHEWS: Well, in the meeting we had with Western 39 Interior, McGrath, one of the council members got jokingly 40 quite worked up about the width of the line took up his whole 41 community, and took up a whole bunch of other stuff. So it's 42 something students need to know about lines on maps. Because 43 we have some areas in this region - I don't know if they'll 44 come up this meeting - where the line goes right through the 45 middle of the village. So technically, if you're on that side 46 of the street, you hunt on a certain season, and that side, you 47 hunt on another season.

48 49

MR. FLEENER: Circle is that way.

28 29

31 32

33

43

MR. MATHEWS: Yeah, Circle is that way, as an example.

Well, anyway, so that's -- where that is, that's past actions.

We'll be going over requests for reconsiderations. Hopefully,

Tom will be bringing a current status of those. That's where,

for the more seasoned members, that's where the board has taken

an action in April. It's gone into regulation in July. And

someone says, wait a minute, wait, I want you to reconsider

that decision because of these reasons. We had, what, 12 or

14 -- I think 12 from the State of Alaska. Many of them were

for the Interior. So we can cover that during the meeting.

And Tom will be discussing that, I believe, because there's a

letter that may be coming out from the board addressing those.

We already talked about the Dall River proposal.

That's a state fisheries proposal. And then charter renewal.

Your charter is up. It's up every two years. You can add
membership, you can change your name, change your boundary. I
hate to bring that up with Nat here, but no, just kidding. But
that's available. And then alternates, we need to confirm your
position on alternates, and we'll discuss more about that at
meeting. And that brings us up to future meeting plans. Well,
the regulatory review schedule, I or Tom will be presenting
that. Basically, the board wants to shift by a month. They
are thinking about shifting into April -- May, excuse me, their
meeting, and everything else would shift forward. The
background of that is it would allow staff time to deal more
with the field staff, and they'll lay that out.

29 MR. MILLER: Instead of an October meeting, they're 30 talking a November meeting, or

MR. MATHEWS: Well,

MR. MILLER: Or September is moose hunting in most 35 areas. I mean, they ain't going to get anybody 36

MR. MATHEWS: Yeah. No, we would probably still stay 38 with the October meeting. Your spring meeting would switch 39 from February to March. But the board may take this up next 40 month, and then that's why we're having two calendars for you 41 to select two meeting times. So that would be an option. And 42 that's it.

MR. GOOD: I have a couple of things to ask about here.
45 One, I'll read you something from this little pamphlet produced
46 by the Bureau of Land Management. In case any of you don't
47 know where I live, the Delta River watershed is located in the
48 Alaska Range in Southcentral Alaska, about 175 road miles
49 southeast of Fairbanks. And you were talking about boundary

50 changes. I think it would be good for this council to consider

```
0026
  a boundary change that puts the Delta River within Interior
  Alaska.
3
           MR. MATHEWS: And you're presenting a proposal to that
5 effect.
6
7
           MR. GOOD: Well, I didn't write a proposal, because
8 when I read that in boundary changes, we would work on a
  proposal at a meeting such as this one.
10
11
           MR. MATHEWS: Right, okay. Right.
12
13
           MR. GOOD: So I didn't think I would write the
14 proposal, and I thought it should come from ....
15
16
          MR. FLEENER: Is that what you were talking about up
17 here?
18
19
          MR. GOOD: That was something else that we were talking
20 about up there. The other thing is, of course, that there is a
21 proposal that I have written, too.
22
23
                        It says Delta is how many miles south of
           MR. FLEENER:
24 Fairbanks?
2.5
26
           MR. GOOD: It says that -- no, it says here that the
27 river is located 175 road miles southeast of Fairbanks, which
28 of course is, as you're well aware driving out here, you saw
29 the Delta River about 90 miles out.
30
31
          MR. FLEENER: Yeah.
32
33
           MR. GOOD: Actually, a little less.
34
35
          MR. MATHEWS: The Bureau of Land Management will be
36 here to address that. But what he's addressing, a boundary
37 change, we'll discuss more. But it'll have to be a state
38 proposal, and possibly a federal proposal. And if the federal
39 proposal passes it, it probably won't have much meaning.
40
41
           MR. GOOD: But on the other hand, you have to be aware
42 that the state already manages the Delta River from the
43 Interior, and considers it Interior in terms of fisheries.
44 you've got the game on one hand, the fisheries on the other
45 hand, too.
46
47
           MR. MATHEWS:
                         Right.
48
49
```

MR. GOOD: And you're looking ultimately at having the

50 same problem when -- assuming that we take over fisheries,

```
0027
   you'll have the same problem again.
3
           MR. MATHEWS: So that would be one that he'll bring up,
  and then -- to see if the council ....
5
6
                         So is it a subunit, boundary change, that
           MR. FLEENER:
7 you're proposing?
8
           MR. GOOD: It would be the boundary between Units 13
10 and 20, or 20(D), more specifically.
11
12
           MR. MATHEWS: And will Steve DuBoise be here, do you
13 know?
14
15
           MR. GOOD: I don't know.
16
17
          MR. MATHEWS:
                         I don't think so.
18
19
          MR. GOOD: His wife had an operation this last week,
20 and he intended to be here. I don't know if he's going to make
21 it. It was a pretty serious thing.
22
23
           MR. MATHEWS: Well, that's it I have for the work
24 session. I think the final note I'd like to make to you is
25 that this work session may not seem to be that productive, but
26 I gained some stuff out of it. But the next time -- need to
27 talk to the Chair about a work session for the next meeting.
28 The next one you're going to have proposals in front of you,
29 and it might be better to do a work session then, also.
30
31
           MR. FLEENER: Well, we also talked about Circle, trying
32 to change the boundaries around Circle, because if you look at
33 it here, Circle is right here, ....
34
35
          MR. MATHEWS: Craig, do we want to recess so she can
36 take ....
37
38
           MR. FLEENER:
                         Yes.
39
40
           MR. MILLER: I'd also like to thank the students for
41 showing up, and let them know that they're welcome any time.
42
43
           (End of work session)
44
45
           (Off record: 9:45 a.m.)
46
47
           (On record: 11:07 a.m.)
48
49
           MR. FLEENER: Okay, if I could have everyone's
```

50 attention, I'd like to call this meeting to order, please.

```
0028
  I'll give everyone about a minute to settle down, and then
  we'll have roll call.
3
4
          MR. MATHEWS: Mr. Chairman?
5
6
          MR. FLEENER: Yes?
7
8
          MR. MATHEWS: I think before I do a roll call, I need
  to let people know what has transpired this morning, so they
10 understand correctly what happened. And then encourage
11 everybody, there is a sign-up sheet back there on the table to
12 sign in. This morning, from about 8:30 to just short of 10:00,
13 we had a work session, where essentially we just walked through
14 the agenda. We didn't really come to any -- the council didn't
15 come to any conclusions or recommendations, just kind of walked
16 through it, allowed staff to get feedback on the logistics of
17 putting a book together, or style and format. And then we took
18 a recess, and here we are.
19
20
          MR. FLEENER: Okay. Can everybody hear from the
21 speakers that are out here, in the back, or should we turn them
22 up a little? No comment? That must be a good sign, I guess.
23
24
           MR. MATHEWS: And we decided - the council, I should
25 say - decided during that work session that this is an open
26 meeting. If you want to testify or that, get the attention of
27 one of the staff, or the chair, and then he'll call you up when
28 it's appropriate to testify. So we need to go throughout the
29 meeting reminding people of that. Okay, I'm ....
30
31
          MR. FLEENER: Okay. I guess Nat is going to take roll.
32
33
          MR. GOOD: Craig Fleener?
34
35
          MR. FLEENER:
                         Present.
36
37
          MR. GOOD: Lee Titus? (Not present at this time)
38 Philip Titus?
39
40
          MR. PHILIP TITUS: Aye.
41
42
          MR. GOOD: Steve Ginnis? (Not present at this time)
43 Nat Good, present. Gerald Nicholia?
44
45
          MR. NICHOLIA: Nicholia (corrects pronunciation).
46
47
           MR. GOOD: Nicholia, okay. Definitely present.
48 Timothy Sam? (Not present) Charles P. Miller, Senior?
49
```

0029 1 MR. GOOD: And Calvin Tritt? 2 3 MR. TRITT: Here. 4 5 MR. GOOD: We have a quorum, Mr. Chairman. 6 7 MR. FLEENER: Okay. Just for everyone's notification, 8 Lee and Steve are, we were told, should still be in transit, so we're expecting them. We're not sure about Timothy, so I don't 10 know if he's going to come or not. Okay, I guess the first 11 thing we've got on the agenda -- and there's copies of the 12 agenda in the back, if people need copies. The first thing on 13 the agenda is introduction of the council members. Did you 14 have anything else you wanted to interject before we do the 15 introduction? 16 17 MR. MATHEWS: No. I'll wait until we get to the 18 agenda, or the approval of the agenda, excuse me. 19 20 MR. FLEENER: Okay. I guess we can just go one by one 21 and have the council members introduce themselves. 22 23 MR. NICHOLIA: I'm Gerald Nicholia from Tanana, 24 appointed as a new member. 25 26 MR. PHILIP TITUS: Philip titus from Minto. 27 28 MR. FLEENER: Craig Fleener from Fort Yukon. 29 30 MR. MILLER: Charles Miller, Dot Lake. 31 32 MR. GOOD: Nat Good from Delta Junction. 33 34 MR. TRITT: Calvin Tritt from Venetie, new appointed. 35 36 Okay. Is the agency staff prepared to do MR. FLEENER: 37 introductions? 38 39 MR. MATHEWS: Sure. I'll start off, and then she can 40 follow, and then we'll just go around, if that's okay? Okay, 41 I'm Vince Mathews, Regional Coordinator for Eastern Interior, 42 with the Fish and Wildlife Service out of Fairbanks. 43 44 COURT REPORTER: I'm Sharon Gaunt, with R & R Court 45 Reporters in Fairbanks. 46 47 MR. BOYD: I'm Tom Boyd. I'm with the Office of 48 Subsistence Management, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service in

49 Anchorage.

MR. DeMATTEO: I'm Pete DeMatteo, biologist, with the Office of Subsistence Management, Fish and Wildlife Service, Anchorage.

3 4

1

5 MR. KNAUER: I'm Bill Knauer, Fish and Wildlife 6 Service, Office of Subsistence Management, Anchorage.

7 8

8 (Introductions from audience - inaudible, away from 9 microphone.)

10 11

11 MR. MATHEWS: Maybe Virgil wants to introduce both 12 there, and then we'll just work our way over that way.

13

14 (Introductions from audience - inaudible, away from 15 microphone)

16 17

17 MR. FLEENER: Okay. I guess that's everybody. I guess 18 that's everybody. Even the honored guests have already 19 introduced themselves. So if I turn it over to Charles, he was 20 going to have comments by an opening elder. You can 21 reintroduce the

22

MR. MILLER: Oh, Gene Henry, he's the elder for Dot 24 Lake. And maybe he could open up with a moment of prayer, and 25 Gene will be praying in his Native tongue. You want to step 26 up, Gene, to the microphone?

27

28 MR. HENRY: I live in Dot Lake so many years in here, 29 but born and raised over Copper River. My family all die off. 30 I'm only one left here now. And I stay -- I live in Dot Lake. 31 I got grandchildren, and I got my great grandchildren in here, 32 I mean Mentasta. And we talk about the Christian life. 33 pray, Lord with us today in the meeting here. And whoever new 34 people come in here, and understand life, understand that we 35 only (indiscernible) any day. People know that. And Christ 36 got to be with us today in the meeting here, and we all pray 37 what going to be happening. You all new coming, come up here, 38 go back safely. Bad road now. And that be all. And I talk a 39 little about my life. I had trouble the last couple days. I 40 went down doctor. He gave me pill to live on. Last year I had 41 that, too. And my pill don't work on me a couple days now. 42 stay without the sleep two days -- I mean, two days down in 43 Fairbanks. Tonight -- went all night last night again. I 44 don't know what going to be happen. But I still don't care 45 what going to be happening, but my people, I let them know now, 46 you know, what going be happen someday with me. I don't know. 47 What going make me sleep, I never know. But pill don't help me 48 last night. I take it two times, I never sleep yet. But I 49 take -- I got other pill, strong one, I take that tonight, and

50 I probably sleep. I don't know what going be happen. I'm

healthy. I tell you guys, I'm healthy. I go to physical good in town. But I strong. My head something wrong, with I don't sleep all the time. Last year that happen. I come out good out of that. I do my own sleeping all summer this summer, I got it back again. I don't know what going to be. Well, go home safely, you guys got to think about, you know. If we hear anything you run off road, we don't like. You come up here meeting with us, people don't want that when you go back home. Go back, drivers take it easy. That be all.

10 11

11 MR. FLEENER: Thank you very much. The next item on 12 the agenda is to have a moment of silence in recognition for 13 the Seward Peninsula Regional Council member, Edgar Ningeulook. 14 Is that correct? And before we have a moment of silence, 15 there's something that I'll read that was provided to us so we 16 can understand who this individual was.

17

It says, "Edgar, Inupiaq of Shishmaref, Alaska, passed 19 on in the summer of 1997. He was appointed in 1996 by 20 Secretary Bruce Babbitt to sit on the Seward Peninsula Federal 21 Subsistence Regional Advisory Council. He had extensive 22 knowledge in local and regional subsistence resources and their 23 uses. The people he knew spoke highly of his work to preserve 24 the subsistence way of life. His efforts were as eloquent as 25 his testimony at village hearing before the Alaska Native 26 Review Commission at Shishmaref:

2728

"We are the only ones who can save ourselves. We keep looking to the outside world for someone to come and do it, and it's not going to happen. We are expecting someone out there to save us and, in fact, there is nothing in the outside world that is really important. . . I think our people ought to understand that it is possible to maintain their identity and their spirit and their language and their traditions and their shistory and their values, and still function in the 21st century. We know what we need to know, how to make decisions, how to analyze situations, how to speak many languages and understand technology.

39

"Edgar's words are an inspiration, not only to the 41 members of the ten Statewide Federal Subsistence Regional 42 Advisory Councils, but to those of us who diligently work to 43 advance the continuation of the opportunity of all rural 44 Alaskans to practice their subsistence way of life."

45

And we'd just like to give a moment of silence and 47 offer peace to his memory. And before we have this moment of 48 silence, we should give opportunity for other names to be 49 mentioned now, if there's anyone else that has a name that

50 needs to be mentioned. Okay, if there's no other names, let's

go ahead and have a moment of silence, please?

3

(Moment of silence observed)

4 5

MR. FLEENER: Thank you very much. And I hope we keep that tradition going to recognize important members of the community who have dedicated their lives and given up time and probably given up opportunities for employment to serve in this capacity. And to many people this is an important job, and I just really want to give appreciation for that man, and the many other people who serve as council members in the various 12 capacities.

13 14

The next item on the meeting agenda is council member 15 concerns. And I'd just like to go down the row. If there are 16 any specific concerns that people want to bring up or address, 17 you can bring it up, talk about it a little bit, and if we need 18 to, we can address it some more later on. Calvin, do you have 19 any topics that are of importance?

20 21

MR. TRITT: The people in Arctic Village area are very 22 concerned about the Porcupine Caribou Herd that is migrating 23 from the calving ground. And it seems to the elders and the 24 people that are there, they are being interrupted north of 25 Sheenjek River. But Jim -- I can't pronounce this name of 26 the

2728

MR. MATHEWS: Kurth.

2930

MR. TRITT: Kurth.

31 32

MR. MATHEWS: Jim Kurth.

33

34 MR. TRITT: We invited him to tribal meeting a couple 35 days ago. And we discussed co-management and other topic. 36 we mentioned the Sheenjek River, Old John Lake, Red Sheep 37 Creek, are being bothered by hunters and hikers. So we need to 38 observe during the summer how many people are going into these 39 area, so we could have evidence with videotape and so on to 40 have correct data, numbers. So we invited him back for later 41 date, and discussed more co-management. For a couple years, 42 the caribou are not migrating their natural path, for instance. 43 And there's a big -- the people are hurting in the way that 44 they don't get their quota, or their food supply for the 45 winter. And Arctic Village is way out of -- way up north. 46 They don't have no road connections. The river is very -- it's 47 not a very good river to -- you could drive your boat through 48 there, but it's not very good river to be dependent on. So you 49 got to have a plane, transportation with airplane, but the cost 50 is very expensive. And Old John Lake has -- most of the Old

```
0033
  John Lake has allotment around it, and a lot of people are
  concerned. And the river -- there's Red Sheep Creek.
3 been -- the allotments are being used as an airport, and
4 nobody's there to -- we had two people observing up there this
  summer. One's from the village, a student from the University
6 of Alaska; another student from the University of Alaska that
7 was stationed up there. So the council thinks the best way to
8 just sit down -- refuge management, and try to negotiate or
9 talk out the problems. I think I got -- that's the main
10 concern, but maybe I'll have others later on.
11
12
          MR. FLEENER: Okay, thank you, Calvin.
13
14
          MR. GOOD: I think my concerns will be addressed later
15 in the agenda, and I think they've already been made known
16 here, so ....
17
18
          MR. FLEENER: Okay. Chuck, do you have any concerns?
19
20
          MR. MILLER: Yeah, but I -- like Nat, I think most of
21 these will probably be -- I'll bring them up later on.
22
23
          MR. FLEENER: Okay.
24
25
          MR. MILLER: I should give Vince a chance to look up
26 answers for me, though. On page 25, Section M, under
27 Proposal 25, ....
28
29
                        What's the page again?
          MR. MATHEWS:
30
31
          MR. MILLER:
                       Page 5.
32
33
          MR. FLEENER: Under Tab M?
34
35
          MR. PHILIP TITUS: Page 5?
36
37
          MR. MILLER: Under Proposal 25.
38
39
          MR. MATHEWS: Are you talking about minutes, or ....
40
41
          MR. MILLER: No, under Tab M.
42
43
          MR. MATHEWS: Oh, sorry. Okay.
44
45
          MR. MILLER: And it's the last sentence, the last
46 paragraph: "There are legal uncertainties regarding the
47 distinctions between the two communities; moreover, current
48 subsistence data does not provide a basis for differentiating
49 between them." And I thought that we had that taken care of at
```

50 the last meeting, but I guess not. Dealing with Dot Lake and

```
0034
  the two communities. The Native Village of Dot Lake and
  highway community.
3
           MR. MATHEWS: Correct. I don't know how best to handle
5 this now. I think we need to add it as an agenda item, would
6 probably be the best bet, underneath - let me make sure -
7 underneath when we talk about response from the board, which
8 would be - I'm not finding it here quick enough - but it would
9 be under report of Federal Subsistence Board actions since last
10 meeting.
11
                         It's on Thursday, Item XI, A-1, review of
12
          MR. FLEENER:
13 '96 annual report and board's response letter.
14
15
           MR. MATHEWS:
                        Well, it comes underneath further
16 under C. But during that time, sometime we need to discuss it.
17
18
          MR. MILLER: Okay.
19
20
          MR. MATHEWS: It'd be easier to do it then, otherwise
21 we're going to be bouncing around ....
22
23
                       Well, that's why I just ....
          MR. MILLER:
24
          MR. MATHEWS: Okay.
25
26
27
          MR. MILLER: I thought I'd give you a little warning on
28 this.
29
30
          MR. FLEENER: That's what this is meant to be for.
31 It's just to provide additional information, let people know
32 what's on our mind, and not to make a lot of changes or
33 anything right now, just to bring up certain issues that are
34 important. Is that all?
35
36
          MR. MILLER: Yes, that was the main ....
37
38
          MR. PHILIP TITUS:
                             Which one did they put it under?
39
40
          MR. FLEENER:
                         I don't think they put it on anything
41 yet. We're going to get to it. We haven't placed it on the
42 agenda yet, have we? We can do that under agenda items when we
43 look over the agenda. Philip, do you have any issues?
44
45
          MR. PHILIP TITUS: I don't think -- no, not right off.
46
47
          MR. FLEENER: Okay. Gerald?
48
49
          MR. NICHOLIA: I have issue that's affecting Tanana
```

50 residents, but it doesn't fall under the Federal Subsistence

jurisdiction. It deals with the opening that was allowed -Kaltag from on down, that did not allow people from around
Tanana area, up the Yukon and on the Tanana River, opening for
either subsistence or commercial. These people -- the Tanana
people really depend on that fall chum run to get fish and
stuff through the winter. And I'd just like to let people know
that. It more or less deprives the up-river people, help the
down-river people.

9

10 MR. FLEENER: Okay. I've got a couple items that I 11 wanted to bring up. One is a wolf snaring ballot initiative 12 that is going to be, or has been -- I guess I just heard word 13 from Nat that it's officially going to be put on the state 14 ballot, and I guess someone is interested in the people not 15 being able to snare wolves in the State of Alaska anymore. 16 that's an item that is going to concern an awful lot of people. 17 It's already of concern to people. And this group, as well as 18 many others, have argued for protecting trapping rights and 19 trapping opportunity for the residents of rural as well as 20 non-rural villages. And I still like to say that I support the 21 opportunity for trapping and snaring, and this is an issue 22 that's going to get real hot. And I'm not sure when the 23 date -- when it's going to be voted on. I think it's not this 24 November, but next November, right?

2526

MR. GOOD: It think so.

2728

MR. FLEENER: And so we have a while, but there's -- I 29 think each of the members of this council here need to take 30 this information, what little information I provided, find some 31 more information, and discuss it in their communities, or else 32 we might not be able to snare wolves in the near future. And 33 that's just one more domino in the domino effect of getting rid 34 of killing animals altogether.

35

Another issue that we discussed at the last meeting a little bit, and has been talked about a little bit here and there, is the Circle boundary. The boundary for the Village of Circle, the subunit boundary, they're interested in moving it, and I just wanted to bring this out and let people think about it. And there are state and federal people here. The people of Circle would like to move the boundary. I'll show you on this map here. We do have other maps around. Circle is right here, and this — the southern boundary for 25(D) comes up to a sharp point, it follow the highway, I believe, and it comes back down, and then — and so some of the problems are that there's different seasons on each side of the road. And they are a road hunting community, along with hunting along the river. But I guess they've had a lot of concerns and are

50 really interested in getting a change, but it's going to take

more than what we can do and more than what the Subsistence
Board -- it's going to take the state and the federal board to
make a joint change. And I've talked to people, federal and
state people, and neither one says that there's a big problem
with it. And what they would like to do is just take a line
and draw straight across, so they're not right on the edge of
two boundaries like that. And so that's an issue that they're
concerned about.

9

And another one that I always bring up is
11 co-management. And I'd just like to let everybody know that
12 co-management is still an important issue for this council, and
13 many of the other councils, and all of the villages in the
14 Yukon Flats, which are the only ones that I can really speak
15 for. The co-management is very important, and the more
16 involvement by local people, the happier the local people are
17 going to be, because they're going to be involved in
18 co-management. And having someone from the outside manage a
19 resource that you depend on, without a lot of input, it isn't
20 well accepted. And so the opportunity for more input is pretty
21 important.

22 23

That's all that I have. Steve is getting coffee. Do 24 you have any issues that you want to bring up?

2526

MR. GINNIS: Yes, I do.

27

MR. FLEENER: Okay. We'll wait for Steve to pour his 29 coffee, and he'll be back in a second. A man's got to know his 30 priorities, and coffee is one of them.

31 32

(Steven Ginnis now present on panel)

33

MR. GINNIS: Well, Mr. Chairman, I want to apologize 35 for being a little late, but you know the highway condition's a 36 little -- I couldn't go as -- you normally, a hundred miles an 37 hour I usually go. I got a little late.

38 39

(General laughter)

40

Mr. Chairman, there are several things that I wanted to 42 address at this council. One thing has to do with the moose 43 management plan in the Yukon Flats. You know, it's something 44 that I have repeatedly have brought to the attention of this 45 council, and it's something that, you know, really needs some 46 attention paid to it, particularly with what's going on in the 47 Yukon Flats now with the moose. You know, they now have put a 48 price tag on the antlers. And, you know, if we don't get a 49 hold of the situation here, I'm afraid that we may very well,

50 you know, get rid of all the bull moose in the region. So

37 38

39 40

41 42

43

46

really that's a concern that I want to express. And it goes in line with what you were just saying. It has to do with co-management. And I think that agencies need to understand that tribes really have a valid part in the management of these resources. And I think that, you know, they need to start paying a little more attention to what we're trying to address. I'm talking specifically to staff, you know, that I think part of my role here, if I understand it correctly, is to try to provide some input, to try to provide some direction. And so sometimes it's very frustrating to come to these meetings and, you know, kind of just rehash the same things that we rehashed a year and a half ago. You know, I mean, when are we going to really get down to addressing some of these valid issues.

15 And the other thing I wanted to say was that I'm 16 getting more and more concerned about other activities that 17 have happened on the refuge. It's something that I think 18 really needs to be addressed before it gets too far out of 19 hand. And those are activities related to sports hunting. 20 know, it's kind of disturbing to see, you know, a bunch of 21 antlers coming through, not knowing where they came from, and 22 wondering where all the meat is, you know, that type of thing. 23 It's the same thing I repeatedly have said here. And in fact, 24 I recall passing a motion asking for monitoring of these 25 activities during the fall hunting season. And I haven't seen 26 that happen yet. And these are valid. These are things that 27 are going on out there that I really feel if we don't get a 28 handle on it, we're going to be probably introducing bison, you 29 know. I mean, so that's just the message I want to make, is 30 simply that I wanted to ensure that; I mean, not ensure it, but 31 to bring it out as an issue again.

33 The other thing that was on this agenda was these 34 booklets, these things here. I have some suggestions for it, 35 but I'll talk about that when we get to it. And I don't have 36 anything else. Thank you.

MR. FLEENER: Okay. Thank you, Steve.

MR. GOOD: Mr. Chairman?

MR. FLEENER: Yes.

MR. GOOD: A question for Steve. What was this about 45 the price of moose antler?

47 MR. GINNIS: Well, you know, there's a real market for 48 them now. And people out in the rural areas are getting wind 49 of this. You know, I mean, when you can sell antlers for \$6 a

50 pound, when you're faced with high unemployment out there, you

1 know, it's easy to get caught up in something like this. And
2 all I'm saying is that we need to address it before we get too
3 far out of hand, you know. And when we get to the refuge
4 management report, I will have some suggestions I think about
5 how we need to move forward here. Let's not just, you know,
6 talk about these concerns and not do anything about them.

7

The other thing I was going to say was that, you know, for quite some time we've been talking about incorporating traditional knowledge into the management of these resources. You know, it's time to address that. I think a good example of that is the caribou up there in Arctic Village. These folks, they know these animals. And when they see that their behavior, you know, has changed, they recognize that. There's something wrong there, you know. And so they're asking for help to find out exactly what is it that's going on there, you know. And so this idea of traditional knowledge needs to really be incorporated into the management of these resources. I mean, that's another area that we just can't just continue to talk about.

21 22

23

MR. FLEENER: Does that answer your question?

MR. GOOD: It does.

242526

MR. FLEENER: Thank you, Steve. Before we go on to the 27 next agenda item, somebody has a maroon colored van with lights 28 on, so I don't know who owns a maroon van. It's hard to 29 remember to turn your lights off when you're driving when it's 30 light out. Okay, the next agenda item is additions or 31 corrections to the agenda, and agenda approval. So Vince, did 32 you have any

33

34 MR. MATHEWS: Mr. Chairman, we did have a couple items, 35 and then we need to go back to the concerns to see if they need 36 to be plugged in. The items I had down here was, when we went 37 through the work session, was where to put in the subcommittee 38 report on co-management, the draft concept. And I think there 39 was discussion to put that underneath the chair's report as 40 Item No. F under -- well, it's on page 1 under chair's report. 41 The other items, and I may have to ask for Park Service input 42 on this, is did they want to deal with the National Park 43 Service Subsistence Issue Paper? It has a new title now, which 44 I can't remember. Did they want to cover that under agency 45 reports? Okay. And you have a copy in the book. And the 46 other one was, I think I already talked to Hollis and Paul 47 Starr on, is the preliminary report on Tanana as a resident 48 zone community. And I think Hollis will talk about that during 49 his thing. So that's the ones I had noted, then we need to go

50 through the concerns and see if they need to be plugged in to

0039 the agenda. 3 MR. FLEENER: I know one issue that I'd like to let Steve know about was that the council members wanted to know if you would be willing to give a report on AITC co-management, 6 the whole deal. 7 8 MR. MILLER: That Subsistence Summit. 9 10 MR. FLEENER: Yeah, the Subsistence Summit. 11 12 MR. GINNIS: Sure. 13 14 MR. FLEENER: Okay. And we could probably put that 15 under letter C, under Item X. Is that where -- would that be a 16 good place? Other member reports, information exchange on 17 meetings? C(1), or something like that? Would that be all 18 right? It's on the bottom of page 1? And I guess some of 19 these issues that each of us brought up actually can be 20 discussed when -- like the refuge managers, if they give 21 presentations and what not, since a lot of them deal with 22 issues in the refuges. But are there any of these that we 23 discussed that people want specifically added to the agenda? 24 don't know about the Dot Lake communities. I don't know where 25 that would be addressed. Would you want that to be an agenda 26 item? 27 28 MR. MILLER: I'd like to get an answer on it, yeah. 29 30 MR. FLEENER: Okay. Where would you suggest that be 31 fit in? 32 33 MR. MATHEWS: Well, that'll be discussed -- what I call 34 the 805-C letter, the response from the board, and then we can 35 discuss it with other staff and why that came out that way. 36 37 MR. MILLER: Okay. So under 38 39 MR. FLEENER: Were is that located? 40 41 MR. MATHEWS: It would be under page 2 under XI-C. 42 mean, that's about the best place it would fit in there. 43 Report of the Federal Subsistence Board actions since last 44 meeting. That's your official written response. That's what 45 you looked at on that page, and then we can talk further about 46 that. The only other issue I saw there, and again I'll advise 47 you it's a state proposal, would be the boundary change for 48 Circle. You can write a proposal to the Board of Game to do a 49 boundary change, and their due date is January 9th.

50 somewhere around here we would have a copy of a proposal form,

```
0040
  if that needs to be used.
3
           MR. FLEENER: Well, I think the Circle council is
4 already supposed to be doing that, so I just wanted to bring it
5 up as an issue and let people know what's going on up that
6 direction. Any other corrections to the agenda? Any
7 additions?
              Is there a motion to approve the agenda?
8
9
          MR. GINNIS: So move.
10
11
          MR. FLEENER: There's a motion. Is there a second?
12
13
          MR. MILLER: Second.
14
15
          MR. FLEENER: Moved by Steve, seconded by Chuck. All
16 in favor of the motion, signify by saying aye.
17
18
          ALL MEMBERS:
                       Aye.
19
20
          MR. FLEENER: All opposed, same sign? Motion carries.
21 Reading and approval of the Tanana meeting minutes.
22
23
           MR. MATHEWS: Yes. Mr. Chairman, that's under Tab B, I
24 believe. Yes. And what you would need to note in there, you
25 received this in the mail, the various agencies have received
26 this, the tribal councils have received it, the village
27 councils. I don't know, it's about 120, 130 people on a
28 mailing list for Eastern Interior. With that, we did get some
29 replies for changes, and those changes are in the, kind of the
30 gray lettering. For example, on page 1, for some reason I put
31 down Timothy Sam twice and left out Chuck Miller. So that's a
32 correction there. So if you want to look at that and then go
33 from there, I can explain why the changes are, or what's in the
34 minutes.
35
36
          MR. FLEENER: Well, maybe we can just take five minutes
37 to look over this, instead of reading through them, unless
38 there's -- someone wants to hear them read. Okay, we'll just
39 take a few minutes to look these over.
40
41
           (Pause - members examine documents)
42
43
          MR. FLEENER: While everyone's going over this, I'll
44 just make an announcement that we're going to break for lunch
45 at 12:30. Okay, if there are no comments -- or are there any
46 comments, I should ask? Does anyone have anything? Yes,
47 Steve?
48
49
          MR. GINNIS: Yeah. Mr. Chairman, under page 3, you
```

50 know, where I made a motion to have a special meeting, this is

regarding the Federal Subsistence Fisheries Management, you 2 know. And I guess I'm just wondering what has happened with the proposed ruling. Is there such a thing that's been 4 published? And are we going to have a special meeting to review that?

7

MR. MATHEWS: We can either answer the question now, or 8 it'll come underneath the briefing when we deal with the status 9 of fisheries. So we can either wait till we deal with 10 fisheries in general on that, but there was a response 11 generated -- I mean, not generated, but your motion was carried 12 forward.

13

14 MR. GINNIS: Yeah, but I don't recall having a special 15 meeting.

16 17

MR. MATHEWS: It was under the discussion

18 19

MR. GINNIS: The motion is to have a special meeting to 20 discuss the proposed regulations, I guess.

21 22

MR. BOYD: Well, there will be a briefing, but just to 23 try to get a direct answer to your question. There is 24 currently no proposed rule published, and our intent was to 25 have a special meeting of the council upon publication of the 26 proposed rule, something so we would have something in front of 27 you to talk about. But we have not published a proposed rule, 28 and currently there is no schedule for doing such. I think the 29 latest activities regarding the extension of the moratorium by 30 the Congress has created some uncertainty with regard to the 31 timing of the publication for proposed rule. So I can't tell 32 you when that will take place, but it's our intention to have a 33 council meeting, or council meetings in general, when that 34 happens.

35

36 MR. GINNIS: Okay. The other thing, Mr. Chairman, is 37 on page 5. It has to do with that Nome Creek project. You 38 know, we made it pretty well known I think to the BLM people 39 that we weren't very happy with this project. You know, it 40 didn't have no input from us. It was put in there without our 41 knowledge. And the impact it may have on us, you know, down in 42 the future, using that area as a recreational area could really 43 influence our hunting and whatnot in the Yukon Flats I think. 44 So I guess all I wanted to say there is that there should be a 45 little more emphasis on that, in this particular -- when we 46 were talking about this project. I want to make it clear to 47 BLM that in the future I hope that when they're proposing

48 projects that they at least consult with the affected

49 communities, even though we might be on the other side of the

50 White Mountains. Still, it can have an impact on us in the

future. 1

3

The other thing had to do with Terry Haynes, his 4 report. I just wanted to find out what this part here says, "Discussion continued on the state's desire to improve its 6 participation with the Federal Subsistence process, through 7 higher involvement at regional council meetings and through cooperative management planning." I just wanted to know what that meant, I guess, or what was discussed there.

10 11

8

MR. MATHEWS: That there is where we preliminarily 12 brought up the memorandum of understanding that was being kind 13 of started. Well, actually it was submitted by the state to 14 the federal program, and that's capturing that intent. 15 course Terry is here, if I capture this wrong. But that's the 16 discussion, and that item is on the agenda at this meeting, the 17 status of the memorandum of understanding with Fish and Game. 18 So I need to get clarification then for under Nome Creek. Do 19 you want me to add in a sentence about that

20 21

MR. GINNIS: I think what the point that we were trying 22 to make there was simply that, you know, that they need to 23 consult with the affected communities when they're moving ahead 24 with any proposed projects. I mean, I think that's the point 25 that needs to be reflected in that discussion there, other than 26 our opposition to it.

27 28

MR. MATHEWS: Okay.

29 30

MR. FLEENER: I think that if that's what the rest of 31 the council would like to do, I don't see a problem with us 32 addressing a letter to BLM saying that the villages in that 33 area would like to be notified before a road's going to be 34 built in their area. Maybe it's not something that they have 35 to do because it's their land, I guess, or whatever, but maybe 36 we could write them a letter saying that there are issues that 37 involve subsistence, and the disruption of subsistence 38 activities could result in this road, and that rural residents 39 in that area would like to have input before such a thing takes Is that 40 place.

41

It's your wish. My fingers wait to type. MR. MATHEWS:

42 43 44

Okay. Are there any more concerns? MR. FLEENER:

45 46

MR. GINNIS: One other one.

47

MR. FLEENER: Go ahead.

48 49

```
0043
  The motion was to request staff to assist the villages -- these
  villages with writing these proposals. it had to do with Unit
  25(A). Has that been followed up, or ....
           MR. MATHEWS: Yes. Mr. Chairman, what is happening on
6 that is first off a letter was sent to the villages offering
7 our services. Since that time, Calvin has been obviously
8 appointed to the council, and he's met with the refuge manager
9 and others on this particular issue. Jim Kurth, Greg, and I
10 don't know who else, went to a - I may use the wrong term, so
11 Calvin can correct me - I took it as a joint meeting of the
12 tribal councils of Arctic Village and Venetie, but I think it's
13 one tribal council now. Yeah. They met with the tribal
14 council there and discussed this issue. And like Calvin said,
15 there'll be further discussion on that. So my understanding,
16 the communities there are going to pursue that route for remedy
17 to their concerns, instead of a proposal, or proposals.
18
19
          MR. GINNIS: Say that again? They're going to go do
20 what?
21
22
          MR. MATHEWS: What now?
23
24
          MR. GINNIS: Say that again? Rather than a proposal,
25 they're going to go what route?
26
27
          MR. MATHEWS: They're going to go with meetings with
28 the refuge manager and staff to work out other solutions.
29
30
           MR. TRITT: Discussion of co-management?
31 Mr. Chairman -- sorry. Mr. Chairman? I'd like to add, on the
32 bottom of the -- the discussion that Steve is talking about, I
33 would put in Old John Lake. We're having a lot of float plane
34 problems, that landing there, and people are concerned about
35 that. And I'd like to put that in there.
36
37
          MR. FLEENER: Was Old John Lake part of the discussion
38 at the time?
39
40
          MR. TRITT: Yes, but in the minutes at that time, I
41 don't know. It's supposed to be in there, but ....
42
43
          MR. FLEENER: Well, maybe you can bring it up this
44 time. I don't think we can put something down that we didn't
45 discuss last time. If you want to talk about it this time, I
46 think we can discuss it.
47
48
          MR. TRITT: Okay.
```

0044 discussed last time. 2 3 MR. TRITT: Yeah, I brought it up earlier, so 4 5 MR. FLEENER: Uh-huh. Okay. 6 7 MR. GINNIS: So on this -- Mr. Chairman, then on this 8 issue here, it doesn't require any regulatory change, to change the management area to shift it further north? I mean, is 10 there -- I mean, I'm just curious. How is this going to be 11 worked out between the refuge managers and the people affected? 12 13 MR. MATHEWS: Well, I may need to pull on Greg on this 14 to find out, but I think there - and Calvin may correct me -15 there was confusion. What I heard is that there was possibly 16 confusion in the village as to where the boundary of the 17 village -- the Sheep Management areas was. And once that was 18 cleared up, I think their concern wasn't as strong on changing 19 the whole management area. In answer to your question, to 20 change the management area to a different location would be a 21 regulatory action, and would require board and the full review. 22 That's not administratively done by the refuges. 23 24 MR. GINNIS: Then so their meeting would be just -- I 25 mean, their meeting would be just fruitless, huh? I mean, to 26 try to address this concern? I mean, 27 28 MR. MATHEWS: If it's still a valid concern, I wouldn't 29 coin it as fruitless. I would coin it as more that there may 30 be other options that could be worked out through the 31 management authorities of refuges on the Sheep Management area. 32 My understanding is that once the area was defined that it was 33 from the drainage - and again I may need Greg on this, because 34 I'm really searching here - it wasn't clear where that line 35 was. Once that was cleared up, I thought the village was 36 comfortable with the existing boundaries of the village -- the 37 Sheep Management area. 38 39 MR. McCLELLAN: Yeah, I guess -- Mr. Chairman? 40 MR. MATHEWS: Greg, I think you need to go up to the 42 mike. I don't know how we want to do this. We can either nip 43 it in the bud right now, or -- probably be best. 44 45 MR. GINNIS: Well, I'm just trying to get it clear in 46 my mind what's going on here. But it says regulatory process;

47 to include it in the next regulatory process. And what you're 48 saying is, no, they're going to meet together. Well, meeting 49 together ain't going to resolve this issue if it requires a

50 regulatory change.

0045 1 MR. MATHEWS: Correct. 2 3 MR. GINNIS: I mean, you need to really get to the issue of either making a regulatory change, or not doing it 5 all. That's the way I look at it. 6 7 MR. FLEENER: Greg, go ahead. 8 MR. McCLELLAN: Mr. Chairman, Greg McClellan with 10 Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Just for clarification, 11 Steve. At the Tanana meeting, Calvin did bring up the issue of 12 Red Sheep Creek and the caribou migration. And my recollection 13 was, at the meeting, Calvin asked that Jim Kurth, the Refuge 14 Manager for Arctic National Wildlife Refuge, to come up there 15 and talk about those issues. We came up there a couple weeks 16 after the meeting, and had a meeting with the folks in Venetie. 17 And we talked about that issue, and they weren't aware that Red 18 Sheep Creek and the Drainages of Red Sheep Creek and King Creek 19 were within the existing Arctic Village Sheep Management area. 20 And after that was discussed at that meeting, there wasn't 21 anymore discussion brought up on that issue. 22 23 MR. PHILIP TITUS: So what was the concern of these 24 people? That they were being excluded from hunting, or they 25 were denied? 26 27 MR. McCLELLAN: No. The Arctic Village Sheep 28 Management area is a area north of Arctic Village that's only 29 open to sheep hunting for local people from, I believe, five 30 different villages. And the Red Sheep Creek Drainage, 31 historically and traditionally, has been the drainage with the 32 best sheep habitat. And prior to 1994, that Red Sheep Creek 33 Drainage wasn't included within the Arctic Village Sheep 34 Management area. But in '94, '95, it got included in the Red 35 Sheep, Arctic Village management area, and 36 37 MR. PHILIP TITUS: So right now they're allowed to hunt 38 as they did before? 39 40 MR. McCLELLAN: Correct. It's only open to subsistence 41 hunting. It's not open to outside sport hunting. 42 43 MR. PHILIP TITUS: Does that clear it up, Steve? 44 45 MR. GINNIS: Yeah, I guess so. 46 47 MR. FLEENER: If they hold this meeting, or if they 48 hold meetings up there and discuss these issues, are they going 49 to miss out on a possible regulation change for this regulatory 50 year, if that's still important to them to have a regulatory

0046 change? 3 MR. MATHEWS: Well, the due date for proposals is 4 October 24th. I suppose, using Steven's approach, my 5 understanding, what information's given to me, is that that 6 issue is no longer an issue to Arctic Village and Venetie. 7 I think they've selected to, once this was clarified on 8 boundaries, to focus their energies on the caribou migration concern. So yes, you're right, if they don't submit a proposal 10 by October 24th, they would have to wait until next year, 11 October. 12 13 And Calvin, you say that there may not be MR. FLEENER: 14 an interest in a proposal to change the season -- I mean, to 15 change the boundary? 16 17 MR. MATHEWS: Change the boundary of the 18 management 19 20 MR. TRITT: Well, does Fish and Management 21 22 MR. FLEENER: Yeah. 23 24 MR. TRITT: Well, what they discussed in that meeting 25 was that we know we have problems within this three areas. And 26 what we're trying to get to is, like you were saying -- like 27 you were talking about co-management, and that's an area that 28 we're trying to get into. Instead of proposing it, which takes 29 about a year, that the council members decided that maybe, if 30 we sit down with Jim Kurth, that's the management -- refuge 31 management, maybe we'll come to a conclusion as a government to 32 government basis type. Discussing and solving the problem, 33 instead of going through the proposal, and have to deal with 34 all these other departments, Sportsman Association, whoever. 35 And they think that if we sit down and discuss it as a -- like 36 I said, government to government, then we'll solve -- if we 37 solve these problems, it would be just a lot more easier than 38 going through all this route. 39 40 MR. FLEENER: Okay. Thanks Calvin. Does that clarify 41 things, Steve? 42 43 MR. GINNIS: Well, yeah, I guess. I mean, you know, 44 when we originally discussed it, you know, I understood that 45 there was some regulatory issue to be addressed here, is the 46 way I understood it when we first discussed it. I guess that's 47 why I made the motion the way I did, you know. And like vince

48 is saying, that if there's a regulatory change being proposed 49 here, we got to get with it. The 23rd is what, next week, a

```
0047
          MR. FLEENER: And are there any proposed meetings
2 before the 24th?
          MR. TRITT: We invited them, but we never set a date
5 when we could ....
6
7
          MR. McCLELLAN: Correct. We never did set a date,
8 but ....
9
10
          MR. FLEENER: Okay, you guys have to go up the 20th.
11 It's been settled.
12
13
          MR. TRITT: What's today's ....
14
15
          MR. PHILIP TITUS: The 15th.
16
17
          MR. FLEENER: Okay. Thank you, Greg. Is there ....
18
19
          MR. PHILIP TITUS: Can we just draft up a proposal for
20 them, and ask them to discuss it at their meeting? If they
21 want to accept it, they could, if they don't, ....
22
23
          MR. FLEENER: Well, we can, but it doesn't sound like
24 they want a proposal now. It sounds like they just want to
25 work it out.
26
27
          MR. PHILIP TITUS: Well, it's something to fall back on
28 in case they need our ....
29
          MR. FLEENER: Well, I guess we can discuss that later
30
31 on. Okay, are there any more issues on the approval of the
32 minutes?
33
34
          MR. MILLER: Mr. Chair?
35
36
          MR. FLEENER: Yes?
37
38
          MR. MILLER: I've just got one. On page 7, the first
39 paragraph, requested change. All through everything else in
40 the minutes we've referred to Dot Lake as the Native Village of
41 Dot Lake, except for that first -- I don't know whether ....
42
43
          MR. PHILIP TITUS: Which one are you reading?
44
45
          MR. FLEENER: The requested change, the first paragraph
46 on page 7.
47
48
          MR. MILLER: Yeah. I don't know if that was just a
49 typo, Vince, or whether ....
```

0048 MR. MATHEWS: Okay. If we're talking page 7 there, 2 under 25(A) through G, correct? MR. MILLER: Under page 7, it's requested change, the 5 first 6 7 MR. FLEENER: Proposal No. 22? 8 MR. MATHEWS: Right. That was a combined proposals --10 I don't know how many, but at least A through G, so that was 11 just trying to capture in a sentence what was going on there 12 under requested change. So it wouldn't have mentioned a lot of 13 detail in that sentence change, because the board, the staff 14 committee, and others would have had the full proposals in 15 front of them. 16 17 MR. FLEENER: Yeah, but if this is a community, we 18 might want to change that to get it corrected. If it said 19 Venetie there, you know, that would be -- we would want to 20 change that. So if it's -- I mean, what Chuck is saying is 21 that there's a difference between the Native Village of Dot 22 Lake and Dot Lake. Unless it encompasses both, then I guess 23 you could put both, but 24 25 MR. MILLER: I don't think for this, it didn't 26 encompass both in this discussion. 27 28 MR. MATHEWS: Right. Oh, okay, you're up -- okay, I'm 29 sorry. I was looking at 25, Proposal 25. 30 31 MR. FLEENER: Yes, it's Proposal 22, the very top of 32 page 7. 33 34 MR. MATHEWS: Well, the only thing I can be is honest. 35 I don't have Proposal 22 in front of me to know what originally 36 was submitted. I know when we had our subcommittee meeting of 37 representatives from the others, that's when Dot Lake, Native 38 Village of Dot Lake was differentiated and moved forward at the 39 council level. I would have to look at Proposal 22, because 40 that is capturing what was said there in the proposal itself. 41 And we can 42 43 MR. FLEENER: Well, maybe we can leave that to you to 44 research, and if it says Native Village, then change it. 45 46 MR. MATHEWS: We can look at -- then insert Native 47 48 MR. MILLER: Okay. Well, see in the second paragraph 49 under recommendations, it refers to it as the Native Village of

50 Dot Lake. What I'm saying is that it's two different

```
0049
  communities, and I just want to get that straight.
3
           MR. MATHEWS: Correct. Does the council recommendation
4 reflect that?
5
6
           MR. FLEENER: Yes, it does.
7
8
           MR. MATHEWS: Yes, it does. Okay. I will research it,
  and if the original proposal has Native Village of Dot lake,
10 then I'll add it to the minutes.
11
12
           MR. FLEENER: Okay, is there anything else?
13
14
           MR. GINNIS: Mr. Chairman, I'll move to approve the
15 minutes of February 5th, 1997.
16
17
          MR. NICHOLIA: Second.
18
19
          MR. FLEENER: With changes?
20
21
          MR. GINNIS: Yeah.
22
23
           MR. FLEENER: Okay, there's a motion to accept, adopt,
24 second by Gerald Nicholia. Is there any discussion?
25
26
           MR. PHILIP TITUS: Call for question on the motion.
27
28
           MR. FLEENER: Question's been called. All in favor of
29 the motion, signify by saying aye.
30
31
           ALL MEMBERS: Aye.
32
33
           MR. FLEENER: All opposed, same sign? Motion carried.
34 Elections and appointments.
35
36
          MR. MATHEWS: Yes, Mr. Chairman, you have three
37 offices. You have the chair, vice chair, and secretary. And
38 they are annual positions. And I think that's all I need to
39 say on that.
40
41
           MR. GOOD: Mr. Chairman?
42
43
           MR. FLEENER: Yes?
44
45
           MR. GOOD: I nominate Craiq Fleener as the chairman.
46
47
          MR. TITUS: Second.
48
49
          MR. FLEENER: There's a nomination and a second.
```

50 there any other nominations? I was just talking to several of

```
0050
```

2223

2425

26

32

35

41

48 49

the council members earlier about my being chairman of this council, and I don't know if this is the time to talk about it or not, but since I'm chairman I guess I'll talk about it. That it's been a pleasure to be chair of the Advisory Council. 5 And I've been concerned with a lot of issues around the Yukon 6 Flats and around Alaska, and I've been involved in an awful lot 7 of things. And I'm considering, and still haven't fully come 8 to grips with whether or not I'm wanting to step down from chair or not. But I've been involved in a lot of things, you 10 know. I go to school full time, I work a lot of hours, I'm a 11 member of many different councils. And I was considering the 12 possibility of stepping down, at least for a year, until I get 13 out of school, and maybe turning down the appointment as -- or 14 if it's voted that way, as chairman. And so I think I'll do 15 that. And I don't know what the terminology is to turn the job 16 down, but if there are any other nominations, I'd like for you 17 guys to consider making other nominations. 18

19 MR. GOOD: Mr. Chairman?

MR. FLEENER: Yes?

MR. GOOD: Out of curiosity,

MR. FLEENER: You're going to scold me, now.

MR. GOOD: Well, no, I'm just going to ask you if you 28 consulted with Steve Ginnis to get to where you are now?

29
30 MR. FLEENER: If I consulted Steve about me stepping 31 down?

 $\,$ MR. GOOD: Well, we heard the same speech from Steve $\,$ 34 last year, you know.

36 MR. FLEENER: No, but Steve is also on a lot of 37 councils.
38

 $39\,$ MR. GINNIS: What are you -- trying to get me in this $40\,$ thing?

MR. FLEENER: Oh, he just was wondering if I'm giving 43 the same speech you gave last year, because you've been on a 44 lot of councils. But going to school full time and working is 45 a -- and being chairman is a big responsibility, going to a lot 46 of meetings. So anyway, are there any other nominations? Did 47 that answer your question in a roundabout way?

MR. GINNIS: Well, in any case, Mr. Chairman, I hope

50 that you accept this, and, you know, carry out the

```
0051
  responsibilities as involved in it, you know, because, you
  know, you can't do a -- I was going to use a word, but I'm not
  going to use it, you know. You can't dedicate half your time
4 to it, whatever. It's got to be a full commitment, you know.
  That's why I don't accept this myself, you know.
                                                     I'd like to
  chair this council myself, but I got many other things that's
7 going on, you know, and besides that, it's a couple times a
8 year we meet, you know. Unless there's something else that
9 goes on in between, you know, different meetings that occur
10 once in a while.
11
12
          MR. FLEENER: Yeah, as the chairman, you attend several
13 more meetings than the regular council meetings, and you're on
14 the telephone very frequently looking at an awful lot of
15 paperwork, so ....
16
17
          MR. GINNIS: So anyway, I hope that, you know, whoever
18 wants to accept this chairmanship, that they understand that
19 there's a responsibility, and the commitment has to be there,
20 you know.
21
22
          MR. FLEENER: Well, are there any other nominations?
23
24
          MR. GINNIS: I'll move to close the nominations on the
25 chair.
26
27
          MR. FLEENER: Well, you can't close it, because I
28 turned down the job. There's no nominations right now.
29
30
          MR. GINNIS: Oh, you're ....
31
32
          MR. FLEENER: Yeah, I ....
33
34
          MR. GINNIS: Oh, I see.
35
36
          MR. FLEENER: That's the discussion.
37
38
          MR. GINNIS: That's what you were doing. Why didn't
39 you just say it?
40
41
           (General laughter)
42
43
          MR. FLEENER: I'm trying to do it gracefully, but I
44 didn't know how. I'm not good at turning things down.
45 always say yes.
46
47
          MR. GINNIS: Well, -- no, I ain't going to say nothing.
48
49
          MR. FLEENER: Are there any nominations?
```

```
0052
1
          MR. GOOD: Mr. Chairman?
2
3
          MR. FLEENER: Yes?
4
5
          MR. GOOD: I nominate Chuck Miller.
6
7
          MR. FLEENER: Chuck Miller's been nominated. Is there
8 a second to the nomination?
10
          MR. NICHOLIA: I'll second the motion.
11
12
          MR. FLEENER: Second by Gerald Nicholia. Are there any
13 other nominations?
14
15
          MR. GINNIS: Move to close the nominations.
16
17
          MR. FLEENER: Nominations have been closed. Do we
18 handle this just like regular ....
19
           MR. GINNIS: No, ask for unanimous consent,
21 Mr. Chairman. If there's no objection, ask for unanimous
22 consent.
23
24
          MR. FLEENER: Okay, unanimous consent has been asked
25 for. Is there any objection? Okay, there's no objection.
26 guess Mr. Miller is now the chairman. Congratulations. Shall
27 we move to vice chair?
28
29
          MR. GINNIS: Well, give him the meeting.
30
31
          MR. FLEENER: Yes, I guess I need to turn the meeting
32 over to you. Are you ready to ....
33
34
          MR. MILLER: I guess so.
35
36
          MR. FLEENER: Okay. You're now the man.
37
38
          MR. MILLER: Okay. Now, it's open to nominations for
39 vice chair.
40
41
          MR. FLEENER: I'd like to nominate Philip Titus.
42
43
          MR. TRITT: Second it. Mr. Chairman, I second it.
44
45
          MR. MILLER: Okay, seconded by Calvin Tritt.
46
47
          MR. GINNIS: Move to close the nominations and ask for
48 unanimous consent.
49
```

```
0053
1
          MR. GOOD: Second.
2
3
           MR. MILLER: Second by Nat Good. Is there any
4 objections? No objections, unanimous consent. Philip Titus is
5 vice chair. Okay, it's open to nominations for secretary.
6
7
                         I'd like to nominate Nat Good.
           MR. FLEENER:
8
9
          MR. NICHOLIA: I'll second it.
10
11
          MR. MILLER: Seconded by Gerald. Do I hear any other
12 nominations?
13
14
          MR. PHILIP TITUS: Move to close the nominations.
15
16
          MR. MILLER: So moved.
17
18
          MR. NICHOLIA: Second.
19
20
          MR. FLEENER: Second. Ask for unanimous consent.
21
22
          MR. MILLER: Do I hear any questions or objections? I
23 hear none. Okay. Other appointments? Is this open at this
24 time?
2.5
26
           MR. MATHEWS: Yeah, Mr. Chairman, that was just
27 inserted in there in case there was a need. But for
28 Subsistence Resource Commissions, there's no appointments
29 unless the Park Service lets me know of any, but you appointed
30 Frank Entsminger to Wrangell-St. Elias, you appointed Paul
31 Starr to Denali. For Cooperative Management Groups and that, I
32 think when we get into the co-management, if there needs to be
33 any further work, we may have to look at the makeup of that
34 group again, I don't know. So no, there's no other
35 appointments, unless there's another agency that knows of one
36 that I'm overlooking.
37
38
          MR. GOOD: Mr. Chairman?
39
40
          MR. MILLER: Go ahead.
41
42
          MR. GOOD: We had one other appointment. I was
43 appointed to Fortymile Caribou Management Team last year. I
44 don't know whether that continues, or ....
45
46
          MR. MATHEWS:
                         The way we've done that is ....
47
48
          MR. FLEENER: I don't think that's an appointment by
49 us, though. Do we address appointments that are not by us,
```

50 or

```
0054
1
           MR. GOOD: No, you appointed me.
2
3
           MR. FLEENER: Oh, we appointed you. Boy, I'm really
  lost then.
5
6
           MR. MATHEWS:
                        But his question is the time length, and
7
  I'll ask Pete or somebody with the state. I think you serve
8
  until ....
9
10
           MR. FLEENER: You're dead.
11
12
           (General laughter)
13
14
           MR. MATHEWS: I don't know of any time frames on the
15 Fortymile Caribou Herd. I don't think they have a -- you're
16 like the Supreme Court, I'm being told. I suppose to rephrase
17 it another way is, is Nat still comfortable serving on that?
18 If he's not, then we need to make an appointment. That's
19 another way of looking at it.
20
21
           MR. FLEENER: You belong there.
22
23
           MR. GOOD: I have no problem with serving on it, and
24 I'll be glad to report on it later. I expect that Craig back
25 there was just itching at the bit to get up there, but I'll be
26 helping him with that, I suspect. I have no problem with it,
27 as long as nobody else wishes to serve on it.
28
29
           MR. FLEENER: It's your's ....
30
31
           MR. MILLER: You're stuck.
32
33
           (General laughter)
34
35
           MR. GOOD: No volunteers?
36
37
           MR. MILLER: The informational items. Okay, Vince,
38 help me through this one.
39
40
           MR. MATHEWS: Oh, the chair reports?
41
42
           MR. MILLER: No, informational items.
43
44
           MR. FLEENER: That's the next thing is the chair
45 reports.
46
47
           MR. MILLER: Oh, the chair's report? Okay, that's ....
48
49
           MR. FLEENER: Hey, you're the chair.
                                                 No, I'm kidding.
```

50 Let's see what I've got on the \dots

MR. MATHEWS: Well, I think as we talked in the work session, we have staff here to help you through each of these items, so you could be the qualifier to make sure that the intent or other parts of it -- so we

5 6

6 MR. FLEENER: Yeah, last time I think I was more 7 prepared to give comment on the council chairs' meeting, but 8 this time I think I'm a little less prepared. So I think I 9 will be a qualifier.

10 11

MR. MATHEWS: Yeah. I think what's happening there, 12 not only because of that, is because some of these are still 13 evolving, and I think Tom is going to present regional 14 council -- I don't know, Tom will address me if he's going 15 to -- who's going to bring up regional council member 16 compensation, restructuring the board, and then the MOA with 17 the Alaska Department of Fish and Game. And that should bring 18 us up to lunch.

19 20

20 MR. FLEENER: Do you want me to go ahead and at least 21 introduce these, or do you want to just go ahead and take it?

22 23

MR. MATHEWS: Well, Tom and Bill can

24

MR. BOYD: Bill and I will do a tag team. Should we 26 just go sit up there together?

27

MR. GINNIS: Mr. Chairman, can you tell me where we're at on this agenda?

30

31 MR. MATHEWS: We're under joint chairs, the chair's 32 reports.

33

34 MR. GINNIS: Here it says regional council chairs 35 meeting, 4/6/97.

36 37

MR. MATHEWS: Yes.

38

MR. FLEENER: They're going to tell us -- they're going 40 to update us on the requests we made, and how far they've gone, 41 and one of the items is regional council compensation for 42 meeting attendance.

43

MR. MATHEWS: Maybe Ginnis needs to be reminded that 45 before any of the board meetings, all ten chairs meet on their 46 own, usually the evening before. They have their own session. 47 The next day in the morning, the ten chairs and the board meet 48 in executive session. These are items that were talked about 49 there, and Tom and Bill are going to give an update of where

50 those have gone, or the status of them.

0056

MR. BOYD: Are you ready, Mr. Chair?

MR. MILLER: Yes, go ahead.

MR. BOYD: Okay. I'll direct the council's attention to Tab C. And what you have before you there is a letter that was sent to the Secretary of Interior from the chair of the Federal Subsistence Board, to address concerns raised by the meeting with the board and the chairs last April. And the concerns expressed by those chairs were that, in addressing the issue of compensation for council members, in a former letter, which, if you turn the page, you'll see one dated March 25th. That this particular March letter did not duly justify the need for the compensation in the eyes of the chairs. The chair of the Federal Subsistence Board, Mitch Demientieff, then said he would redraft that letter, forward it to the secretaries, better explaining the need for compensation. And that's the august 27th letter that you see in front of you.

19

20 In the way of background, if you'll -- some of you may 21 recall that two councils - I may have the timing wrong on 22 this - two of the councils, the Northwest Arctic and the Seward 23 Peninsula Council, had requested earlier the need for 24 compensating council members. This was taken up in a board 25 chair joint session in 1996, and it was decided by the board to 26 request that compensation, through the Secretary of the 27 Interior, and then we have the follow-up letter. In explaining 28 the rationale, I think I would probably summarize by turning to 29 the last paragraph of that August letter. It says that the 30 Federal Subsistence Regional Councils feel that current 31 reimbursement coverage is inadequate; that it falls short of 32 compensating council members for actual financial costs 33 incurred as a consequence of their service to the regional 34 councils; that they forego important income producing 35 opportunities when they attend meetings and conduct other 36 regional council business, and that the loss of this income is 37 especially significant because of the high cost of goods and 38 services in rural Alaska. And because of the importance of 39 subsistence to rural Alaska, this additional compensation was 40 felt justified. And when I talk about compensation, I'm 41 talking about essentially being paid over and above the 42 reimbursement for lodging and travel expenses. So this is an 43 update on that issue to show you that the chair of the Federal 44 Subsistence Board did forward your concerns to the secretary.

45 46

46 MR. FLEENER: Mr. Chair? Has there been any response 47 yet from the secretary?

48 49

MR. BOYD: No, there has not been.

```
00057
1
          MR. GINNIS: Who's following this up, this issue here?
2
3
          MR. BOYD: Well, this would be my office, Mr. Ginnis.
4
          MR. GINNIS: Okay. Well, it seems to me that it needs
6 to be not only a letter going back and forth there, I think it
7 needs a little more additional follow-up, the way I view it. I
8 mean, if we're serious about this, I would think that there
9 would be a move to try to include this in the 1996/97
10 appropriations bill, if at all possible. But some move's got
11 to be made to try to put that into the appropriations bill. It
12 ain't going to come about just with letter exchanging, I don't
13 think. So it requests that, you know, further follow-up be
14 done, that it is indeed included in some appropriations bill.
15 It's a price tag of about a $150,000, according to this letter.
16 So I don't think that nothing would occur unless we actually
17 try to get it into some appropriation.
18
19
          MR. FLEENER: Mr. Chairman? I'd like to ask Tom, what
20 is the usual turnaround time for response from the secretary,
21 or is there a usual?
22
23
          MR BOYD: There is no usual. It depends on the issue
24 and the subject, and I really can't answer your question. It
25 depends on how high it is on his radar screen.
26
27
          MR. FLEENER: And have we been in contact with anybody
28 a little lower in his office to see where this is?
29
30
          MR. BOYD: I haven't, no, not to date. You can see it
31 was submitted the end of August.
32
33
          MR. FLEENER: August 27th, yes.
34
35
          MR. BOYD: And it's probably right -- the timing is
36 probably right to do follow-up.
37
38
          MR. GINNIS: So I need -- Vince, I wouldn't need to put
39 this in a motion, do I?
40
41
          MR. MATHEWS: Well, ....
42
43
          MR. GINNIS: I mean, to do further follow-up? By that
44 I mean, ....
45
46
          MR. FLEENER: Not to do a letter.
47
48
          MR. GINNIS: .... do I actually follow it up to the
49 point where it's actually put in the appropriations bill?
```

MR. MATHEWS: Well, I'm going to

1

MR. GINNIS: I mean, we're asking the secretary, I guess, to put that in. But I think it's got to go further than that.

6

MR. MATHEWS: Well, I need to kind of defer to Tom in that, because there's a question before you get to the appropriation bill that needs to be answered here, or did I miss something here? Because you have to have the question answered, can you compensate Advisory Council members before you can go to an appropriation, or maybe I missed something when I was

14

15 MR. BOYD: Well, I think we've wrestled with that 16 question, and I don't think we would have written this letter 17 had we not found that we could do this. I think the real 18 question is whether or not it's a good idea to do it. There's 19 a lot more implications than what might readily meet the eye 20 here. And I'm not sure that I fully understand them all. But 21 we have literally hundreds of advisory committees and councils 22 throughout the United States that are staffed and served by 23 volunteer citizens. And the question that policy makers face 24 is, if I compensate this particular set of councils, do I then 25 set a precedent? And I'm speaking honestly here. Do I then 26 set a precedent for compensating other council members? And is 27 there a reason to do that? And I think what we're trying to do 28 is sort of set the stage for doing that by laying out the 29 justification. Whether or not it gets buy-off at those levels 30 because of these other considerations, that's the question I 31 can't answer. So we're trying to introduce the idea, justify 32 it, and then get sort of an agreement or an okay to do so, and 33 then I think we can move forward with it. Whether or not -- I 34 mean, we could put it in an appropriations package, and 35 somebody in the budget office at several levels might just 36 strike it without that concurrence, if you will, at the higher 37 levels. And so we want to make sure that we're on board with 38 the secretary's concurrence on this.

39

MR. FLEENER: Mr. Chairman? Part of the discussion
41 with the chairs was exactly what he's talking about, the
42 problem of setting precedents. And we believe - the chairs
43 that got together, anyway - believe that some precedents do
44 need to be set, and that there's nothing necessarily wrong with
45 setting a precedent. And people have a bad -- they look at
46 that and they hear, he's going to set a precedent, and there's
47 something bad. But it's not necessarily a bad thing to set a
48 precedent. Some precedents need to be set, and if we need to
49 be the one to set this, then so let it be. But we really

50 aren't going to be setting a precedent. There are, like he

said, Tom said, that there are some people that do receive compensation, but it's written into their charter, or whatever you call it. So their charters have it in there, and if we need to have our charter changed to put it in the charter, then maybe we can have that done. But there are some groups -- so it's not necessarily setting a precedent. It may be a little different order, but the precedent has already been set, and there are some groups that do receive compensation.

9

10 MR. BOYD: In some cases, they're actually written into 11 the law that established those particular councils, but not in 12 this case.

13

MR. MATHEWS: So back to Steven's -- in general, if you 15 want to get it clearly on the record, and clear to all what 16 your intent is, usually a motion is the best way. Then it's 17 clear that everyone understands, because all the members vote 18 on it. So I don't know if it's necessary here, that's for you 19 to determine.

20

21 MR. GINNIS: Well, I think we're making our position --22 I mean, I think I'm making my position clear on it, you know. 23 How do you handle it from there, I guess, is really up to you. 24 Now, you know, this argument about whether this is going to set 25 a precedent just doesn't wash with me, okay? It doesn't wash 26 with me, simply because there are other federal agencies, such 27 as the Yukon River Panel, that are being compensated above and 28 beyond their per diem rate. And certainly, you know, coming 29 from a rural area and having to try to live on the little 30 income that you give us here, it just doesn't -- you know, I'm 31 not asking for \$200 a day, or something like that, you know; 32 something to get by with, and that's all we're asking for. And 33 I don't think that's much to ask for. And the price tag of 34 150,000, I mean, heck, you know, you spend that just on doing a 35 study. So, you know, I'm just asking that this thing go a 36 little further than just a letter exchanging -- exchange of 37 letter. I hope that somewhere along the line it might be 38 appropriated actually into an appropriations of some sort. 39 Thank you.

40 41

MR. MILLER: Is there any more questions on

42

43 MR. FLEENER: Well, would this be an appropriate time 44 to make a motion, or should we wait for -- I guess this would 45 be the appropriate time, if we wanted a motion to send a letter 46 to that effect.

47

48 MR. MATHEWS: Well, I think Steven concluded that he 49 was comfortable. Tom is in charge of the whole program. Can't

50 go much higher, can we, Tom?

```
0060
1
           MR. BOYD: Oh, yeah, you can.
2
3
           MR. MATHEWS: Well, I mean, you can go higher, but he's
  the one that's going to -- well, he's in charge of the program,
5
   so I ....
6
7
           MR. BOYD: Well, I'll just ....
8
9
           MR. GINNIS: We start with Tom and go from there.
10
11
          MR. BOYD: It's really something that the chairs have
12 expressed directly to the board, so I'm operating on behalf of
13 the board in doing their bidding, so I think this is something
14 the chairs can continue, you know, if you desire. If you're
15 looking for an avenue, that's one I would suggest you look at,
16 is that the chairs continue, and the put an issue in front of
17 the board.
18
19
           MR. FLEENER: Well, maybe then what we can do,
20 Mr. Chair, is to put this into our annual report, as an annual
21 report item that we're still interested in pursuing this, and
22 that'll go to the board then.
23
24
           MR. MILLER: Any more questions?
25
26
           MR. PHILIP TITUS: What kind of action? We're just
27 going to put it in the annual report?
28
29
           MR. MILLER: Yeah, that kind of puts it in Vince's
30 ballpark there.
31
32
           MR. PHILIP TITUS: That's all I wanted to know.
33
34
           MR. MILLER: You got that, Vince?
35
36
                        Well, we decide what goes in the annual
          MR. FLEENER:
37 report.
38
           MR. MATHEWS: No, I don't decide what goes in the
39
40 annual report. I just -- I'm the one that types it in. And I
41 just noted it, so when we get to annual reports, these ideas,
42 I'll bring them up to see if you still want them in there. And
43 then the annual report comes back to you late winter, then you
44 guys go up or down on it.
45
46
           MR. FLEENER:
                         I wonder how long will we take to go
47 through the rest of agenda Item A?
48
49
           MR. MATHEWS:
                         The restructuring and that, it's up to
```

50 you. It probably could be about five to ten minutes. Oh, and

```
0061
   she needs to change her tape.
3
           MR. MILLER: Well, let's ....
4
5
           MR. GINNIS: Mr. Chairman, let's just take a lunch
6
  break.
7
8
                        Yeah, let's go ahead and break for lunch
           MR. MILLER:
9 for an hour, 1:30.
10
11
           (Off record)
12
13
           (On record:
                       1:40 p.m.)
14
15
           (Lee Titus now present on panel)
16
17
          MR. MILLER: Okay, I'd like to call this meeting back
18 to order. Okay, we're on joint council chairs, Federal
19 Subsistence Board meeting, chair staff reports.
20
21
           MR. MATHEWS: Okay. Mr. Chairman, I think we're up to
22 restructuring of the board, the alternates for restructuring of
23 the board, which is under Tab D, as in Delta. And I don't
24 remember if it's Tom or Bill that'll be ....
25
26
           MR. BOYD: Either one of us.
27
28
           MR. MATHEWS: Either one.
29
30
           MR. BOYD: Bill's going to do this.
31
32
          MR. KNAUER: I think I've just been nominated.
33
34
           MR. MATHEWS: He's just been volunteered. Again, since
35 it's been lunch, remember these were items brought up by the
36 joint chairs. This is in process of responding to them, and
37 this is a briefing on it, with some input.
38
           MR. KNAUER: Mr. Chairman, as far as the issue of board
39
40 restructuring goes, this was first brought up back in about
41 1995 in two annual reports from regional councils, where it was
42 recommended that the board be restructured at that time to be
43 composed of the chairs of the regional councils. The issue was
44 continued in 1996, and presented to the board at their November
45 1996 joint board and chair meeting. The regional councils, at
46 their April 1997 council and chair meeting, slightly modified
47 the request, in that they endorsed the idea of reviewing the
48 structure of the board by a team, and they requested that,
49 rather than a board made up of the ten chairs, that a board
```

50 contain at least one chair. The task force that was to look at

this issue of board restructuring was composed of Mitch
Demientieff, Bill Thomas, Jim Kaplan of the Forest Service, and
Dave Allen of the Fish and Wildlife Service. Mitch, of course,
is the chair of the Federal Subsistence Board, and Bill Thomas
is the chair of the Southeast Regional Council.

The task force examined the issue and identified two very important constraints upon whatever structure the board becomes or remains. One relates to the delegation of authority. In order to promulgate regulations, they can only 11 be promulgated by either a group composed of federal employees, 12 or a federal employee. This would be -- could add serious considerations to a board, if it contained a number of 14 non-federal employees. That would tend to shift the place 15 where the rules were made to a higher level, which would 16 probably mean Washington D.C., so that was a real concern.

The other thing was, that if a board contained a number 19 of non-federal employees, then with the shifting of rule making 20 to a different level, that group then becomes an advisory body, 21 and there are specific laws that relate to the establishment of 22 advisory groups to the federal government. And there has been 23 over the past ten years, a significant effort to reduce the 24 number of advisory groups to the government, and to disallow 25 the establishment of any new ones, unless they're specifically 26 provided for in legislation. Now, it just so happens that the 27 Regional Advisory Councils are specifically provided for in 28 legislation. That's why they were able to be established.

MR. FLEENER: Mr. Chair, I'd like to ask Bill a 31 question. What about Mitch Demientieff's position? Is he 32 considered an employee of Fish and Game -- excuse me, Fish and 33 Wildlife Service?

MR. KNAUER: Your other life is intruding here.

MR. FLEENER: Yes, it is.

MR. KNAUER: Mitch, during the time that he serves on 40 the Federal Subsistence Board, is a federal employee.

42 MR. FLEENER: And do you know how many hours that 43 accounts for in a year?

MR. KNAUER: No, I don't know the total number of 46 hours, Mr. Fleener. Clearly, it's the time that he spends 47 conducting business at the board meetings. It also -- there's 48 some additional hours in preparation for those board meetings, 49 but I don't have a number for you.

1

MR. FLEENER: So it wouldn't be a gigantic amount, 2 though. If we were to consider one of the chairs, it would 3 be -- I mean, you're handing out options, but if it was done 4 something like Mitch's position, he could just be put on with temporary hours and be considered an employee.

6 7

MR. KNAUER: Certainly, the appointment of one would be 8 much more feasible than the appointment of ten individuals.

9 10

MR. FLEENER: Thank you.

11 12

MR. KNAUER: The task force essentially identified 13 three structures for a board that appeared to be the most 14 likely. One was the existing board, one would be an existing 15 board plus one regional council chair, and the other would be 16 the existing board plus one subsistence user, and one state 17 representative appointed -- this state representative would be 18 appointed by the governor. But then it would be -- excuse me, 19 nominated by the governor and then appointed by the secretary. 20 And at this time, the board, in making the presentation to all 21 of the regional councils, are asking for their comments on 22 these three various structures,

23 24

MR. BOYD: Or any others.

25 26

MR. KNAUER: or any others that the councils might 27 suggest.

28 29

MR. GINNIS: Mr. Chairman? What other -- I mean, when 30 this issue came out, I guess I kind of understand what the 31 issue's about, but here on No. 2 you said at least one -- the 32 recommendation is at least one of the regional council chair. 33 Is that out of all the Regional Advisory Committees? I mean, 34 there's 12 in the state, isn't there?

35 36

MR. KNAUER: There are ten regional councils.

37 38

MR. GINNIS: Okay.

39

40 MR. KNAUER: And the -- I'll call it alternative No. 2, 41 would be to have one of those chairs appointed by the secretary 42 to serve on the Federal Subsistence Board.

43

44 MR. FLEENER: Mr. Chair? What the intent of the ten 45 chairs that met at that was that they form a, kind of like 46 another group consisting of just the chairs, and we elected a 47 chair to chair the meeting of the chairs. And so this person 48 is who we considered would be the one to sit with them, but it 49 doesn't sound like that by what you're saying. What you're

50 saying is selected by the Secretary of Interior. Does that

```
0064
  mean that he will select out of one of our ten chairs for that
  option?
3
           MR. KNAUER: It would be the individual nominated by
5 the chairs, ....
6
7
           MR. FLEENER: Okay.
8
          MR. KNAUER: .... who would then be appointed by the
10 secretary.
11
12
           MR. FLEENER:
                         The intent of the chairs was to have the
13 chair of the chairs, though, so ....
14
15
          MR. GINNIS: Are you done with your presentation on the
16 restructuring?
17
18
          MR. KNAUER: Unless there are any questions, yes.
19
20
          MR. GINNIS: Well, I'll move to follow the
21 recommendation of No. 2, that the existing board plus at least
22 one of our regional council chairs be nominated by the regional
23 council chairs and appointed by the Secretary of Interior.
24 That's a motion.
2.5
26
          MR. MILLER: Do I hear a second?
27
28
           MR. GOOD: I'll second it.
29
30
          MR. MILLER: Okay, seconded by Nat Good.
31
32
           MR. LEE TITUS: So that you're making a motion to go
33 along with No. 2?
34
35
          MR. GINNIS: Yes.
36
37
          MR. GOOD: I have a question, Mr. Chairman.
38
39
          MR. MILLER: Go ahead, Nat.
40
41
           MR. GOOD: No. 3 adds a state representative.
42 the rationale behind that?
43
44
           MR. KNAUER: The state -- under the current structure,
45 the state is currently a liaison to the board, as are the
46 chairs. And this was presented as an option here that shows
47 balance between all interest groups and user groups.
48
49
           MR. GOOD: Mr. Chair?
```

44

47

MR. MILLER: Go ahead. MR. GOOD: Would the state want such a position? MR. FLEENER: Or did the state even -- did they have input into this? MR. BOYD: No, it did not. These are three options 9 that were developed. Certainly there are additional steps that 10 would have to take place for something like this to happen. 11 Obviously, one would be to MR. FLEENER: Ask the state. MR. BOYD: ask the state. I think at this point, 16 this is in the early stages of looking and developing options. 17 The next step is what we're into right now, and that's 18 soliciting input from the council on these views. That then 19 goes back to this committee, and they're going to develop a 20 recommendation that'll go before the board, based on input that 21 they receive, and maybe further thinking and analysis on this. MR. GINNIS: Vince, have other regional councils taken 24 action on this? MR. BOYD: Yes, this is an agenda item at all of the 27 Regional Advisory Council meetings this fall. MR. GINNIS: So what were their -- where did you just 30 come from? What MR. MATHEWS: Well, Western Interior, I need to get 33 confirmation from Tom, but Western Interior was concerned - I 34 need him to verify the bottom line - but they were concerned --35 expressed concern that if there was council chair on there, 36 that that person would have to wear two hats. When they're 37 serving on the board as a board member, they'd have to take off

38 their regional council hat, because they'd have to be looking 39 at things statewide and not just from their region perspective. 40 Then when their region came up, they'd have to switch hats. I 41 may have been pulled out of the room when they made their final 42 decision, because I'm not sure where they finally came down on 43 the recommendation on which alternative.

45 MR. BOYD: The Western Interior recommended the 46 existing board stay in place.

48 MR. MATHEWS: And I have not talked to the other 49 coordinators. Tom may have, or Bill, or others, for the other 50 regions. Seward Pen is meeting this week.

MR. BOYD: These are the last two council meetings.

1 2 3

3 MR. MATHEWS: Okay. So just -- so one more has not 4 met. So I have not talked to Southeast or North Slope, or 5 whatever, their feelings.

5 6 7

MR. BOYD: I don't have a tally in front of me, but based on my recollection, the Western Interior's position was they felt like the existing board was accommodating to their interests and needs. And the bottom line for them was, if it ain't broke, don't fix it. I can quote one of their members saying that. The Bristol Bay Council viewed it pretty much the same way. I think the Southeast Council went with I think option 2. But there are a variety of views, I'll just say that, amongst the councils. Some wanted No. 3, some wanted No. 2, and some wanted No. 1, so it's not unanimous by any stretch. And there were various reasons for picking each one of those. I think the majority of them fall somewhere between 19 1 and 2, though.

20 21

21 MR. FLEENER: That's really strange, because there 22 seemed to be quite a bit of unanimity among the chairs at the 23 meeting. That's interesting.

2425

MR. MILLER: What was their -- were they approached 26 with this, too?

2728

MR. FLEENER: Well, we were the ones who brought this recommendation forward, and they wanted to have -- at first they wanted it to be composed of the ten chairs, but we considered that that wouldn't really be very fair, and that it might result in some arguments amongst the chairs for different things, and that we wanted to pretty much stay united and just have one of our representatives go forward. And not being too concerned about the idea of the dual hat thing, because every chairman does the same thing. Every chairman acts as -- takes off one hat to do the other job, so that wasn't, you know, a bothersome consideration. I'll call for the question.

39 40

40 MR. MILLER: Okay, question's been called for. All in 41 favor, signify by saying aye.

42 43

ALL MEMBERS: Aye.

44

MR. MILLER: Opposed? Motion carries.

45 46

MR. MATHEWS: Okay. That brings us up to memorandum of 48 agreement with Alaska Department of Fish and Game. And that's 49 under Tab E, as in Everett. And Tom's going to present that,

50 and there are state people here also.

1 MR. BOYD: We are currently involved, when I say we, 2 the Federal Subsistence Board, has directed the staff to move forward with discussions with the state to develop improved 4 interagency working relationships that would benefit both 5 federal and state efforts to manage healthy fish and wildlife 6 resources and meet the needs of subsistence users. And kind of 7 the underlying theme here is to improve and to create benefits 8 for the users. Specific benefits that we're looking at are 9 councils and subsistence users. To councils and subsistence 10 users would be to reduce confusion between federal and state 11 programs, to improve wildlife information presented to the 12 councils, and to improve proposal analyses. To kind of give 13 you some background, back in '96, the Department of Fish and 14 Game proposed the development of a memorandum of agreement as 15 sort of an instrument that would at least formalize, if you 16 will, cooperation between the federal subsistence program and 17 the state's program. This would sort of revive attempts early 18 in the federal program back in 1990 and 1991, to develop a -19 I'll use the word, memorandum of understanding, if you will -20 but a formal mechanism for cooperation between the two 21 entities. That didn't happen then for a variety of reasons. 22 And I think over time we've learned that cooperation was 23 ongoing, at least at the staff and field levels, and that we 24 needed to do a better job at the program levels to, you know, 25 integrate the two programs to the extent that we could to, as 26 I've said earlier, to benefit the users. 27

28 The State Department of Fish and Game wrote us a 29 letter, and they identified some objectives that they wanted to 30 see included in some kind of a formal mechanism for 31 coordination. And these are at the bottom of that first --32 that second paragraph, labeled "background," and I'll just sort 33 of briefly read them. One, improved interaction between state 34 and federal regulatory systems and improve public involvement 35 in the regulatory processes. And the second one is to increase 36 involvement by the state in the federal regulatory process. 37 Three, appropriate compensation for state assistance to Federal 38 Subsistence program. And four, development of the general 39 coordination plan that would guide the development of area 40 specific subsistence resource management plans. I'm going to 41 underscore that these were the desires of the Department of 42 Fish and Game, and it sort of became the starting point for 43 discussions, if you will. None of these goals have been 44 endorsed by the federal subsistence board at this time, but 45 again, it was an expression of the state to us as to areas that 46 they would like to explore mutual agreement or coordination. 47

As a result of that initiative by the state, we 49 elevated this to the Subsistence Board, Federal Subsistence

50 Board, in January of '97, and the board endorsed, or I should

49

say, approved us to take the next steps at the staff level to 2 try to develop areas of mutual understanding and cooperation. There was a meeting just recently of a joint working group of 4 the Department of Fish and Game and the Federal Subsistence 5 Board, or Federal Subsistence program representative, and that 6 was last May. And the idea here was to review issues and 7 develop recommendations to be considered by both the 8 Commissioner of Fish and Game and the Federal Subsistence 9 Board. This working group has met once. There are other 10 future meetings planned. The working group consists of, on the 11 state side, representatives of the Divisions of Subsistence, 12 Wildlife Conservation, Commercial Fisheries, and Sport Fishing. 13 And there are four federal members, three of which are staff 14 committee members, and they represent three different agencies, 15 and one is a representative from the Office of Subsistence 16 Management Fish and Wildlife Service. 17

18 I think I misspoke, that first meeting was actually on 19 July 29th of this working group. The meeting was sort of set 20 up to develop some working understandings or ground rules for 21 meeting, and how the group was going to conduct its work, and 22 to develop some mutual general understanding of the State and 23 Federal Subsistence management processes, and the issues to be 24 addressed. I might just go straight to a list that you'll find 25 on page 2 under Tab E. The group identified some areas for I 26 guess further work or further discussions, and those are listed 27 on page 2, if I could just list them now: Closer alignment of 28 the state and federal proposal cycles to improve coordination; 29 closer coordination of the subsistence resource surveys and 30 studies and improved information exchange between the agencies; 31 Fish and Game Advisory Committee representation at regional 32 council meetings; joint production of regulation booklets and 33 other public information material; increased Fish and Game 34 participation in the preparation and/or review of proposals; 35 analyses to ensure technical information is complete and 36 appropriately presented; involvement of Alaska Department of 37 Fish and Game representatives in staff committee meetings to 38 ensure information from the state used in analyses is complete 39 and accurately characterized; and development of a 40 state/federal coordination plan that will guide joint 41 subsistence management planning efforts.

None of these, again, are set in stone. Nothing has 44 been approved. Nothing is final at this point. This is simply 45 a list for further exploration and discussion. We're putting 46 this before all of the regional councils to keep you informed 47 as we move forward, but to also get your input so that we can 48 use that in these discussions.

and the chairs on numerous occasion have asked for a lot of things that are pointed out here; closer alignment of proposal cycles, we've asked for closer coordination of surveys and studies to improve information exchange, because getting two different types of information from two different agencies managing the same moose that's running across a boundary line, is pretty confusing. And Fish and Game Advisory Committee representation, a lot of times we have that automatically, but that would be nice. And the joint production of regulation booklets, you know, that's definitely been something that people have talked about. Now, the others ones on the end of there, I don't recall having heard about, but I know that we've asked for a lot of these things.

14

MR. GINNIS: Mr. Chairman?

15 16 17

MR. MILLER: Go ahead, Steve.

18

19 MR. GINNIS: You know, I've got some sort of a 20 memorandum of agreement here trying to be developed. But 21 there's one thing here that I think that needs -- I would like 22 to express my concern about, is that there's no consultations 23 here with tribes. And like for instance, the closer 24 coordination of subsistence resource surveys and studies, and 25 improved information exchange between the agencies and tribal 26 organizations, or 638 contracting tribes, however you wish to 27 say it there. I think it would be a good idea, because, you 28 know, I think that coordinating or consultation with tribes has 29 got to be included somewhere in here. It's something that 30 we've been talking about, and I think it's something that we 31 talk about in terms of co-management. So I would like to see 32 something in this working agreement that includes tribal 33 organizations.

34 35

MR. BOYD: We've received similar comments from the 36 other councils.

37 38

38 MR. GINNIS: So what do you need here? Do you need 39 some sort of action, or you need a motion, or

40 41

MR. BOYD: I think we want to hear your comments, and 42 we're going to capture those and take them back to the working 43 group. I think the idea is to involve the councils to the best 44 that we can in this process as we move forward. I don't think 45 we're necessarily looking for motions, but that's something you 46 may want to consider.

47 48

MR. NICHOLIA: Mr. Chair?

49

1 MR. NICHOLIA: I like this idea of joint production of 2 regulation booklets, because there's a lot of people that go 3 out there and they have to go through the state regulation 4 booklet and the federal regulation booklet, and that causes 5 lots of confusion out in the field.

6 7

MR. LUKE TITUS: Mr. Chair?

8

MR. MILLER: Go ahead, Luke.

10 11

11 MR. LUKE TITUS: Did you state that most of these 12 recommendations were brought up at the committee level by the 13 state?

14 15

MR. BOYD: Some were brought up by the state, and some 16 were brought up on the federal side. There were ideas that 17 this working group, consisting of federal and state 18 representatives, agreed as a starting point for discussion 19 purposes, developing a formal mechanism for cooperation, like a 20 memorandum of agreement.

21

22 MR. LUKE TITUS: I know that Senator Stevens recently 23 introduced a bill in Congress pertaining to subsistence, and I 24 think there's something -- nobody has a clear -- I don't know 25 of anybody has the actual written language of the bill, as of 26 today. I mean, it was a bill that was done behind closed doors 27 without any kind of input from the people from Alaska. 28 don't know if this -- talking about this MOA between the 29 federal and state has -- I mean, I don't know if our discussion 30 here is going to be null and void until we get the wording of 31 that bill. And from my understanding, it was a rider bill 32 which pertains with a lot of other issues. And going through 33 the committees and the hearings, they dealt with everything. 34 Everything was written off except subsistence, and the bill 35 ended up with just waiting for the president to be signed with 36 just subsistence in the bill.

37

And I don't know, we're talking about MOA between the 39 federal and state. We wouldn't know until we get a copy of 40 that bill. And I think that -- I don't know, I have 41 conflicting ideas about MOA's between the federal and the 42 state, especially when like Steve says there's nothing 43 pertaining to the local communities. Doesn't seem like there's 44 going to be any kind of involvement. I know from my 45 understanding and the bill that Senator Stevens pushed through, 46 there was a lot of things that took away from the local 47 communities the authority. And there's something in there 48 about co-management. And I don't know, I can't go along with 49 any kind of MOA as of right now, until there's something

50 written in stone.

1 MR. MILLER: Vince, do you have any idea the bill 2 that's

MR. MATHEWS: Well, yes and no. The bill would be part of the package of dealing with the governor's task force to get the state to be in compliance with ANILCA, so there can be unified management. If that bill passes and goes forward, that would not take effect until the other components of that package - unless I'm wrong on this and someone will correct me - the other components that the state has to do; change the state statutes and it's regulations, and the amendment of the Constitutional Amendment. So Lee is bringing up some good points, but this MOA - and Tom will correct me if I got it wrong - is addressing as we stand now, not as what might be with the passage of bills that would not take effect until December of '98.

MR. BOYD: This effort to better coordinate between the federal and state programs, assumes the Federal Subsistence Program is ongoing. I don't think any of us, and I think Lee correctly points out, we have no idea what the future's going to hold, or whether or not the state will regain compliance with ANILCA. It assumes we're going to be in this business from now on, and we need to start looking at ways of better coordinating the two programs. And again, the goal is to the benefit of the users, because you've got two government agencies, if you will, or entities, that are fairly significant players in the wildlife management arena in the state, and there is a very definite need to figure out ways to better coordinate and cooperate. And that's what it's about, in a nut shell.

MR. FLEENER: Mr. Chairman, I can see myself agreeing 34 with -- yeah, agreeing with an MOA, as long as what Steve 35 pointed out takes place, that there are tribal resource 36 managers involved. And we have to act like it is going to keep 37 going on, you know, or else you can't run an operation thinking 38 you're going to be done in one year. We have to act like we're 39 going to keep on going, and hope that things will be settled, 40 but we have to keep acting like it's not going to be settled 41 for a long time, you know. And we can't talk about, well, we 42 might not be here in two years, so we don't need to pass these 43 types of things. We need to act like it's going to keep on 44 going for a while.

MR. GINNIS: Mr. Chairman?

MR. MILLER: Go ahead.

46

that what's going on politically with the subsistence issue really doesn't have much bearing on this memorandum of agreement. The thing that we're trying to impress here is a 4 little more working relationship between the federal managers 5 and those in the state system. And I think that's what we're 6 trying to address here. But in terms of that Senator Sevens' 7 amendment, you know, I was in Washington D.C. a week prior to 8 when he introduced this legislation. Now, I think it's important to remember that these amendments don't take effect 10 until the legislature actually acts on the Constitutional 11 Amendment. So there's a condition here, you know. So I think 12 that needs to be noted. The other thing is that the legal 13 review done by most attorneys, Native people that were --14 attorneys that work for Native people, there's at least six net 15 losses in that bill. And so, you know, just looking at it, 16 it's not -- anybody that says it's something that we could live 17 with, has got to be out of their mind. So currently, the way I 18 understand it, is that there's a presidential veto that's being 19 requested, that was hand delivered during a meeting that took 20 place between the Alaska Federation of Natives and some people 21 in the White House. 22

23 So I don't know what the status is there regarding that 24 presidential veto request, but like Lee was saying, it's this 25 legislation who has tapped onto an appropriations bill. And 26 that's one of the objections that the Native people are having, 27 is that it didn't go through the normal process where it would 28 be introduced as legislation, and then there would be hearings, 29 and, you know, the whole thing. And this bill just didn't --30 you know, it wasn't presented in that way, as most people know. 31 So, you know, that's the objection that the Native people have 32 regarding this bill. Later on, I will talk a little bit about 33 the subsistence issue from the way I understand it, and from 34 the involvement I've had in it from the Governor's Task Force 35 proposal to the hearings that are being held by the Senate 36 Resource Committee. In fact, they're having one in Galena 37 here, Friday, from 9 to 5. And I know that Tanana Chiefs has 38 made available funding for up to 20 villages to attend, you 39 know, paying their travel. And I plan to be there. I need to 40 do testimony on AITC's position. So I guess, as far as I'm 41 concerned, that this memorandum of agreement, I don't think 42 really has a bearing on what's really going on politically in 43 regards to the subsistence issue.

MR. MILLER: Go ahead, Philip.

47 MR. PHILIP TITUS: My concern is that one entity don't 48 give away what they can't give away. Like say, one got more 49 liberal rules and regulations than the other, and these guys --

50 these outsiders will come and use that regulation to deplete

the resources that the rural people live on. And that's a concern for me. And that's about it.

MR. MILLER: Go ahead, Vince.

MR. MATHEWS: Maybe I can help you through here and capture what we got so far, and see if you agree. Under the list of items on that second page that had the stars next to them, you seem to support closer alignment of state and federal proposal cycles, correct? You seem to support closer coordination of subsistence resource surveys, studies, improved information exchange, correct? You seem to

MR. GINNIS: Yeah, with the consultation of tribes.

MR. MATHEWS: Okay, that's what we needed to hear. And then you support closer coordination and working with local Advisory Committees? And you support joint production of regulation booklets and other public information. You have --20 that's what I've heard so far. I've heard no comments on the remaining three of, Alaska Department of Fish and Game participation in proposals, preparation -- you know, the analysis, the preparation there of it, representatives of Fish and Game on the staff committee, and no talk about the state and federal coordination plan. Doesn't mean you have to give a yea or nay on each of those, but I want the record to clearly reflect what you do support, and then you can address those if you want, or just make it clear that the record supports the four items.

MR. FLEENER: Mr. Chairman?

MR. MILLER: Go ahead, Craig.

MR. FLEENER: Yeah, I can also say that I think that increased ADF&G participation in reviewing proposal analysis, because it's my experience working with Fish and Game, is that they have some of the best biologists in the country. And we may not agree with them politically in a lot of cases, but they do have some good biologists that can provide some good analysis, and I think that I'd like to see their analysis at our meetings. And that's a nonpolitical thing, it's technical. You know, they're providing technical assistance to making —44 so we can make the best decisions based on all the information 45 that we receive.

MR. MATHEWS: So if I understood that, the council 48 would support review of proposal analysis?

0074 MR. FLEENER: Well, I do. You'd have to ask the rest 2 of them. 3 MR. LEE TITUS: How is this going to be done? Is the 5 federal government going to write their own proposal and their 6 analysis on certain issues, and then send it to the State 7 Department and have them look at it, or are you guys going to 8 sit in a room together and go through these proposals? 9 10 MR. BOYD: I can't tell you what the final outcome of 11 this will be, Lee, in terms of how it might be done. But the 12 two ideas that you just raised are possible, where we would 13 send them -- send the state our proposal analyses, and allow 14 them to review and comment on it. Or there may be a meeting to 15 discuss certain proposal analyses to get their input. 16 17 MR. FLEENER: Doesn't that already take place to a 18 certain extent? 19 20 MR. BOYD: To a certain extent it takes place currently 21 on an informal basis, where a biologist -- two biologists' 22 discussion might occur, or exchange of information. And we're 23 talking now about some -- maybe a more formalized approach. 24 You know, at times we get what I call interpretation conflicts 25 between two experts, if you will. And we want to try to 26 resolve those conflicts before they get to you, and before they 27 get to the board. And the whole idea here is so that you're 28 not sitting here listening to one biologist say one thing, and 29 a federal biologist saying another, and you're having to sort 30 it out. We may not be able to come to an agreement on certain 31 issues, but the idea is to try to figure out a way that we can, 32 you know, better serve you, our common customer. 33 34 MR. GINNIS: Mr. Chairman? Is this memorandum of 35 agreement going to come back to the council here; I mean, when 36 it's -- 37 38 MR. BOYD: I think it's our intention -- I'm sorry. 39 40 MR. GINNIS: whenever it's ready? We're just 41 discussing this to find out whether we support the concept or 42 not. 43 44 MR. BOYD: That's right. 45 46 MR. GINNIS: And that the actual document or whatever 47 is going to come back here for further review? 48 49 MR. BOYD: Our intent is to keep the councils informed

50 and in the loop on every step of the way. We're just getting

started with discussions with the state to see where we can agree right now, and then we intend to bring it back to the councils. But I don't think either side -- both sides recognize in this that we can't make this work without the councils being involved and supporting, so that's why we're coming to you now, and we intend to come to you later as this moves forward. If a document is prepared, like a memorandum of agreement, or some other document, I think a draft of that will have to come back to you.

10 11

MR. MATHEWS: Maybe I ought to just lay out the way 12 it's done now and the way this may look. The way it's done now 13 is, he mentioned the biologist would coordinate with the other 14 state area biologist in drafting the analysis. And they work 15 pretty close on practically all of them. I'll just use biology 16 as an example. Then there's a draft analysis which is given at 17 the meeting that's coming up in February. So that's how that 18 goes. There's peer to peer consultation on the write-up. 19 Under this proposal would be, the state would be involved in 20 that draft analysis before it came to you. So it might make it 21 make a little bit more clear before hand, but they would have 22 the draft analysis before you would have it, so they could have 23 their input on it. They receive -- to my knowledge, I believe 24 they receive the same materials you receive, and that draft 25 analysis for the spring meeting, they receive that at the same 26 time you do.

2728

MR. BOYD: Again, these are concepts right now. We're still working through them. We don't even know how we're going to do some of these, if we can even do them successfully. But the idea is we want to explore them and we want to get your input as we do that.

33 34

MR. MILLER: Is that it for that, Vince?

35 36

MR. MATHEWS: Well, the next one you may or may not 37 want to comment on, is that there would be a Fish and Game 38 representative on the staff committee. To get everybody up to 39 speed on what that means, is that the board has its own staff 40 person that advises them. They meet, you know, we roll up our 41 sleeves and really work through the stuff ahead of time. This 42 suggestion here is that, in that staff committee meeting, there 43 would be a State Fish and Game person there.

44 45

MR. BOYD: Not on the committee.

46 47

MR. MATHEWS: Well, present.

48 49

MR. BOYD: Present to provide input, but not on the

50 committee.

MR. FLEENER: Mr. Chair, does the Fish and Wildlife
have a person present at the state's -- do they have something
like a staff committee that goes over their stuff, and does
U.S. Fish and Wildlife have a person represented there?

6 MR. BOYD: Well, it's a totally different process, I'm 7 sure, and I couldn't speak to that. Yeah.

MR. MATHEWS: No, we don't

11 MR. BOYD: Yeah. There's not a -- it's not a parallel 12 system in that regard.

MR. FLEENER: So are you looking for a response from us 15 on each one of these bulleted items?

MR. MATHEWS: No, I just want to make it clear on the 18 record. I suppose what I'm saying is my yellow flags are up on 19 this. This is a very sensitive issue when we're dealing with 20 negotiations between two governments, in addition to all this 21 other stuff going on. I want it clear when people leave this 22 room what you support, so

MR. FLEENER: So the staff -- this person going to the staff committee meetings would be there to provide information, just like they would be to any other type of meeting that's open, providing information. So that's not really -- that's only asking if we support someone being there to answer questions or to provide information, basically. I mean, if they're not part of the staff committee, then it's not a real -- shouldn't be a big issue. They're just there to provide additional information. Is that true? Am I guessing the wrong way?

MR. BOYD: Well, I think that's the concept.

37 MR. FLEENER: Okay. I wasn't sure. Everybody was 38 looking the wrong way. I didn't know what was going on.

(General laughter)

42 MR. BOYD: Well, you were having a nice conversation 43 with Vince, so I \dots

MR. FLEENER: I didn't know who I was talking to. I 46 was just kind of "conversating." So yeah, I don't have an 47 objection to -- I mean, if that committee doesn't mind having 48 additional information, I don't see it as a problem for myself.

this Fish and Game Advisory Committee representation at these meetings, I think it works the other way, too. You know, we need some representation at the advisory committee also; the State Fish and Game Advisory Committee. Because I can see where, you know, sometimes regulatory changes are introduced here. But that information eventually gets to them. That's where they -- you know, they basically follow it through, the way I understand it. So I think it works both ways, this representation at these meetings.

10

MR. BOYD: That's a comment that I think we received 12 before, Steve, and it's one that we've talked amongst ourselves 13 about that there should be some, I'll use the word, 14 reciprocation. If the state's going to be involved really in 15 this process, then there should be some federal involvement in 16 the state's process. How that might work out, I don't know, if 17 it's at the advisory level or even prior to Board of Game, 18 Board of Fish deliberations. But that's something I think we 19 could add to these discussion points, and

20

MR. GINNIS: Yeah, but here I think what -- we're not 22 talking about staff, we're talking about people that serve on 23 these boards, the way I understand it.

24

MR. BOYD: No, we're talking about staff here; all of 26 this, staff to staff interactions. Yeah, we're not

27

MR. GINNIS: Well, I'm -- you're not talking the same language I'm talking, then.

30 31

MR. BOYD: Okay.

32

33 MR. GINNIS: I suppose I'm talking about representation 34 of Fish and Game Advisory Council members and Regional Council 35 members.

36 37

MR. BOYD: Okay.

38

39 MR. GINNIS: That's what I was referring to. You're 40 talking about staff

41 42

MR. FLEENER: That's what I thought this meant, too.

43

MR. BOYD: Well, that is the third element. I mean, 45 maybe we're on a different element in here.

46

47 MR. GINNIS: Well, I'm talking about this issue here of 48 Fish and Game Advisory Committee representation at Regional 49 Council meetings. Now, what's that supposed to mean? What's 50 that supposed to mean?

0078 1 MR. BOYD: Well, you're right Steve. 2 3 MR. GINNIS: Okay. And all I'm suggesting is that it 4 needs to go both ways, okay? 5 6 MR. BOYD: Okay. 7 8 MR. GINNIS: I mean, the representation needs to be at the advisory Fish and Game level also. 10 11 MR. FLEENER: I think that exists already. All it is 12 is an invitation, will you please come to our meeting, right? 13 I mean, 14 15 MR. MATHEWS: Right. And we try to consult 16 17 MR. FLEENER: that's pretty simple. 18 19 MR. GINNIS: No. Mr. Chairman, the point I'm trying to 20 make here is that if we're going to ensure that some regional 21 council member from here is going to attend the Yukon Flats 22 Advisory Committee, it's more than just making a commitment. 23 It's ensuring that that individual actually has their travel, 24 or the per diem, et cetera, taken care of. I mean, that's the 25 issue here. 26 27 MR. MATHEWS: We have that option. What it would be is 28 the council would have to take the position that they want a 29 member at a meeting, then I would run it by Tom, and sweat in 30 his office for a few minutes and see if the money can come out. 31 But it would have 32 33 MR. GINNIS: Oh, you got the money. 34 35 MR. MATHEWS: to be issue generated. 36 37 MR. BOYD: Let me just say this: That option is open 38 even right now. If there are issues of mutual concern, we sort 39 of have to use some discretion, because the limiting factor is 40 budget. But if there are issues of mutual concern, we've been 41 pretty open about allowing council members to travel to 42 committee meetings, for example. Or vice -- not vice versa, 43 but obviously to try to bring people together is the thing 44 here, and we've been pretty open about doing that. 45 that's an option that exists right now. But I think what 46 you're saying, in terms of concepts for consideration, is 47 whether or not that should be in writing in a formal 48 coordination document, like an MOA; that we should look at 49 regional council members also traveling to local Fish and Game

50 Advisory Committee meetings. Sort of working both ways.

```
0079
1
          MR. GINNIS: Well, yeah, I think that we should ....
2
3
          MR. BOYD: And the way it's written right now, it's one
4 way.
5
6
          MR. GINNIS: And if we were going to do this, we need
7 to appoint somebody from this council to actually attend these
8 meetings. And there's advisory Fish and Game committees all
  over the place; there's one in Fairbanks, one in Yukon Flats,
10 one in -- I mean, this guy could be busy just traveling around
11 to these meetings.
12
13
           MR. FLEENER: Well, Mr. Chair, I don't think I'd like
14 to appoint one person. I think if it's centered in the Yukon
15 Flats, then one of the Yukon Flats people should go. If it's
16 centered around Tanana, someone from that area should go,
17 probably.
18
19
          MR. GINNIS: I don't know, you got the message, I hope.
20
21
          MR. BOYD: Got it.
22
23
          MR. GINNIS: We're on the same level?
24
25
          MR. BOYD: Yup.
26
27
          MR. GINNIS: Okay.
28
29
          MR. BOYD: I was down below you on this list. I didn't
30 get focused until you started talking. Sorry.
31
32
          MR. GINNIS: Oh. Well, I thought we were talking about
33 Fish and Game Advisory Committees. Maybe you're someplace
34 else. But anyway, ....
35
36
          MR. FLEENER: Sounds like we have a general support for
37 this. Let's move on.
38
39
          MR. MATHEWS: And that you want it back before you in
40 final form, and that you want some kind of draft form, some
41 kind of comparable on the state side that there would be
42 combinations to incorporate regional council and federal
43 involvement in their board process also.
44
45
          MR. LEE TITUS: Mr. Chair?
46
47
          MR. GINNIS: Go ahead.
48
49
          MR. LEE TITUS: Does that mean you're going to send us
```

50 a coordination plan between the federal and the state?

1

MR. MATHEWS: Lee, I can send you anything. I don't 2 know -- yes, there'll be some follow-up on this. I don't know 3 when that'll be, but I envision it's going to be before you 4 next meeting, and then we'll be going over that. That's the plan I I've heard.

7

MR. BOYD: Through the winter, we can hopefully develop 8 some additional materials as this process moves along.

9

MR. FLEENER: Letter D.

10 11 12

MR. MILLER: We're back to the agenda now?

13

14 MR. MATHEWS: Okay. The next agenda item, maybe we can 15 make it a little livelier. That was a mistake to put it on 16 there, the next agenda item. I kind of jumped the gun there. 17 The next item is to talk about consent agenda. And Lee wasn't 18 here in the morning, and I don't know if Ginnis was here when 19 we talked about this. This really should come up at your next 20 meeting. It's a tool to use to streamline meetings, and the 21 board is exploring using it. Consent agenda is, if everybody 22 agrees to the recommendation or the proposal in itself, it goes 23 into a group of proposals and then those are moved and acted on 24 rapidly, and not discussed. So anyway, that's where that is 25 at, and it's really for the next meeting that you would talk 26 more about it. And it's really on to your chair or 27 representative that goes to the meeting to be aware of this 28 consent agenda and his power to pull things off the consent 29 agenda or move things on the consent agenda, possibly. So that 30 was a mistake to put it on there, but

31 32

MR. FLEENER: Mr. Chair? So you're just asking us if 33 we support the concept of consent agenda?

34 35

MR. MATHEWS: Yeah, and we'll talk more about it at the 36 next meeting, we'll flush it out more. But it's kind of

37 38

MR. FLEENER: Well, a consent agenda is a pretty simple 39 concept. I mean, all we have to do is say whether or not we 40 support putting proposals on a consent agenda. We're not 41 talking about individual proposals right now, we're just 42 talking about the concept of a consent agenda.

43

MR. MATHEWS: The concept, correct. Correct.

44 45

46 MR. FLEENER: I don't see why we have to wait for next 47 meeting to do that, unless you want to provide us with more 48 information.

MR. FLEENER: There's not much to think about with 2 consent agenda.

3

1

MR. BOYD: I think we're just giving you a heads up. 5 The board is considering doing this for their board meetings, 6 for the Federal Subsistence Board meetings, to try to 7 streamline those meetings. If you have objection to that, then 8 they want to hear about it before they decide to do that, or if 9 you have some concern or other comment. But it's like you 10 said, it's the concept.

11 12

MR. GINNIS: Mr. Chair?

13 14

MR. MILLER: Go ahead, Steve.

15

16 MR. GINNIS: Under consent agenda, like this -- how 17 does the public know what we're actually -- I mean, what we're 18 talking about? If we already agree in advance of what we're 19 going to -- I mean, it's basically like rubber stamping 20 something. You know, we're just going to go through this thing 21 and just, you know, stamp it, go to the next one, stamp it, and 22 people out here is going to be totally lost. They wouldn't 23 know exactly what we're doing here. So I guess that's the 24 thing that I would like to raise, is how is that going to be 25 dealt with, you know, a consent agenda? And then I don't like 26 what he had to say, that the chair could just move, or 27 whatever, the things off and on the agenda, or something like 28 that he said.

29 30

MR. MATHEWS: Well, what I meant by that is, let's say 31 there was four proposals that everyone lined up, and the chair 32 from this council felt that that needed to be discussed more by 33 the board, even though everyone aligned up, there was some 34 other reason that they want that discussed, he, somewhere in 35 this process would say, I don't want that on the consent 36 agenda, then it would fall out and be addressed like we 37 formally go through proposals before the board. That's what I 38 meant by taking things on and off.

39 40

MR. GINNIS: Oh, okay. Yeah, I got you now. I follow 41 you now. Okay. But still, I still have that concern about the 42 general public, okay?

43

44 MR. MATHEWS: Right. That's why I jumped the gun, 45 because that -- others have to look at how we're going to do 46 that from a public viewpoint. With the chairs, we have a 47 process to do that with. The public part we still have to work 48 with.

groups put in a whole bunch of proposals that's contrary to us, and they just put it on the consent agenda without anybody 3 being aware of it.

7

MR. BOYD: The board would run the agenda. And if 6 someone objected, no matter who, to that being on the consent agenda, then it would not be. Generally, that's how it would work.

8 9

10 MR. PHILIP TITUS: One guy could object, but ten guys 11 could overrule his objection.

12 13

MR. BOYD: No.

14

15 MR. FLEENER: It only takes one person to pull 16 something off a consent agenda. Once you look at it, if you 17 see an item that's contrary, you pull it off.

18

19 MR. PHILIP TITUS: Yeah, I know what you mean, but see 20 this one guy could be outvoted, too.

21 22

MR. FLEENER: But it doesn't take a vote, you just say, 23 I want it removed.

24 25

MR. PHILIP TITUS: Okay.

26 27

MR. BOYD: That would be the rules.

28 29

MR. MATHEWS: Right now we go through all the 30 proposals, each one, bang, bang, with full, you know, review, 31 et cetera. This would just lump them together. If someone 32 said not, then we, you know, just pull that one off. 33 trying to streamline the board in time. If everybody's 34 aligned, why have staff go through all the analysis and all the 35 steps, but there is the risk that Steven's bringing up, that 36 someone may have an interest in that, that we have to 37 compensate so they can get their time to present their 38 attention to the board.

39 40

MR. MILLER: Go ahead, Lee.

41 42

MR. LEE TITUS: I have no disagreement on the consent 43 agenda, because we do that lots when we're dealing with -- in 44 our meetings when we're dealing with a bunch of resolutions, 45 you know. We just pull them if we disagree with them, and 46 think this here is the same thing we're talking about. 47 only questions I have, is this going to happen at both the 48 regional council meetings and the Subsistence Board meeting?

0083 meeting now. 2 3 MR. MATHEWS: Board. We'd only do the board. 4 5 MR. LEE TITUS: Oh, just the board meeting. 6 7 MR. MATHEWS: You could decide to do a consent agenda 8 yourself, but I don't see that pattern developing within this 9 group, because the diversity across this board is so wide that 10 Ginnis wants to know more about the concerns in your areas, so 11 he doesn't want to -- there's no movement in the council. 12 That's up to you if you want to do that. 13 14 MR. GINNIS: Well, I thought you were -- what you were 15 talking about here was a consent agenda that would apply to 16 this regional council here. 17 18 MR. MATHEWS: No, it's just to the board; just for the 19 board level. They're meeting in April. 20 21 MR. FLEENER: Mr. Chair? The chairs addressed the 22 problem of not having discussion, you know, by people. And I 23 think the board talked about still allowing for comment. 24 know, you can write down your comments if you had something 25 beforehand, and they'll go over the comments. So people will 26 still have a comment period, it'll just be a written comment 27 and not come up after each proposal. So there's still an 28 opportunity to make comments. But if there's a lot of negative 29 comments, that is probably going to be pulled from the consent 30 agenda. 31 32 MR. LEE TITUS: Mr. Chair? 33 34 MR. MILLER: Go ahead, Lee. 35 36 MR. LEE TITUS: Well, I agree with it happening at the 37 board level, but not at the regional level, because I went to 38 some of these regional -- I mean, board meetings, and all the 39 proposals we deal with are already dealt on a regional level, 40 you know. And we always kind of like agree with each other in 41 supporting these proposals. And, I mean, when you're dealing 42 with proposals statewide, there's stacks and stacks of 43 proposals. And there's a lot of them that really doesn't need 44 to be discussed. But the only problem I have is who -- when 45 you have your board meeting, is who's going to be pulling these

46 proposals? It can be anybody? Public or -- I mean, like of us

49 supported by this board. And then you have Frank Entsminger,

47 here, we support a proposal and it goes to Anchorage or 48 wherever you have your board meeting, and it was fully

50 he's chair of the Fortymile Advisory Committee, and he's

```
0084
  opposed to that proposal, does he have -- can he pull a
  proposal for discussion at the board level?
           MR. BOYD: I think any participant in the meeting,
5 public at large, can make a petition to the chairman to pull
6 something off the consent agenda. That means then that the
7 board would have to hear it in full, and hear the discussion.
8 That's all it means. It's just a way of streamlining the
9 meeting where you can lump all of the issues that are in
10 agreement that no one has any comment on, and deal with them
11 sort of efficiently. But if someone, even the public at large,
12 says, I want to make comment on this, I think the board is
13 going to be obliged to allow them to make comment on that to
14 hear it, just like you would want if you had a burning issue.
15 But it would be at the discretion of the board and the chair,
16 you know, as they listen to the public.
17
18
           MR. LEE TITUS: Are we supposed to discuss this at our
19 next meeting?
20
21
           (General laughter)
22
23
           MR. BOYD: Yeah, well, ....
24
25
                         That's why a motion ....
          MR. FLEENER:
26
27
           MR. BOYD: Yeah. Well, we thought since you were
28 already talking about it, we'd let you carry it on out.
29
30
           MR. FLEENER: I'll make a motion to support the concept
31 of the consent agenda.
32
33
           MR. TRITT:
                      Second.
34
35
          MR. MILLER: Question? All in favor, say aye.
36
37
           ALL MEMBERS: Aye.
38
39
          MR. MILLER:
                        Opposed, same sign.
40
41
           MR. GINNIS: Don't bring this back at the next meeting
42 now.
43
44
           MR. BOYD: Yeah, that's what we were just talking
45 about.
46
47
           MR. MATHEWS: Okay. The next item there was put in
48 there, discussion on how the board handled proposals, regional
49 council recommendations, staff analysis, and public comments.
```

50 I don't know if we want to cover that now, or wait until we

```
0085
  actually deal with proposals that are analyzed and before you.
  I've gone over this with the new members, Gerald and Calvin,
  during their training, the whole cycle. We can do it here, if
  you want, or wait at the next meeting when you actually have
  proposals before you during that work session. It's up to you.
6
7
          MR. LEE TITUS: Mr. Chair?
8
9
          MR. MILLER: Go ahead, Lee.
10
11
          MR. LEE TITUS: I move to table this here until we get
12 to tomorrow's agenda, between B and C. Is that where you
13 wanted to put it, Vince?
14
15
                         Well, either there or next meeting would
           MR. MATHEWS:
16 be easier.
17
18
          MR. FLEENER:
                        Next meeting at the work session, I think
19 would be ....
20
21
          MR. MATHEWS: In the work session at the next meeting.
22
23
          MR. LEE TITUS: Oh, okay. I'll redo that.
24
                        I mean, it'll come up in pieces and
          MR. MATHEWS:
26 that, so if you just table ....
27
28
           MR. FLEENER:
                        Question.
29
30
          MR. PHILIP TITUS: What's the motion?
31
32
          MR. FLEENER: To table it until the next meeting.
33
34
          MR. MILLER: All in favor, say aye.
35
36
          ALL MEMBERS:
                        Aye.
37
38
          MR. MILLER: Opposed?
39
40
          MR. MATHEWS: Mr. Chairman, who seconded that? We --
41 our ears were plugged?
42
43
          MR. MILLER: Nat.
44
45
          MR. MATHEWS: Okay. Then that brings us up to -- you
46 added to the agenda the subcommittee's report on co-management
47 concept as F.
48
49
```

MR. MILLER: Yeah.

MR. MATHEWS: For the public, there's copies on the 2 table of that. I'm not sure I made them all green, but they 3 were to be green on that table; some may be white.

5

1

MR. FLEENER: That's green in your yellow folder?

6 7

MR. MATHEWS: Yeah, in the yellow folder for committee 8 members is your draft concept. I don't -- it may be best to --9 maybe I can give you the history of the subcommittee, and then 10 the subcommittee could talk about it. No? Okay. Well, we'll 11 wait until everyone gets a copy or two, and then we'll go from 12 there. I supposed I'll turn to the former chair, since he 13 chaired that subcommittee, to see how we should best present 14 this. It's a green copy in your yellow book -- yellow file 15 folder, excuse me.

16 17

MR. FLEENER: It's a draft co-management concept, and 18 it just gives the background of the discussions that this 19 council had on the importance of co-management, what we think 20 co-management should be, and some ideas of a draft concept. 21 And this is just for the consideration of this council, if we 22 want to make changes. And if you guys have suggested changes, 23 feel free to make them. Maybe we can have a couple minutes to 24 review these.

25 26

(Pause - members examine document)

27

28 MR. FLEENER: Well, does anybody have any comments on 29 this? This was -- probably the main force behind this was 30 Steve, because of his interest, longstanding interest, in 31 co-management. And so I guess that asking Steve first would 32 probably be the best thing, since this was

33 34

MR. GINNIS: Okay. Are we being asked to act on this 35 concept as it's being presented here,

36 37

MR. MATHEWS: Yes, that's

38

39 MR. GINNIS: or is there time to review this and 40 maybe bring it back at the next meeting?

41 42

MR. MATHEWS: Yeah, that is an option. I need to talk 43 to the full council on that. This would probably be part of 44 your annual report, or a separate document to the board. 45 is no time concept with this. There's no due date, other than 46 when did you want something to happen with this. So it is 47 possible it could be deferred to the next meeting or something 48 like that.

```
0087
```

29

32 33

34 35

36 37

48 49

when you brought this up, you wanted us to bring -- to get together and talk about a co-management concept, and at least have something presented at this meeting to discuss. And if everybody wants more time to look over it, that shouldn't be a problem.

7 MR. MILLER: Yeah. Well, Mr. Chairman, I was just 8 thinking that, you know, this issue probably affects the tribes 9 more than anybody else. I mean, I think that's what we're 10 trying to get at here. And it just makes sense to me that 11 maybe they should have an opportunity to kind of review this, 12 give their input to us about what they think about it. I think 13 it needs to be a little more specific. Now, this part here 14 where it says, co-management in general terms is a shared 15 decision making process between government authorities and user 16 groups. And then you identify some of the agencies, or 17 whatever you mean by user groups. I'm sure that's probably not 18 the end of the list right there. I mean, if you're going to 19 use user groups, you're talking about everybody and their 20 brothers. And I think we're trying to address the 21 co-management agreement between the agencies. And I think we 22 ought to be more specific to that. We're not talking about a 23 co-management agreement with the Trappers Association, or, you 24 know, some of these other groups that you have listed here. I 25 don't think that's what we're trying to address, at least 26 that's the way I look at it. So I guess I have a little 27 concern about identifying who these people are from your 28 perspective.

30 MR. MATHEWS: Okay. The footnotes is what you're 31 talking about.

MR. GINNIS: Yes.

MR. MATHEWS: Those are just used as an example.

MR. GINNIS: Okay. But we

MR. MATHEWS: This is kind of a process. Once you adopted this process, then the subcommittee co-management group would define who's in it. So if it happens to be an Upper Tanana Fortymile area, then that subcommittee would draft up a list who all possibly should be involved in that process, which would be different than if it was an issue up on the Flats, or over at Tanana, or something to that effect. This was just examples. These are not the definitive list of who it might be.

MR. FLEENER: I think I was the one who suggested that

50 we put a list of somebody down here so people wouldn't just

substitute anything in there for user groups, because, like you said, anybody can do that. It can represent anybody and their brother, so

4

MR. GINNIS: Well, yeah. Again, you know, when we talked about this, I think we were talking about more in terms of some cooperative working relationship with tribes. And I think that's what this cooperative -- I mean, this thing ought to address is that concept. You know, I don't see anything wrong with it, other than trying to say user groups. Like I say, you know, I've been involved in a lot of drafting of language and stuff, and little words like that can take the total thing out of context. It can take it totally out of its context, so

15 16

MR. MILLER: Okay, go ahead, Gerald.

17

MR. NICHOLIA: Yeah. What I think Steve is trying to 19 get at is that if you allow the tribes into it, it'll give them 20 a better chance to have a say-so at the start of it, instead of 21 react to what somebody else said. That's what mostly is 22 usually happened, because I work in a resource department.

23 24

MR. FLEENER: Why don't we just let people review it?

25 We don't have to take action on it.

26

27 MR. MILLER: I guess we'll table this until our spring 28 meeting?

29

30 MR. GINNIS: Well, wait, hang on a minute. That's kind 31 of a ways off, ain't it?

32 33

MR. MATHEWS: February or March.

34

35 MR. GINNIS: I mean, we can still initiate 36 co-management agreements without even acting on this thing.

37

MR. MATHEWS: Correct. The entities that you've talked 39 about had that option to do it on their own. This was the 40 council putting together what it thought its concept of 41 co-management could be. So what I've heard so far is that you 42 may want input from village and tribal in the Eastern Interior, 43 so this could be mailed to them, if you want to hear their 44 comments on it. I suppose my concern is this did not lock out 45 tribes, so I want to stop that right now. It did not lock it 46 out, it mentions it in some other ways. But we can mail it 47 out, if that's what's needed, or more time to review it, 48 whichever way you want to go.

```
0089
  that, mail it out to people right away, and once you've
  received responses, and you can send a copy of the responses to
  all of us, and we can take our red pens to these, each of the
  council members, and send our responses -- or send our marked
5 up versions back to you, and maybe you could rewrite it, or get
6 the subcommittee back together to take a look at all the draft
7 changes.
8
          MR. MATHEWS: Okay. So I'll mail it out with a cover
10 letter, some time response, and I need from the new chair,
11 would the same committee then meet then? The same makeup?
12 was Fleener, Good, and I'm leaving somebody off -- oh,
13 yourself. So it would remain the same? Okay.
14 determine that date, how to ....
15
16
          MR. FLEENER: Where's the meeting going to be, Reno?
17
18
          MR. MATHEWS: I haven't talked to Tom about ....
19
20
          MR. GINNIS: Hawaii.
21
22
          MR. MATHEWS: Reno or Honolulu. If you notice, I've
23 been getting further and further away from them. I'm just
24 waiting to bring up the big money items. No, we'll work on
25 that and get a response and then come back. Okay, I
26 understand.
27
28
          MR. BOYD: Tok, we go as far as Tok now.
29
30
          MR. PHILIP TITUS: Okay, we're done with this?
31
32
          MR. MATHEWS: Okay, then that brings us up to ....
33
34
          MR. PHILIP TITUS: New members welcome and
35 introduction.
36
37
          MR. MILLER: I think we're going to take a ten-minute
38 break.
39
40
          (Off record)
41
42
           (On record: 3:15)
43
44
          MR. MILLER: Okay, getting back to the agenda here.
45 We're on B, new members and their introduction. But Gene
46 Henry, the village elder, would like to say a few words. Hey
47 Gene? Go ahead and come on up to the mike, if you would,
48 please.
```

River. I raised over Copper River country way over there. family all die off but me. Me only one left. Like my sister 3 down there in Fairbanks, is my mother's sister's girl, Dora, 4 down there. She's the one got family in here, Stella(ph), all 5 them. She's got all in here, but she's not good shape. She's 6 in hospital. We all come from Copper River. Rest of our kids 7 over here, that's how we living up here. And I like talk about 8 this -- he talk about that game law. We should get little bit 9 down on them -- we take care ourselves. See, I born 1911. 10 living up here 85 years next month. Never (indiscernible). 11 know the country. I don't go by book. I got nothing to do 12 with book. I could -- if I reading, sure, I reading, but I 13 don't read. It's like a blank, I mean, that stuff. But I got 14 mine through talking to people. I been living this country all 15 my life. By law we shouldn't get little, you know. Little 16 break on us. We are Indian people. We born up here. Since we 17 living up here all our life, we got laws as good as every white 18 people. Sometime -- if two more people at least got my -- like 19 me, we go to court, we probably make it. I'm just one man. 20 can't do it. Like I talk about, you know, that law business, 21 that commercial fishing, stuff like that. They not -- that 22 commercial fishing get all kinds of fish. What they do with 23 them? 24

Us up here we born up here, we live on all the game, we 26 live on the fishing, we live on the game out in wilderness. 27 never waste them. Indian people never waste meat. Even 28 caribou feet, we saving that for some time, you know, stuff 29 like that. We take care of our game, our country. I know 30 every people doing like that around here, Native people. 31 don't have to get too much law tell us what to do. We live up 32 here all our life. We should get free sometime. And I live 33 this country all my life. I been through all kinds of game. 34 been trapping. I go around all over country, everywhere I 35 been. Now I'm old man. I have to lie down. You come way down 36 there for a meeting like this. I have to speak. Tanana Chief 37 pay my way every summer, go to meeting. I explain at new 38 places like that. Now, we should get rich sometime. Don't 39 have to have a law against it now, us all the time. We should 40 be get rich sometime. Like now we got law that's good as 41 anybody, anybody like white people like right here. We got 42 law -- strong law as good as them. If we go out do something, 43 the law will get after us. But what do you -- trooper in 44 highway, I understand them. They all right. 45

You work -- lots of young people -- our young people in 47 trouble right now. Our youngest in trouble lots of way just 48 for liquor, stuff like that. I don't like that. I don't 49 want -- I against liquor. When meeting, I talk about that, but

50 how we can stop them? We can't stop every people. Just one

each time a year, change their life. Like the boys down in Minto, in camp this spring. I hear you changing life -different life. That's good, you know. That's really good to 4 me. I'm glad to hear that. I against -- I admit in lots of 5 places I against liquor in Tok. That's no good to teach young 6 people. That's what I against when meeting. But I just don't 7 know what this meeting for right here, but I telling you this, 8 you people now, what are you gaining? Meeting -- every time 9 meeting I have to go up there and we talk about that to young 10 people, to learn different life. We teach them, and I'm old 11 man. I old time Indian. I learn education by old time way, my 12 Indian people education. White people education, I don't know 13 much. I learned a little how to run equipment. I work with 14 state; people teach me how to run equipment. That's how I 15 learn white people way. But Indian education, you can't tell 16 me what. I know all. I do well education Indian life. I know 17 how to trap, go out kill game, how to take care, cut fish. 18 go through all. Whatever was Indian life, you tell me, I been 19 through it, everything. I may be old man, but I even cut fish, 20 cut meat. Teach them young people. And I go out picking 21 berries. Now I working lately, even I old man. I accomplish 22 something. 23

24 I like young people learn just like me. This spring I 25 carve again spoon, wooden spoon. I taking down with me when I 26 go. I take ten down there. People buy right off of me for 27 \$150, right there in room. Everybody buy right off me. 28 day, that's all it is for me. Next year I go meeting, I 29 probably take diamond willow (indiscernible). I do lots of 30 things. I work a lot carving stuff. I'm making money all the 31 time. I make about \$500 that wooden spoon, you see it down 32 (indiscernible). I sell them. I make about \$500. I teach 33 young people, do like I do. Lots of -- you don't have to 34 have -- you know, if they learn like I do, lots of money out 35 there. You can make it. (Indiscernible) sometimes. I make 36 about 3- or \$400 there for all time. Stuff like that, I 37 teaching young people. I got two classes teaching Indian boys, 38 two classes teaching right here Dot Lake. I don't do it here. 39 I go up there (indiscernible), Tanacross by boat summertime. 40 We got open camp up there. Down there, (indiscernible) where 41 my home town, we go down there. We got open camp, teaching 42 young people through all, every day, three day sometime. 43 talk with young people. That's my job. I do that kind of 44 work, and I'm glad to see you people up here.

I don't know what meeting up here, I still don't know. 47 Go buy book? I can't do it. I can't take pencil. I got my 48 head, explain people myself how to do it. Like I tell you, I 49 get education by Indian life. Everything Indian life I go

50 through. I know all. About white people, not too much. Too

much -- I don't know too much. I learn -- working with them, I learn how, I just told you. Well, that be all. Go back safely.

5

MR. MILLER: Vince, did you want to

6 7

MR. MATHEWS: Yes. Mr. Chairman, I failed in the 8 beginning of the meeting at 11:00 to introduce another guest 9 that we have here from Fort Yukon. He happens to be from the 10 Fort Yukon school program there, and he's part of a program to 11 observe and learn more about management, wildlife management 12 and biology. So I think he's still in the back of the room. 13 That's Steve Ginnis back there. Not that Ginnis, but that 14 Ginnis back there. So I encourage all the staff to introduce 15 themselves to him, and he may have some questions for you, and 16 then others, to let him know what you feel about this process 17 and that, so he can learn better; so when he goes back to his 18 high school, that he can share that with the other students.

19 20

MR. MILLER: Okay. Next item.

21 22

MR. MATHEWS: The next item would be -- you should have 23 gotten it in the mail. Please let me know if you did not. 24 was -- let's see, it would be this book here, the gray and 25 yellow book, the manual. These are in draft form. These were 26 a joint effort with the focus group of several chairs, and I 27 won't remember -- there were several council representatives, 28 most of them were chairs. Craig Fleener was involved in this. 29 I'm trying to think who else was involved in it. But there was 30 one from Bristol Bay, Bill Thomas, the chair of Southeast, in 31 addition to staff. These are manuals for the regional council 32 members. They're in a question/answer format. And if you have 33 any comments or questions, now would be the time. I think 34 Steven did have some. He at least mentioned -- maybe it was a 35 different manual. But this is your book. This is a draft, and 36 a final possibly will be available at your next meeting. 37 not sure if we'll meet that deadline, but that's our target.

38

39 And also, if you feel this is needed for others to 40 understand the program, or for potential new members, we can 41 provide copies for them. That's another reason for this 42 manual. But it's generally a working manual.

43

44 MR. FLEENER: Mr. Chairman? You said you have no idea 45 of the tentative date for the video production?

46 47

We're shooting for next meeting, I know MR. MATHEWS: 48 that, but I don't know if it's defined that

49

```
0093
  able to do, because we're losing some key personnel on the
  staff, and really ....
3
4
          MR. FLEENER: Make Vince do it.
5
6
          MR. BOYD: Huh? Well, you need people that have the
7
  skills in that arena, and we're losing that currently. Vince
8
  has got many other good skills.
9
10
           (General laughter)
11
12
          MR. FLEENER: Choreography isn't one of them.
13
14
          MR. MATHEWS: Actually, I was involved in this, too. I
15 did two or three reviews of it. But what he's saying politely
16 is, this is in a special program, Word Perfect processing, but
17 it also requires -- the person who did this is very talented in
18 this. There's a whole different perspective, and I think you
19 see this in there. So that's where ....
20
21
           MR. BOYD: Public communication and video skills, you
22 know, those sorts of things. We're losing our key ....
23
24
           MR. FLEENER: Areas where Vince is weak, huh? Is that
25 what you're saying?
26
27
          MR. BOYD: Well, I don't have them either, so I'll just
28 be polite. Going to date, we were hoping to have something
29 done this winter, but it may be delayed until we get the people
30 on board.
31
32
          MR. LEE TITUS: Mr. Chair?
33
34
          MR. MILLER: Go ahead, Lee.
35
36
          MR. LEE TITUS: On this overview of the subsistence
37 management on page 2, are we now the Northwest Arctic?
38
39
           MR. MATHEWS: Oh, no, no. That was just a -- these
40 people that are so good at putting this together, may not be as
41 good with maps. No, she caught that right off the bat. This
42 was the first we had put this together, and that's correct in
43 the file. What they're talking about, for the ones that don't
44 see it, is that the Region 9 is in the right spot, but when
45 they did the - what do you call that - the table, or whatever
46 it is down there, reference, it references 9 as Northwest
47 Arctic. So it was just an error.
48
49
          MR. FLEENER: Actually, that's been on several things
```

50 that I've seen that it's called us the Northwest Arctic. You

might want to check your database, because I've seen this in several other places.

3

MR. MATHEWS: Okay. We'll get it straight.

5

6 MR. GINNIS: Vince, what are you looking at? This 7 overview? This thing here?

8

MR. MATHEWS: Yes. On page 2 there's a map there.

10 11

MR. GINNIS: And this

12

MR. MATHEWS: Yeah, we're on page 2 of the yellow one.

14 And gosh, I feel like I got to defend myself. But when I

15 reviewed this, there was no map, so I may be getting a little

16 bit senile -- but anyway, there was just an error in that.

17 That's all corrected. Hopefully it will not surface later. If

18 it does, then we'll correct it then, but I think it's -- I know

19 it's corrected. I know she caught that on that, and so did

20 Northwest, because Northwest doesn't want to be Eastern

21 Interior either.

22 23

MR. FLEENER: Heaven forbid.

24

MR. GINNIS: Mr. Chairman, there is several things I 26 wanted to point out in this overview of Federal Management 27 Program for Regional Council Members. On page 4, under 4, how 28 does this program come to be? I just wanted to just point out 29 this one phrase here, or statement here, that says, 30 "Specifically, Title VIII of the law mandated the continued 31 opportunity for subsistence activities on Federal Public Lands 32 in Alaska, and require that a priority be given to subsistence 33 use." It also stated that "such subsistence be used, should be 34 done in a way that is based on sound management principles, is 35 non-wasteful, and is consistent with the purpose of which each 36 unit of federal land was created." I guess what I wanted to 37 say about that statement, you know, is that if indeed that 38 statement is true, that priority is given on federal lands on 39 subsistence use, then why are we having all these other 40 activities, as seem to be not consistent with our principle, if 41 you know what I'm saying. The principle of subsistence as a 42 priority. I mean, there's other uses that's going on. So I 43 just wanted to point that out, that the way I understand 44 Title VIII, it does give us priority, and the way I understand 45 Title VIII, it also should be managed with that in mind. And 46 I've never really gotten an answer from anybody about why all 47 these other activities are occurring, that I feel are not 48 really consistent with this statement right here, particularly 49 from the area I come from. Now, you know, this fall I seen --

50 I mean, there's a base operation out of Fort Yukon, Guidance

Service, that I don't know where they fly up -- probably up 2 north of the Brooks Range, I guess. In any case, I see those folks coming back with, you know, moose horns just coming out 4 of their ears. And yet I don't see no meat. So to me that would be kind of wasteful; maybe I shouldn't say it's wasteful, but that's the way I look at it.

7 8

The other thing I was going to point out on this was --9 I need some more patience somewhere around here. The other 10 thing is on the last page of this on page 11, where it says 11 "important subsistence laws and regulations," and where it 12 addresses ANILCA. It says, "Title VIII of Alaska Natural 13 Interest Lands Conservation Act is a piece of legislation that 14 deals with subsistence management and use on federal public 15 lands." I would suggest that it's a piece of Indian 16 legislation. And that is very important. The reason why it 17 should be referred to as Indian legislation is because that's 18 exactly what it is. Even the Secretary of Interior calls it 19 Indian legislation. On the other hand, the Republican 20 legislature and the governor don't view this in the same light 21 as the Secretary of Interior does. So I would like to suggest 22 that you put that in there as Indian legislation. I mean, I 23 think that really makes a lot of difference in how this 24 legislation is defined. That's all I have on this one.

25 26 27

MR. MILLER: Is there any more comments?

28 MR. PHILIP TITUS: On Page No. 2, No. 1? Does the 29 federal government then manage subsistence hunting, trapping 30 and fishing, and we don't leave ourselves (indiscernible) 31 issues. Is that just an error?

32 33

34

MR. FLEENER: He says No. 1 on page 2?

35 MR. PHILIP TITUS: The first sentence is dealing with 36 subsistence hunting, trapping and fishing. It seems like we 37 got no trapping and fishing.

38

39 MR. BOYD: The statement is true in its context. 40 mean, it says that we're managing hunting, trapping and fishing 41 on Federal Public Lands in Alaska under Title VIII of ANILCA. 42 It just so happens

43 44

MR. PHILIP TITUS: But the fish don't go on lands.

45

46 MR. BOYD: Well, lands have been defined as lands and 47 waters, if you will.

48 49

MR. LEE TITUS: It's true -- excuse me, Mr. Chair?

```
0096
1
          MR. MILLER: Go ahead, Lee.
2
3
          MR. LEE TITUS: It's true because of the Katie Jon
4 decision, right?
5
6
          MR. PHILIP TITUS: So we should be dealing with fishing
7 issues, too, then.
8
          MR. BOYD: On Federal Public Lands. But the issue is
10 whether or not we can exert jurisdiction into areas where you
11 fish, into navigable waters. And while the court has ruled
12 that we should, we have been prevented from doing that by
13 federal legislation, essentially, a moratorium. But there are
14 some waters on federal lands that we have jurisdiction over.
15 It just so happens that most of those waters are not
16 significant fisheries. I'm probably confusing you.
17 Essentially, we have no jurisdiction right now ....
18
19
          MR. PHILIP TITUS: On fishing.
20
21
          MR. BOYD: .... in the major waterways where fishing
22 occurs, that's correct.
23
24
          MR. PHILIP TITUS: I mean, like me, I'm fishing for
25 salmon and fall chum, and ....
26
27
          MR. FLEENER: Mr. Chair, what areas do you know of --
28 of course, I'm going to ask this question.
                                              What streams or
29 lakes or whatever do you have authority over in Eastern
30 Interior? I'm sure you have a list of them in your breast
31 pocket, right?
32
33
          MR. BOYD: They're little ones. All non-navigable
34 waters that course through Federal Public Land, how's that?
35
36
          MR. PHILIP TITUS: All non-navigable?
37
38
          MR. BOYD: Yeah, small ....
39
40
          MR. FLEENER: Small lakes.
41
42
          MR. BOYD: .... streams.
43
44
          MR. PHILIP TITUS: Seem like if you can't navigate, you
45 can't fish there. It's too shallow to fish.
46
47
           MR. FLEENER: Is there a water depth or something that
48 determines navigability, or is that a laughable issue?
```

```
0097
  laughable, it's just very difficult to apply on the land.
  There's no ....
           MR. FLEENER: So you guys, or the state and the feds
5 are arguing about which one you really do have authority over,
  so basically you don't have authority, because you don't
7
  have -- do you have any fishing regs out?
8
           MR. KNAUER: Yes, we do. If you look in the back of
10 the purple book, there are fishing regulations.
11
12
          MR. FLEENER: And that should have the locations that
13 you have ....
14
15
          MR. KNAUER: Excuse me, it's blue this year.
16
17
          MR. MATHEWS: You have a couple on the tables there,
18 but ....
19
20
          MR. FLEENER: And this should have the locations that
21 you have supposed authority over.
22
23
          MR. BOYD: Not as specific as you might like them,
24 though.
25
26
          MR. FLEENER: Well, there's got to be a delineation
27 somehow.
28
29
          MR. BOYD: Yeah. Non-navigable water. I think it's
30 better to look at it this way. We don't have jurisdiction over
31 the waterways you'd like us to have jurisdiction over.
32
33
          MR. PHILIP TITUS: Oh, that ain't my problem, I just --
34 the less regulations, the better off I am.
35
36
          MR. FLEENER: So I think what he's saying here is, you
37 might want to clarify this and say -- well, it does say "on
38 Federal Public Lands," but maybe you should change that to
39 "non-navigable waters on Federal Public Land." Anything with
40 less water than you can bathe in, I guess. That's not going to
41 change anything, just a point of clarification, I guess.
42
43
          MR. PHILIP TITUS: I mean, if somebody was reading it,
44 they'd say, oh, okay, I'm putting in a proposal for fishing.
45 That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
46
47
          MR. MILLER: Did you have something, Vince?
48
49
          MR. MATHEWS: Well, yes and no. I'll carry the
```

50 comments forward from Mr. Ginnis also. But I feel kind of

```
0098
```

obligated to tell you those changes probably will not be incorporated in. The first one about why are other activities allowed when priority is given to a conservation unit, the priority does not exclude other uses. So I want Steven to know that. And then the question on Indian legislation, I will move that forward, but we've had I think an opinion from our solicitor on ANILCA, and I believe that opinion is that Title VIII is not Indian legislation. So I don't want you leaving the room or leaving this meeting thinking those changes will happen in this book, because I

11

MR. GINNIS: Hey, I never leave this meeting with that 13 kind of thought in mind, because it seems like we come back and 14 discuss the same things over anyway.

15 16

MR. MATHEWS: Well, I just wanted to let you know that that was discussed quite a bit about Indian legislation.

18 19

MR. LEE TITUS: Mr. Chair?

20 21

MR. MILLER: Go ahead, Lee.

22

23 MR. LEE TITUS: The solicitor, is that the same guy -- 24 what's his name again?

2526

MR. FLEENER: Goltz.

27

MR. MATHEWS: Keith Goltz.

28 29

MR. LEE TITUS: Goltz. If I understand it -- or when I 31 was the chair, Katchatag asked them at one of our meetings if 32 it was Indian legislation. And if I'm correct, he stated that 33 it was.

34

35 MR. MATHEWS: Well, I'll defer to others. But my 36 understanding is there's different interpretations on ANILCA 37 being Indian legislation or not.

38 39

MR. GINNIS: It is Indian legislation, I'm telling you.

40

41 MR. MATHEWS: I'll take the comment and go. I just got 42 the word from on high.

43

MR. GINNIS: But yeah, I know what you mean. You know, 45 I don't expect things to change, you know what I mean. You're 46 asking for comments on this thing, and I'm just making my 47 comments as the way I feel about it. And if I really felt 48 strong about it, I guess I would just jump straight up and 49 down, but I don't feel that strong about it. But, you know, it

50 does make a lot of difference when we're talking about ANILCA,

0099 whether it's Indian legislation or not. I mean, it really makes a difference from my perspective. That's why I raised 3 5 MR. FLEENER: Mr. Chair? 6 7 MR. MILLER: Go ahead. 8 MR. FLEENER: Now this is another one that you can't 10 answer. But what is a priority that doesn't exclude something 11 else? What's the definition of a priority, if you're not going 12 to make an exclusion? 13 14 MR. PHILIP TITUS: Priority to what? 15 16 MR. FLEENER: Priority for subsistence use. If it says 17 there's a priority for subsistence users, that means somebody 18 must be getting excluded, or at least held back a little. 19 mean, what does priority mean, if someone's not being excluded? 20 Anybody got a hint, clue, idea? 21 22 MR. BOYD: Well, I think the laws articulating a policy 23 of preference for that use, subsistence uses, over other uses. 24 How that plays out is a matter of implementation, and we're 25 doing that in this process that we're about, in developing 26 regulations, if you will. But it could include 27 28 MR. FLEENER: But it seems to me that we have 29 30 MR. BOYD: But it could include exclusion, or it could 31 include restriction of some sort of the other uses, or it may 32 not, depending on the situation. So I don't have a clear 33 answer for you. It depends on the case that you're dealing 34 with. 35 36 MR. FLEENER: It just seems that the terms that we use 37 so frequently, most of the time they don't mean a hill of 38 beans, you know. We use terms like "a preference," and if 39 there is really no preference, why do we use that term? Or 40 "priority." If there's not necessarily a priority, why do we 41 use that term? I mean, you can't answer these questions, but 42 I'll 43 44 MR. GOOD: Mr. Chairman? 45 46 MR. MILLER: Go ahead. 47 48 MR. GOOD: As I understand this, when we come to 49 periods in which the resource is limited, the very last to

50 receive any restriction will be subsistence. And the very

first to be restored will be subsistence again, before say sport hunting or anything else, in times of limited resources. And that I would definitely consider to be a priority given to subsistence.

5 6

MR. FLEENER: And that's the way I understood it. But when Vince said that there's not really a -- there's no exclusion, there's no exclusions made, that means to me that there's not a preference given.

10 11

11 MR. MATHEWS: It's not automatic that the preference 12 excludes, it's dependent on the area and the situation.

13 14

MR. MILLER: Any more questions on it?

15

MR. MATHEWS: Okay. The next item is new member 17 welcome and introduction. I shouldn't have put it in there, 18 but the computer didn't take it out, so we've already gone 19 through that. If the new members want to share some more 20 information with the audience here, they're more than welcome 21 to. That's up to them. Okay.

2223

MR. MILLER: I guess we'll move on?

24

MR. MATHEWS: The next item is other members reports.

26 This is any time -- this is the time where other members can

27 report on activities they've been involved with, meetings,

28 et cetera. During the proposal generation part, you amended

29 the agenda to have a report from Steven Ginnis, talking about

30 the Subsistence Summit Report and additional information on

31 that, so that would be the time for that. And I do need to let

32 you know -- and again, I don't have all the copies, but you

33 have them in front of you. The Summit, the AFN Summit,

34 produced this chart. There's another handout that goes with it

35 that I know you have in front of you. I don't know if Steven

36 will reference it, but you do have this for your own use in

37 that. For the public, there's copies of this at the table, and

38 just so you know that.

39

MR. GINNIS: Okay, Mr. Chairman, you know, I wasn't 41 really prepared to actually do a report, but I can tell you a 42 little bit about what I know about the whole issue for the 43 board. I think most people know that I am the chairman of the 44 Alaska Intertribal Council, which represents 170 tribal 45 governments from throughout the state. And through that is how 46 I've been involved in this issue. And, you know, it kind of 47 amazed me that they've taken such a simple issue and made it as 48 complicated as they have made it, you know. And I don't know 49 who "they" are, but, you know, in any case, they've made it a

50 very complicated issue that most of us Native people just can

easily relate to as customary and traditional use. That's what we're talking about, the way I look at it. But in any case, the Subsistence Summit that was held -- when was it now? September?

5

MR. FLEENER: August?

7

8 MR. GINNIS: August, yeah. The idea there was to bring together as many Native people from throughout the state to try 10 to come up with a unified position to try to help with this 11 subsistence impasse. And at that gathering, I think we went 12 through about nine different proposals from throughout the 13 whole state. And then we went into regional caucuses, and 14 basically voted on who we think should have priority, all these 15 12 different issues that surrounds the whole issue of the 16 subsistence. You know, defining customary trade. When is the 17 priority invoked? Subsistence opportunities for urbane 18 Natives, all these questions. The work of the Subsistence 19 Summit hasn't been completed. You know, in the process of it, 20 the governor came up with his proposal, as you all know, that 21 he'd been running around all over the State of Alaska with, and 22 we've -- maybe I shouldn't say it in that way. But in any 23 case, that's what he's been doing is flying around the state 24 pushing his proposal. Now, I forget when it was he invited the 25 Alaska Intertribal Council, the AFN RurAL CAP, to testify 26 before his task force. And at that task force testimony, we 27 were all basically on the same wavelength, that we were saying 28 that first of all, we have no input whatsoever in this 29 governor's proposal. And even after we provided the testimony, 30 he still didn't change the proposal to fit the concerns of the 31 Alaska Native people.

32

33 So what occurred after that was we realized that he 34 said that his work was done, et cetera, that he got all the 35 input he wanted. So we decided that maybe the next step is to 36 go find out what's going to happen, and what's happening in 37 Washington D.C. So we went down there, and that's when we were 38 trying to find out what Ted Stevens was up to. And we also met 39 with the Secretary of Interior, pointing out to him the fact 40 that he does have a responsibility to the Alaska Native people, 41 just as part of his job, et cetera, et cetera, all that 42 rationale. We also pointed out to him the fact that the bill 43 that Ted Stevens introduced had no input whatsoever from the 44 Alaska Native people. And so where I think it's at now is, as 45 a result of that meeting in Washington D.C., they asked for a 46 presidential veto. Now, I guess you read in the papers where 47 the Secretary of Interior basically said that he wouldn't ask 48 the president for a veto of this legislation. As you know, 49 it's attached to an Interior Appropriations bill as a rider,

50 this legislation. And I have a copy of that legislation.

Unfortunately, it's at home. It's only eight pages long. But there's a lot of -- just an eight page document has a lot of things in there.

4

And according to the legal analysis done by some Native 6 attorneys, there are at least six net losses in that bill as 7 it's currently written. And those have been expressed to 8 Stevens, and Secretary of Interior, and everybody else and 9 their brothers, I guess. But I guess the only hope we have 10 right now is a presidential veto of the legislation, and our 11 hope is that that's what he'll do. And if he does, then this 12 legislation would go through the normal process like it should 13 have gone through, of introducing it into their committees, and 14 then giving opportunities for people to respond to it. 15 quess that's probably where it's at. Now, I know at the Alaska 16 Federation of Natives, at this convention, that's going to be a 17 real topic of discussion this year. I'm not really sure what 18 they're going to do there, but my hope is that they will come 19 out of there with a firm position, voted up or down at the 20 convention, and that the governor will, in his wisdom, pin us 21 to the table, where we really should be, where the decisions 22 are actually being made. And I think that's the effort that 23 we're trying to gain here.

24

25 Now, from a tribal perspective, you know, one of the 26 areas that we really want to see in this language is some 27 provision for co-management by tribes. And I think it's 28 already been indicated that it's included in this legislation 29 that Stevens introduced. But I don't think it says 30 specifically "tribes." I think it just says Indian 31 organizations. So I guess it's kind of open to interpretation 32 to what that means. It may very well mean 638 contracting 33 tribes, it could mean nonprofits like Tanana Chiefs. I don't 34 know what it really means, but they're telling me it's in 35 there. I would like to have it a little more specific, though. 36 So I guess that's the way I view it. That's the way I think i 37 see it moving. I don't think the legislature is going to go 38 into any special convention, or special legislative - what do 39 you call it - legislature, I guess. And most likely they 40 probably will deal with it in January whenever they convene, 41 because this legislation also has an extension of a year 42 attached to it, until December of '98.

43

Now, like I said earlier, this legislation is 45 contingent on legislative action on the Constitutional 46 Amendment. And that's going to be pretty hard to get with a 47 Republican legislature that we have now. So God only knows 48 where this is going to go after the AFN convention. But my 49 hope is that at the AFN convention there will be a firm 50 position, that nobody can back off from, or sit around and try

to compromise on. And I think that the meeting that was held in D.C., the co-chair of AFN made some sort of a statement that AFN wasn't really opposed to Stevens' legislation. I think that was a real error on his part. I'm not going to criticize him for it, but, you know, he hasn't been really in the loop, from my point of view, and making that kind of a statement to the media is totally misleading. I can tell you right now that AFN does not support the amendments by Stevens.

9

And I guess that's basically all I have to say about it. That's just as much as I know about it. Now, the other thing is that the Senate Resource is having a hearing in Galena Friday from 9 to 5 p.m. So I don't know how many villages will participate in it, but they're going to hook up to three other villages. This is probably the only rural hearing that they're having. Most of them, as you know, are being held in urbane areas. That's really unfortunate that they won't listen to the people that this whole issue affects the most. But that's just the way the politics is, and that's kind of hard for me to understand. The politics of it is not in our favor, that's for sure. That's it, Mr. Chairman.

22

MR. MILLER: Is there any questions? I guess we'll 24 move on in the agenda then. Is there any more reports?

2526

 $\,$ MR. MATHEWS: Not unless other members have some that $\,$ 27 they wanted to share.

28 29

MR. GINNIS: For your information, Gerald just has a 30 copy of the legislation here. I don't know if you might want 11 to make copies of it. I think Lee said he didn't get a chance 12 to look at this, but

33 34

MR. MATHEWS: Okay. I can make copies of it, sure. 35 Okay, that would bring us up to

36 37

MR. FLEENER: Correspondence.

38

39 MR. MATHEWS: Yes. And you need to turn to - I don't 40 know what - Tab G, and

41 42

MR. GINNIS: Tab what?

43

MR. MATHEWS: G, as in Galena. And again, what I do
45 is, that's correspondence received. You'll notice there's a
46 newspaper article there. It has nothing to do with the flu. I
47 just wanted you to see that you did get some local write-up
48 from your meeting in Tanana, just so you get an idea. We do
49 deal with -- we do press releases after this meeting, and this

50 is one that the paper did pick up, so that's just to inform you

```
0104
```

that you got in the paper. The next letter you received is from Yukon Flats National Wildlife Refuge. And again, this is just, instead of sending you all the copies each time they come in, they're just kind of put together at one time. And this was in response to the request you had on trapping on the National Wildlife System between 1992 and 1996. Okay, that's in that trapping thing. So I can just, for the record, go through. If you have questions, then we can answer them.

9 10

10 MR. GINNIS: Mr. Chairman, on this questionnaire, is 11 there any -- is there going to be a report on this?

12

MR. MATHEWS: There is a report. I'm not clear who's 14 going to present that. I was told it was going to be someone 15 out of the Anchorage office, so I don't know if that's been 16 delegated to someone else. But we do have in your book a 17 trapping report that was generated for the Congress. But I 18 haven't had a chance to see if it's been delegated to someone 19 else.

20 21

MR. FLEENER: Let's ask. Ted, you guys going to

22

MR. BOYD: Nobody's talked to us about it, 24 but (indiscernible - away from microphone).

2526

MR. MATHEWS: It was my understanding it was going to 27 be Tom Ely, so I don't know if he's coming later or what.

28 29

MR. GINNIS: Well, in any case, I would like to have some - whether it's done here or not - you know, I'd like to know what these -- what the outcome of these

32 33

MR. MATHEWS: Okay. Let me find it very quickly. It's 34 a report, and since we're on to that, I believe it's under 35 Tab K, which is quite thick. The back of Tab K, it says Mammal 36 Trapping within the National Wildlife Refuge 1992-1996. This 37 is the executive summary of that report. I have the full 38 report back there on the table.

39

40 MR. FLEENER: You said the back of Tab K? Are you 41 talking about the last page?

42

43 MR. MATHEWS: The last pages of Tab K, correct. Yeah, 44 it's the last report in Tab K.

45

46 MR. GINNIS: Are we going to go through this latter, or 47 what?

48 49

MR. MATHEWS: I can just paraphrase -- what now?

0105

1 MR. GINNIS: Are we going to be going through this part
2 of
3

8

35 36

37 38

39

MR. MATHEWS: Well, I think you're going to have to give us some time to work it out. We were going to do that under an agency report. It's actually listed under agency reports.

MR. GINNIS: Well, let's leave it there.

10
11 MR. MATHEWS: Okay. All right. The next letter under
12 Tab G, as in Galena, is a letter that I sent to the chair of
13 all the captures of the regional council recommendations for

13 all the captures of the regional council recommendations for 14 his review and accuracy, and then as a preparation tool for the 15 upcoming board meeting. To the rest of you, that may not have 16 a lot of meaning to you, but it could. Chuck is your chair 17 now. Maybe he'll have a scheduling conflict. I have to get on 18 the phone and call Gerald up and see if he can go. So we may 19 distribute this a little bit widely in case there's a 20 scheduling conflict. But this is just basically what the 21 recommendations were. I won't go through them in detail at 22 this time, but this is what was provided for him to prepare for 23 the meeting, and to double check that I capture right from 24 notes and from the transcript. Okay. And that takes up a lot 25 of space, because you guys commented on quite a few proposals. 26 Then we come to a letter that has a title, "Denali National 27 Park and Preserve Subsistence Resource Commission." Again, 28 this is kind of late, but I try to get this to you so you 29 understand that you're linked with these other entities. This 30 was their recommendations or comments on proposals that were 31 going before the Federal Subsistence Board. I really don't 32 need to summarize them, but it just shows you that Denali 33 Subsistence Resource Commission is working very hard to 34 communicate with you.

MR. NICHOLIA: Mr. Chair?

MR. MILLER: Yes, go ahead, Gerald.

MR. NICHOLIA: I have a letter I gave to Hollis, and 41 that verifies that we've been working together to issue permits 42 to eligible people on the Denali Preserve. And we went through 43 the effort of setting up dates and kind of going on trying to 44 ask all the people if they would. But it was mostly the elders 45 that said they went over that far, and most of the younger 46 generation, my age and this age in here, doesn't go around that 47 far anymore, because trapping is not really that feasible 48 anymore. It wouldn't cover the costs, the expense, of going 49 over there and back. You have a copy of this letter right

50 here.

1

MR. MATHEWS: I think I can -- yeah, that would --2 well, that's correspondence between you, as working for Tanana Tribal Council, and the National Park Service. For those that 4 need the linkage to the regional council, at the last meeting 5 you recommended that Tanana become a resident zone community 6 for Denali National Park. That precipitated these meetings, 7 and Hollis will give more of an overview of that as we go 8 further, and we'll keep you updated as that proceeds, to 9 determine if Tanana is a resident zone community or not. Okay?

10 11

MR. GOOD: Mr. Chair?

12 13

MR. MILLER: Go ahead, Nat.

14

15 MR. GOOD: This letter from the Denali National Park 16 and Preserve, I think you have the wrong letter here, because 17 it's actually written to the Southcentral Regional Advisory 18 Council.

19

20 MR. MATHEWS: It shows Eastern Interior on the bottom, 21 if you're talking the one on March 29th.

22 23

MR. GOOD: Well, it says a carbon copy to us, but it 24 has responses for Southcentral Regional Advisory Councils. I 25 find it very confusing here. I don't find any reference to us, 26 just to Southcentral Regional Councils recommendation. 27 missing something here, or

28 29

Southcentral and Eastern Interior MR. MATHEWS: No. 30 have proposals that affected both of them. And Denali decided 31 to focus on Southcentral for that, and they wanted you copied. 32 I don't know if that clears it up or not.

33 34

MR. FLEENER: They're just giving us a copy since 35 they're working with us, and we appoint the

36

MR. GOOD: But they didn't have the responses to ours.

37 38

39 MR. MATHEWS: Maybe Hollis -- I'm losing you on this, 40 so I don't want to take up too much time, but maybe Hollis can 41 help us out.

42

43 MR. TWITCHELL: Thank you Chair, Council. This letter 44 is directed to the Federal Subsistence Board, and it's to them 45 that the SRC was communicating their positions on proposals 46 that would affect the Denali area users. And listed in there 47 you'll see a number of proposals, and some of those proposals 48 were Southcentral Region area proposals, and some were Eastern 49 Interior Council proposals. So what they decided to do was

50 just to copy the different regions that the proposals they

0107 commented on would include. And that's why they listed both 2 Southcentral, for some of those proposals listed above, and also Eastern, for Proposal 71, I think specifically dealt with the Eastern Interior Region. 6 MR. GOOD: It just seems strange that they mention 7 Southcentral Regional, and no mention of Eastern Interior, 8 other than as a carbon copy. 9 10 MR. FLEENER: It doesn't say Eastern Interior, but 11 Proposal 71 does say Unit 20(A-D). 12 13 MR. GOOD: Right. 14 15 MR. TWITCHELL: It's just the way the chair authored 16 the letter, so is that misleading to you? 17 18 MR. GOOD: Oh, well, to the extent that it would --19 yeah, it was confusing here. I noted the Southcentral Regional 20 Advisory Council's proposals, and skimmed through that. I 21 didn't see any mention of us as Eastern Interior. That was why 22 I asked. 23 24 MR. FLEENER: Thank you, Hollis. 25 26 MR. GINNIS: Mr. Chairman? 27 28 MR. MILLER: Go ahead. 29 30 MR. GINNIS: Vince -- let's see, what was I going to 31 ask you here? Oh, is there some question here regarding Tanana 32 as a community -- or what they call a community zone? 33 34 MR. FLEENER: Resident zone. 35 36 MR. GINNIS: Resident zone for the use of Denali Park? 37 Is that what's going on here? 38 39 MR. MATHEWS: Yes. At the Tanana meeting, you passed a 40 motion that they should be a resident zone community. 41 Service is going through the process of evaluating, do they 42 meet the criteria for resident zone community status? Not to 43 cut you off, we can discuss that now or wait until when the 44 agency brings this up. I don't want to defer things, but 45 that's what happened there, and they're responding to it. And 46 Gerald's been involved with Hollis on some other parts. And I 47 suppose in answer to your question, yes, there is a question if 48 Tanana is a resident zone community. And the Park Service is

49 going through a process to determine that.

1

MR. GINNIS: Well, I guess the only thing I have to say 2 about it, and I'll leave it at that, is that when we were in 3 Tanana, we went into some lengthy discussion about this. There 4 were some testimony provided by the residents of Tanana; in 5 fact, even by one of the council members that were on the board 6 at the time. And if that isn't justification enough, I don't 7 know what is.

8

MR. MATHEWS: Well, I would either wait for the 10 discussion on it, or

11 12

MR. GINNIS: Okay, I'll leave it there. Thank you.

13

14 MR. MATHEWS: Okay. With not much more said, that next 15 letter from Denali Subsistence Resource Commission discussed 16 what we just laid out, that they took up your request for that, 17 and they say passed a motion unanimously recommending park 18 staff assist the community. Hollis and Gerald can talk more 19 about that. Permits, the 13.44 permits -- I'm going through 20 this rapidly, because they'll cover all this in detail. And 21 that the commission also recommended further information be 22 gathered. And Hollis and Paul will probably talk more about 23 that. The next letter was from Miki Collins. We sent a letter 24 of appreciation for past work as your appointment to the Denali 25 Subsistence Resource Commission. This is her letter responding 26 to that. Okay, then we go to the newsletter, and I stuck it in 27 there asking you guys to look at the newsletter at your 28 leisure. You got it in the mail. Does it work? Are there 29 changes? Do we need it? If you have time to comment on that, 30 please let me know, because I'm hoping to do another newsletter 31 after this meeting, but for sure after your spring meeting do 32 another newsletter. And I kind of need your input on the 33 newsletter and that, so

34

35 MR. FLEENER: Mr. Chair, what would be the mail-out for 36 this?

37

38 MR. MATHEWS: The newsletter, I didn't the mail-out 39 with me. I was a little bit conservative in its mail-out. 40 went to your members. It went to a couple of the agencies, and 41 it went to -- I don't know how expansive I got with village 42 councils. Now that I've not heard negative response, then I'll 43 open it up probably to the full mailing list for Eastern 44 Interior. The topics in the newsletter makes it extremely 45 difficult to write. That's why I kept it kind of narrow to see 46 how effective it would be. Okay, that's up to you to get back 47 to me one on one. I'm wide open for doing it or not doing, if 48 that's the feelings. But I felt it was one tool to communicate 49 what you've done to more people, in addition to the news

50 releases which I've gotten written now. In part of the

process, in addition to phone calls, et cetera, I'm trying to provide each council member the tools to network in your area, because that's the only way this is going to work. And this is as far as I can go on some of this. I hope that you individually will be contacting communities in your area after these meetings as to what happened. If you need assistance with that, call me up. I can dial everybody together and drop off the line if you want to talk to different communities, especially when we get into proposal phase, to get their comments and that.

11 12

MR. FLEENER: Mr. Chair, I think it's a good
13 opportunity, and it's a good tool to use for us when we go back
14 to our councils. You know, instead of dragging 36 pounds of
15 paper, we can take this newsletter and go over briefly what is
16 most interesting to us, as long as you're coming back to us and
17 asking us, what do you think should go in the newsletter.

18

MR. MATHEWS: Yeah. And I can come back to you pretty 20 much after the fact. If you want me to, I can run drafts by 21 you, but that, to be honest with you, will really slow up the 22 process. I tried to squeeze this in in other meetings, so

2324

MR. FLEENER: Well, at least the opportunity to 25 comment, you know. If you let us know when your next one is 26 going to be produced, we can say, maybe you should discuss this 27 topic or something.

28 29

MR. MATHEWS: And I may be calling you to do different 30 articles, too. Life would be a lot easier on some of these 31 topics if I could be your tool to get what you want in the 32 newsletter in there. It's hard when you're balancing several 33 different programs at once.

34 35

MR. MILLER: Go ahead, Lee.

36 37

MR. LEE TITUS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. On this
newsletter, on page 2, down on the Statewide Governor's Task
Force's Subsistence Plan, way down in the last column, there's,
U"The goal of the Federal Subsistence Program, as well as the
Department of Interior, continues to be return unified
management of fish and game to the State of Alaska as soon as
yossible." And that just brought up a comment that Steve made
earlier about Title VIII of ANILCA, and the provisions that
protects subsistence. And this statement here is contrary to
that law. I mean, there's no state law that'll protect me from
subsistence hunting and fishing.

48

MR. FLEENER: I think that's a goal of the -- it's not

50 just to turn it over, that's not the goal. The goal is to get

0110 them in compliance, and then turn it over, if I can make an assumption. 3 4 MR. BOYD: That's correct. MR. FLEENER: So they're not -- their goal isn't to 7 just hand it back over, I should hope? 8 MR. BOYD: The goal is to get the State of Alaska 10 unified management in compliance with Title VIII of ANILCA. 11 12 MR. MATHEWS: Okay. Then I'll move on to the 13 correspondence sent. I'll do it a big more rapidly, unless 14 there's questions. We're going to talk about trapping. Your 15 first letter there was to Virginia concerning comments on 16 leg-hold traps on National Wildlife Refuges. 17 18 MR. FLEENER: What tab are you on? 19 20 MR. MATHEWS: I'm at Tab H, as in Holy Cross. 21 22 MR. FLEENER: Our first one is to U.S. Fish and 23 Wildlife Service, Dear Sirs. But it does say comments on 24 leg-hold, though. 25 26 MR. MATHEWS: Okay, right. This was in response to 27 them. You're on National Wildlife Refuge, looking at trapping 28 uses on refuges nationwide. And this was your response taken 29 from your meeting. And you can see it was copied to all the 30 ten chairs, so all ten chairs got a copy of that. The next 31 letter is to Steve Martin, Park Superintendent of Denali 32 National Park. That was your appointment of Paul Starr to the 33 Denali Subsistence Resource Commission. I'll kind of go 34 through these fast, unless someone wants to stop me, or has a 35 question, I should say. The next letter is to Mitch 36 Demientieff, the Chair of the Federal Subsistence Board. You 37 requested that the board reinstate a rural requirement for 38 regional council membership, and you wanted that clear on that. 39 That's been forwarded to the board. Okay, the next one is to 40 Mr. Boyd, who's right next to me. This is requesting a special 41 meeting of the regional council to address fisheries expansion. 42 And when we get into fisheries, we're going to talk about that, 43 so there has been a response to your letter. Okay, the next 44 one - it gets a little easier, I think - that's to John Jarvis, 45 to Wrangell-St. Elias. That's your appointment of Frank 46 Entsminger to the Wrangell-St. Elias Subsistence Resource 47 Commission. And there's the letter to Miki Collins for her 48 past involvement with the Subsistence Resource Commission, 49 thanking her for that. There was quite a few letters

50 generated.

1

Anyway, the next letter is August 25th to Thomas Boyd again. This is requesting that the nomination process consult 3 with local governing bodies. We have done that. We've made it 4 a platform to part of our nomination process to expand our 5 context when we deal with the nomination process of selecting 6 individuals for the open seats. So we've opened our scope on 7 contacting different people. The next

8

MR. GINNIS: Does this -- this thing cover it, the 10 process?

11

12 MR. MATHEWS: Good question. It covers it in general 13 terms. I don't know if it goes into the detail that you might 14 be asking. It may in the gray one; it should in the gray one.

15 16

MR. GINNIS: Because that's change from how it's been 17 done before, and I just want to make sure that it's a

18

19 MR. MATHEWS: It's the change how it was done in the 20 last few years, correct.

21

22 MR. GINNIS: So I'm just wanting to ensure that it's in 23 here, so everybody understands it.

24

25 MR. MATHEWS: Yeah, we can note it in there, but I know 26 it's in the directives that we give to the nomination panels, 27 that they look beyond the references that are on the 28 applications. And I generate a list of key contacts, that they 29 really have worked diligently on, on calling on nominations, 30 people that have been nominated, to find more about their 31 strengths. Okay, the next one is a response. Steven Ginnis 32 brought this up earlier about the action at the last meeting 33 concerning what Mr. Tritt brought from the Villages of Arctic 34 and Venetie concerning Arctic Village Sheep Management area. 35 So this is the letter expressing that staff is available if 36 they would like to assist in some writing proposals. 37 actually, this first letter is a support for their request, I'm 38 sorry. The next letter I believe -- in there is if they need 39 assistance, please give us a call on that. We've discussed the 40 Arctic Village Sheep Management area already, and the caribou, 41 that the villages are working with the refuge manager and other 42 staff to look at other ways of addressing that.

43

44 Okay, the next letter is to Jon Jarvis, Wrangell-St. 45 Elias. This was again in support of having all rights of 46 Native subsistence users to hunt within Wrangell-St. Elias 47 National Park and Preserve. And this encouraged positive 48 action to add the communities of -- and I don't have them here. 49 Oh, I do. Tetlin, Northway, and Dot Lake. And they may -- you 50 may want to ask them, or they may be presenting, when they do

agency reports, the status of that. Okay, I'll just keep 2 moving. The next one is to Florence Collins and John Vale. They're chairs of the two Subsistence Resource Commissions you 4 deal with. And letter of support be sent to them, and that we 5 need to work out additional ways of improving communication. 6 And there's a list of the options down there. One is exchange 7 of meeting minutes; two, increased direction to support staff 8 to carry concerns to meeting; and three, reporting by 9 appointees in writing or in person. Well, that letter 10 indirectly, in part of it, helped get Mr. Paul Starr your 11 appointment to Denali SRC. My understanding, Frank would be 12 here, he may still be here, Frank Entsminger, may still be 13 coming. The Park Service agrees that it would be wise to have 14 them at the meeting. And that's all the letters that I know of 15 that we used your letterhead on, or that your letterhead went 16 out on. And that's all the letters I know that are received. 17 If you received letters, please let me know. Sometimes I don't 18 get copies, and your files will not reflect that. So if you 19 receive letters from other chairs for whatever reason, then let 20 me know so I can copy those, because with the movement it's 21 good to have those in the file. 22 23 MR. FLEENER: Mr. Chair, we did receive a response from 24 Florence Collins on this letter dated August 25th, and I've got 25 a copy of it. Vince sent me this copy, and 26 27 Okay, I didn't get it in here. MR. MATHEWS: 28 29 MR. FLEENER: Right. But she just said that she agrees 30 that the more closely the council and commission can work 31 together, the better the results will be for subsistence users, 32 basically. There's a few more words. If you guys need to take 33 a look at this letter, I've got a copy here. 34 35 MR. MATHEWS: Mr. Chair, that's all the correspondence 36 sent and received, or received and sent, that I know of. And 37 that would bring us up to an update on fisheries. 38 39 MR. MILLER: I think we'll call for a five-minute 40 break. 41 42 (Off record) 43 44 (On record: 4:40 p.m.) 45 46 MR. MATHEWS: Mr. Chairman, while the room is kind of 47 settling down, 48

MR. FLEENER: Stand by one, Vince, the chairman has

50 something to say.

0113 1 MR. MILLER: Yeah, this message we got from Russell regarding giving his agency report today, do you want to put 3 that up next, or wait until MR. MATHEWS: Well, Mr. Chairman, I think fisheries 6 should not take that long. I'll ask if anybody's here from the 7 governor's office to cover the Governor's Task Force. Nope, I 8 don't see anybody. Does the state want to 9 10 MR. GINNIS: You mean you didn't invite him, the 11 governor? 12 13 MR. MATHEWS: No, I 14 15 MR. GINNIS: Oh, 16 17 MR. FLEENER: We scolded him about that earlier. 18 19 MR. MATHEWS: Yeah. Did anybody from Alaska Department 20 of Fish and Game want to talk about the Governor's Task Force? 21 Seeing no hands, 22 23 MR. MILLER: No comment? 24 MR. MATHEWS: then we're right into agency 25 26 reports. So maybe at that time there can be some switching 27 around, if there's an agreement. 28 29 MR. MILLER: Okay. 30 31 MR. MATHEWS: I did put on each of your chairs, those 32 that needed to, your travel forms to fill out and send back so 33 you get reimbursed. I also put down a sheet that's profile --34 those that were in the Fairbanks office, you already did this. 35 Those that weren't, that's just so we can make sure we get the 36 right airline, if you have a preference for smoking or 37 nonsmoking rooms, et cetera, et cetera. So fill that out and 38 get it back to me, otherwise you'll be stuck on any old airline 39 that we think of. Okay? 40 41 MR. GINNIS: I believe that. 42 43 MR. MATHEWS: I know that. Okay, sorry for that, but 44 that's really important. I don't want to be flippant with 45 that, but we don't want to put you on an airline that you're 46 uncomfortable with, and the only way we know that is if you 47 fill this out or let us know, and this is the way they want to 48 do it. So with that, that's it for travel. And if you don't

49 fill out those forms, you won't get reimbursed, and then you'll

50 be owing money, and that money would come out of future

0114 advances. So it's best when you get home, just fill it out, send it in, or call me up and I can help you fill it out. Expansion of fisheries was going to be by Bill Knauer, I believe. We do have overheads if he wants, it's up to him. 5 They came as a package with all ten councils. No? Okay. 7 8 MR. GINNIS: Mr. Chairman, I just want to say something 9 on this. I know that, you know, with Stevens' extension of 10 another year, it's probably not something that we need to deal 11 with right now. But I would like to suggest that when this 12 thing ever does come about, that a special meeting of this 13 council be held to review, not only the regulations, but I 14 guess kind of give us an update of how they're going to take 15 over the management of the fisheries. You know, I quess I'm 16 concerned about allocation issues, I'm concerned about the 17 statement goals, you know, things of that nature. So I would 18 ask that as a request. And I'm sure you got money for it, 19 right, for a special meeting? 20 21 MR. BOYD: I think 22 23 MR. GINNIS: Don't shake you head, say yes. Say yes 24 once in a while. 25 26 MR. BOYD: Yes, once in a while. Yes, we do. 27 we can handle that, Steve. 28 29 MR. GINNIS: But you understand what I'm saying? 30 31 MR. BOYD: Yeah. 32 33 MR. GINNIS: It's a major area if we were going to get 34 into it. And I think it's worth our time to spend a day or 35 whatever it takes to discuss it. 36 37 MR. KNAUER: Mr. Chairman? 38 39 MR. MILLER: Go ahead. 40 41 MR. KNAUER: I would like to give a very short 42 abbreviated brief, in light of both the State Task Force 43 attempts, and also the amendments that have been put forth by 44 Senator Stevens, along with the continued moratorium. 45 change things a little bit. We had been proceeding towards the 46 publication of a proposed rule, and the release of an 47 environmental assessment. As you're aware, Senator Stevens did 48 place the moratorium that would continue through the first of

49 December 1998, prohibiting the publication and implementation

50 of a final rule. Now, that does not mean that we will not be

publishing a proposed rule. There is a very high likelihood that we will be publishing a proposed rule sometime prior to that. We do not know when yet. After that rule, the proposed rule, is published, we will be having a regional council meeting in each of the regions. We will probably tie them to public hearings. We will have additional public hearings also.

7 8

As you're aware, the amendments that Senator Stevens has included with the Interior Appropriations Bill are amendments to ANILCA. They only become effective if the state meets certain requirements; in other words, passes amendments to its constitution and comes into compliance. So it's a three-tiered stool. The missing of any one of the three legs acauses the stool to fall, and in essence, if the state does not come into compliance, those amendments go away and we're right back to where we would be without them, implementing the expanded fisheries management in accordance with the Katie Jon decision. But we will be having a regional council meeting in each region for that.

20 21

MR. GINNIS: The only thing I could add to that is that this whole Stevens' amendment very well can change if there's a presidential veto. You know, it'll just go back through the process. It'll have to come back through the process, so I think that's the only thing I got to add to it.

26

27 MR. KNAUER: That is correct, if there is a 28 presidential veto.

29 30

MR. LEE TITUS: Mr. Chairman?

31 32

MR. MILLER: Go ahead, Lee.

33

MR. LEE TITUS: I'm getting confused on this. I mean, 35 there's parts in this bill that calls for the state to comply 36 with ANILCA, and then there's another part in there that's to 37 amend ANILCA?

38 39

MR. KNAUER: That's correct.

40

41 MR. LEE TITUS: So we don't know what we're going to 42 comply with, or the amendments are written?

43

44 MR. KNAUER: The state compliance, if it would pass the 45 amendments to the State Constitution, would be to comply with 46 the amended ANILCA. That's

47

48 MR. LEE TITUS: So the amended ANILCA will do away with 49 Title VIII?

1 MR. KNAUER: No, no. It amends Title VIII to further 2 define customary trade, it further defines rural, it further 3 defines customary and traditional, it redefines the makeup of 4 the regional councils. And those are some of the things that 5 it does.

6 7

7 MR. LEE TITUS: And from my understanding of the 8 amendments to ANILCA, it really waters down the issues.

9

MR. GINNIS: Yeah, you're right, Lee. You know, the 11 position that was taken at the Subsistence Summit as far as 12 ANILCA - what do you call it - amendments, was that the Native 13 community was supported only if it strengthens the Native 14 position. You know, we're not saying that we support ANILCA 15 amendments, we're only saying that it supported only if it 16 strengthens our position. There's a real difference there. 17 And this -- the way I understand Stevens' amendment, is that 18 it's generated as a result of the Governor's Task Force 19 proposal. You know, in it also has some amendments to the 20 ANILCA law, and I think Stevens is just trying to address that 21 through his bill. That's the way I understood it.

22

MR. MATHEWS: Mr. Chairman, I do have copies of the 24 bill. I'm not going to talk about it, because -- well, 25 whatever, there's copies here, that if you want to look at it. 26 Some of you got copies earlier. Those that didn't, I can pass 27 them out to you.

28 29

MR. GINNIS: The other thing I might add to that is 30 that, you know, the legal analysis was done by RurAL CAP, 31 attorneys in - what's her name - Carol Daniels. And also the 32 AFN had an attorney that also did an analysis on this thing. 33 You might want to request that from them.

34 35

35 MR. PHILIP TITUS: I've got a question. You said the 36 three legs don't stand together, what's going to end up with 37 subsistence?

38

MR. KNAUER: Well, subsistence would -- three supports, 40 the change to Title VIII of ANILCA, the change to the State 41 Constitution, and the change to state law, would all go into 42 effect to provide a subsistence priority.

43 44

MR. PHILIP TITUS: For anybody? For who?

45 46

MR. KNAUER: For rural residents.

47

48 MR. GINNIS: That's how it's written now. Now, the 49 summit supports Alaska Native preference, Alaska Native

50 priority, rather. It's the Native priority.

1

MR. PHILIP TITUS: Seem like when they pass ANILCA, it 2 was for the land claims didn't address subsistence. land claims was to do with Natives, and they kind of phased 4 that part out. It seem like the two should be working together instead of clashing and kind of strip away one aspect of it. That's my perception of it.

7 8

MR. KNAUER: You're correct that the Native Claim 9 Settlement Act did address the Native situation. However, 10 Title VIII specifically addressed both Natives and non-Natives, 11 and provided a preference for the rural Alaska resident, 12 whether it was Native or non-Native.

13

14 MR. PHILIP TITUS: What I'm saying is, they wouldn't 15 have ANILCA without the land claims.

16

17 MR. MATHEWS: Mr. Chairman, that's correct, there would 18 not be ANILCA without the Native Claim Settlement Act, because 19 of the conference committee request, and also because of 20 17(D)(2), the D(2) lands. So yes, there would not be ANILCA if 21 you didn't have ANCSA.

22 23

MR. LEE TITUS: That's how we perceive that it's Indian 24 legislation.

25

MR. KNAUER: That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.

26 27 28

MR. MILLER: Are there any other questions?

29 30

MR. MATHEWS: The bottom line would be to stay tuned. 31 If things change, I, Bill, Tom, everybody else, will be on the 32 phone trying to reach you to set up meetings, et cetera. So 33 just stay tuned on it. I always caution you on that, because 34 we may need to call a meeting quick, but right now it doesn't 35 look like we need that. But midnight call at night -- no, it 36 won't happen that way, but we'll keep you informed.

37

MR. MILLER: Let's go on to the next agenda item.

38 39

40 MR. MATHEWS: The next item is the Governor's Task 41 Force.

42 43

MR. MILLER: Oh, wait a minute.

44

MR. KNAUER: You already did away with that one.

45 46

47 MR. MATHEWS: Well, no we didn't deal with it. You 48 have two copies of it; one, the most current one, is in your 49 yellow folder. Obviously, there's no one here to speak on it, 50 but you do have the copy of September 23rd. The copy that's in

```
0118
  your book -- I don't want to mislead the governor, he's kind of
2 a tall guy, I don't want him chasing me down. The one in your
3 book is the earlier version, so that I need to make clear that
  you have the most recent version in your yellow notebook, and
5 you have the earlier version in your big meeting book. And
6 with that, I have nothing more to share on it.
7
8
          MR. MILLER: Any questions?
9
10
          MR. MATHEWS: Okay, that brings us up to agency
11 reports. We had a public request, unless you need something
12 else on the Task Force, or whatever.
13
14
          MR. MILLER: No.
15
16
          MR. MATHEWS: Okay. The agency reports are laid out,
17 as you see. We've had two requests, one from William Miller
18 to talk about, number one, Native corporations, tribal
19 councils, et cetera. And then you had the request from
20 Wrangell-St. Elias staff to be addressed. So I don't know
21 how -- if you want to shift them or keep them as is. Park
22 Service is the second one on the list.
23
24
          MR. MILLER: What time were they supposed to leave, or
25 what ....
26
27
          MR. MATHEWS: I don't know.
28
29
          UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We've got to go back tonight
30 sometime. We can stay as long as you want, although we've got
31 to -- we can't be here tomorrow.
32
33
          MR. MILLER: Okay.
34
35
          MR. MATHEWS: We should cover it tonight, unless ....
36
37
          MR. MILLER: Oh, I'm sure we'll get to it.
38
39
          MR. GINNIS: What are you talking about?
40
41
          MR. MATHEWS: Agency reports.
42
43
          MR. MILLER: Yeah. Wrangell-St. Elias.
44
45
          MR. GINNIS: For who? Wrangell-St. Elias?
46
47
          MR. MILLER: Yeah.
48
49
          MR. MATHEWS: We also have a public request to speak
```

50 from William Miller, and he wants to talk about Native

```
0119
   corporations and tribal councils, et cetera. And so there is a
   request to talk on that, and I think Bill is here.
3
4
           MR. MILLER: Shall we take the request first?
5
           MR. GINNIS: Mr. Chairman, why don't we just go down
7 the way it's listed there.
8
9
           MR. MILLER: Okay. Well, we'll go ....
10
11
          MR. GINNIS: You know, just whoever wants to address us
12 will address us, you know.
13
14
                        Okay, well, we'll go with ....
          MR. MILLER:
15
16
                       Native corporations, start off with?
          MR. GINNIS:
17
18
          MR. MILLER: Native corporations, then, first.
19 Mr. Miller?
20
21
           MR. WILLIAM MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Miller. It's nice
22 working for your son. Bill Miller, President, Dot Lake Village
23 Council. And it's not the Native corporation, but the tribal
24 councils in conjunction with the Native corporation I'd like to
25 address. And one of the main things that seems to always be
26 missed -- and I wish this group here would try to take it to
27 the Federal Subsistence Board, to address 801 of ANILCA,
28 Section 801. The very lead-in paragraph that's supposed to
29 explain the whole subsistence issue defines very definitely
30 that subsistence is important and a necessity to Natives on
31 Native lands, and to Natives and non-Natives on public lands.
32 It also addresses the difference when it specifically states
33 that it's important to Natives because of a list of issues,
34 including traditional, and to non-Natives for the same listed
35 issues, except they drop "traditional" and they put "social."
36 And if you look at this and try to analyze it, first of all,
37 the definition of Native lands in ANILCA, the front section of
38 ANILCA, defines Native lands as Native corporation lands.
39 Section 801 says that it's important to Natives on Native
40 lands. The way I've always looked at this is that there should
41 be a way for Natives to hunt on their Native lands without the
42 interference. The only thing has ever been addressed by the
43 state or by the federal government is the second portion of
44 that, Natives and non-Natives on public lands.
                                                   It's never been
45 addressed of the Natives on Native lands.
46
47
           The other issue that's never been addressed is the
48 difference between the traditional and the social status.
```

49 traditional status of Natives can be interpreted as the

50 physiological and psychological need for their particular

subsistence food that they've been raised on for generations, as opposed to the non-Native need, social, which according to 3 how you interpret it, could mean my ego; I'm rural, I'm 4 non-Native, I want to go get a moose so I can tell everybody I 5 shot a moose. I want to get a big moose so it's bigger than 6 everybody else's. The group I hang out with likes to hunt, so I want to hunt. This is a social need. The traditional need 8 is a physiological and psychological need that can only be satisfied with the traditional food.

10

7

11 The tribal councils, the Venetie case right now with 12 Indian country, with the possibility of corporation lands 13 becoming Indian country, one of the things that should be 14 looked at is the very strong thing that $\bar{\text{was}}$ approached earlier 15 in the meeting here, is the co-management of resources on these 16 Native lands. And as an example, the Village of Dot Lake, 17 which I've tried to use before in meetings, hypothetically, if 18 they needed nine moose to sustain the village, there should be 19 a method that they can be able, in July when they traditionally 20 hunted moose, to take at least three to four of these moose off 21 of their Native lands for their summer dry meat. And to take 22 the remaining five during the regular state season, or federal 23 season, or whatever have you, on whatever lands are open. 24 These issues have never been addressed. And that was my 25 comments as far as the tribal councils and the Native 26 corporations go. Thank you.

27 28

MR. MILLER: Do you have any questions or comments? 29 I'd like to ask Vince, do you have, by chance, have a copy of 30 that 801, ANILCA?

31 32

MR. MATHEWS: Yes, I do. I have a copy of 801 here. 33 And what he's addressing, and I know what -- well, he can 34 correct me if I misunderstood him. I think he was pointing out 35 the difference in the cultural existence and the social 36 existence. He used the term "traditional," which is in there. 37 But the difference between the Native and non-Native use --38 I'll just read it so we get it straight. "This Congress finds 39 and declares that the continuation of the opportunity for 40 subsistence uses by rural residents of Alaska, including both 41 Natives and non-Natives on public lands, and by Alaskan Natives 42 on Native lands, is essential to Native physical, economic, 43 traditional, and cultural existence, and to non-Native 44 physical, economic, traditional, and social existence. 45 difference is between cultural existence and social existence, 46 and I think that's -- he covered that in detail. 47 Section 801, No. 1.

48 49

MR. MILLER: That seems pretty, you know, cut and dry

50 there. It's kind of hard -- you know, how this thing is so

```
0121
  misinterpreted all the time.
3
           MR. WILLIAM MILLER: Well, the rest of Section 8,
4 strictly addresses the rural residents on public lands. That
5 was my point, is the rest of the section does not address the
6 lead-in paragraph. And this is what was supposed to have
7 corrected the injustice that we've done under ANCSA, and it's
8 never been corrected.
10
           MR. MILLER: Go ahead, Lee.
11
12
           MR. LEE TITUS: I think one of the -- the definition
13 of -- I mean, under these laws, a Native corporation is
14 considered public land, right?
15
16
           MR. PHILIP TITUS: Private.
17
18
          MR. MATHEWS: Private land.
19
20
           MR. FLEENER: Private land, fee simple land.
21
22
          MR. PHILIP TITUS: Private shareholders, corporate
23 asset.
24
25
          MR. FLEENER: It's considered private fee simple land,
26 under state authority.
27
28
           MR. LEE TITUS: But it's still under state
29 jurisdiction, though, right?
30
31
           MR. FLEENER:
                         Yes.
32
33
           MR. NICHOLIA: Mr. Chair?
34
35
          MR. MILLER: Yes, go ahead.
36
37
           MR. NICHOLIA: There's been some tribal councils within
38 Alaska that's working with our village corporations to more
39 better protect the village residents against like what you
40 called the social against traditional. There is ways that you
41 could protect your Native lands, if you work together; the
42 tribal council works together with the village corporation to
43 adopt a resolution, set up a committee from your tribal
44 councils with your village corporation. And if you set up a
45 committee and work together, it could be done. One good
46 example is Koyitlotsina works with their village corporation,
47 and that's what they have established so far on that. They
48 (indiscernible) area.
```

isn't in compliance with ANILCA under 801, first paragraph. Is that -- that's my understanding. Is that right?

MR. MATHEWS: They're not in compliance under the definition of subsistence. The Alaska Supreme Court found rural to be unconstitutional, so that's what triggered them out of compliance. That's under Section 803. Not to get you into terminologies, but it's a definition change under state law, due to supreme court action. I'm not a lawyer, so we have state people here that, if I get this wrong, I assume will raise their hands, but that's where the noncompliance came in, is the rural component of the definition of subsistence.

MR. MILLER: You know, going back to this morning, the 15 thing we had about the joint management between the state and 16 feds, that little checkoff list that we went through, is there 17 any way we can possibly work this into it? I mean, they had 18 their -- they asked for what they wanted. I mean, is there any 19 way that you could hold them into being in compliance with at 20 least one section of ANILCA?

MR. MATHEWS: Well, again, Fish and Game could come forward on that, but they're part of the executive branch, and they can't -- the legislature has to take action to get rural back in. So the State Fish and Game doesn't have that authority to insert rural back in. They don't have a higher authority than the supreme court for the State of Alaska, I suppose is the bottom line. Does that make sense, or am I losing you? I lost you? Okay. Well, maybe the state wants to take a shot at it.

MR. BOYD: Let me see if I can help. Section 801 is a 33 statement of finding by Congress. It sets the stage then for 34 the law that will be implemented in the rest of the sections 35 that follow in Title VIII. And because Congress finds 36 something, it doesn't necessarily mean that they have the power 37 to deal with it in law. The extent of the U.S. government's 38 reach, in this case, is on Federal Public Land, and that's 39 really it. And so when they implemented the law, or when they 40 set forth laws implementing the policy that they set forward to 41 provide a protection for subsistence, they could only do so on 42 federal land.

44 MR. MILLER: So in other words, the wording doesn't 45 really mean nothing, then.

47 MR. BOYD: Well, I guess that's in the eye of the 48 beholder. I won't judge that for you.

everybody can read it, but yet that's not being complied with.

3

7

MR. FLEENER: Mr. Chair, I think as long as the state still has jurisdiction over private land holdings for game 5 management, the federal government has nothing -- no say. Now, 6 if Venetie wins this court case, then that may have something to do with declaring Indian country. And then if all land held 8 by Natives is declared Indian country, then all Native land 9 would fall under this. That's kind of a leap ahead, and 10 several steps skipped, but that could be what takes place. And 11 I see a nod from Mr. Haynes over there, so that's probably what 12 is going to take place.

13 14

MR. MATHEWS: Well, being a jail house lawyer, that's 15 speculation.

16 17

MR. FLEENER: What'd you say, you were in jail?

18

19 MR. MATHEWS: The Indian country issue is still to be 20 determined, and what powers the Indian country has declared, or 21 is declared, still has to be worked out, so

22 23

MR. FLEENER: Well, that's what I said. I skipped a 24 few steps,

25 26

MR. MATHEWS: Yeah.

27 28

MR. FLEENER: but that's the only way this -- that 29 the Native owned land can be put in that type of jurisdiction, 30 is if they win that case and it continues from there.

31 32

MR. BOYD: I would like to suggest that maybe getting 33 into the realm of what laws -- these laws say or don't say 34 might be getting beyond what the scope of the council and our 35 business here as staff, and it might mire us down. I would 36 suggest to you, Mr. Chair, that we move on with some of the day 37 to day issues that people are dealing with.

38

39 MR. MILLER: Yeah, we could be sitting here and arguing 40 this forever.

41

MR. BOYD: Forever, forever.

42 43

44 MR. MILLER: I still say, you know, it's right there in 45 black and white. I mean, really, it's pretty easily spelled 46 out.

47

48 MR. NICHOLIA: Mr. Chairman, there's no kind of 49 existence (indiscernible - mumbled speech).

0124 MR. MILLER: I don't think so. I guess we'll move on 1 2 to the next. 3 MR. MATHEWS: Okay. If there's any other Native 5 corporation representative here that would like to speak. 6 There were some here from the tribal council, traditional 7 council, whatever, from Healy Lake. I don't know if they want 8 to speak now. They do want to speak tomorrow on a proposal. Other than that, I don't know of anybody. If I didn't see you, 10 I apologize. 11 12 MR. MILLER: Go ahead, Craig. 13 14 MR. FLEENER: I received a letter here from the Beaver 15 Tribal Council wishing for support. And I think Vince has 16 copies, if you want to hand those out. And I can read the 17 letter. It says Interior Regional Advisory Council. It says 18 that they request a short presentation on Beaver's plan to 19 reintroduce the Woodland Bison on lands near Beaver. "We also 20 respectfully request a letter of support to the Beaver Tribal 21 Council in care of Chief Bobby Weiner." And so I guess that --22 yeah, here's a copy for -- I've got a copy. I don't know if 23 Mr. Williams is prepared to give a presentation on this at this 24 time or not, but I guess this has been going on for quite some 25 time in Beaver. And they've had an interest in the possibility 26 of reintroducing bison up there. And they've recently 27 submitted letters to the tribal councils in the Yukon Flats and 28 to Fish and Game and various agencies, I guess, saying that 29 they want to take a lead role in doing a bison reintroduction. 30 And I have been given some copies of some letters that I can 31 hand out for the council expressing the interest in this 32 project. And I guess this is just under information. 33 34 MR. MATHEWS: Craig, do we have copies of this for the 35 refuge staff and for Fish and Game? 36 37 MR. FLEENER: I have one copy in my hand. 38 MR. MATHEWS: Okay, I'll make more copies, then, before 39 40 the end of the meeting. 41 42 MR. FLEENER: Did you want to say anything, Paul, on 43 this? Paul's the Second Chief from the Beaver Tribal Council. 44 45 MR. WILLIAMS: If there's any specific question 46 47 MR. FLEENER: Can you come to the microphone, please? 48 49 MR. WILLIAMS: (Mr. Williams is not speaking at

50 microphone throughout his testimony. Some portions are

inaudible.) If there's any specific questions, I'll be happy to answer them the best I can. I'm going to speak to you --I'm speaking to you as the Second Chief of the Tribal Council in Beaver (inaudible). And the people of Beaver have been involved with this for about a year now. They think, you know, 6 the more they talk about it, the more they think that it's important for their future. If they start today, it's going to 7 8 be maybe eight or nine or ten years down the line that we're going to receive the benefit (inaudible) to develop a good 10 herd. And there was a lot of concern about co-management and 11 us taking care of all of this. But I don't think actually 12 (inaudible). I think that the way that they figure exactly --13 we have to do something because a lot of things is going to 14 happen with the work there is for them, there being no jobs, 15 people depending on moose for their family. If we could bring 16 the buffalo back to Beaver -- a lot of people say, well, 17 they've never been there before, but we know the elders have 18 testified to the fact that they've been there before, because 19 they have old stories about how the older people used to tell 20 the young guys, who are elders today, how they used to hunt the 21 buffalo, and what they looked like, and how they acted. 22

23 So I don't know how to respond to any criticism at this 24 point, except to reflect what the people's wishes are in 25 Beaver. And the letters of support that you are reading now 26 from some of the villages, I didn't promise a lot of letters of 27 support from more of the Yukon Flats, different organizations. 28 So I think the reason what we're doing, the first time we see 29 some Native group taking an initiative and doing this kind of 30 project, good for you. You know, they say good for you. It's 31 good for you guys. You guys are deciding what's good for you, 32 you know. And also they decide whether they bring in the 33 bison, maybe possibly the most population would come up 34 (inaudible). But because of the river, hardly anything can be 35 done. If they're talking about food, what they going to eat? 36 You know, there's hardly any moose around Beaver. They did a 37 survey last year and we got 444 moose. That's kind of a 38 (inaudible) number of acreage that we have, that way we have a 39 pretty darn good idea how much moose we have. But there's not 40 enough moose, and more people are using it. Even the 41 (inaudible), you know, maybe even the Federal Subsistence 42 permit doesn't work, because other people have come in and 43 using the moose. I see them (inaudible). And I see that every 44 time we have a potlatch or a funeral service, we instruct the 45 young people to go out and get a moose for that purpose. 46 know, I don't see why we can't continue to do that. 47 (Inaudible) and Beaver can make their own decision for their 48 own future, because this is their doing. You know, nobody's 49 telling them to do it. When the idea was introduced

50 (inaudible) look like good idea, these fish belong to us.

0126 Again, I'm speaking as the individual council member from Beaver, you know (inaudible). Is there any specific questions that you want? If not, (inaudible). 5 MR. MILLER: Thank you. 6 7 MR. LEE TITUS: Mr. Chair: 8 9 MR. MILLER: Go ahead, Lee. 10 11 MR. LEE TITUS: Does any of the federal or state agency 12 have any kind of documentation of bison in this area? 13 MR. MATHEWS: I'll refer to Bob Stephenson. You may 14 15 want to come up and -- he's the area biologist for the Fort 16 Yukon area. 17 18 MR. STEPHENSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 19 there's a lot of bison skulls that have been found over the 20 years; Porcupine River, Black River country. They actually 21 were very common throughout most of Alaska for a long, long 22 time. It looks like they disappeared maybe a few hundred years 23 ago. Some elders say 200, some say 400. But they were hunted 24 by people, and they do find their bones a lot up there. 25 the kind of bison that used -- the last bison to live there was 26 what we call a Wood Bison, which is now found in Canada. 27 There's a pretty good herd in the Yukon, and then there's more 28 in the Northwest Territories. 29 30 MR. GINNIS: Mr. Chairman? 31 32 MR. MILLER: Go ahead, Steve. 33 34 MR. GINNIS: You know, if you look at these letters of 35 support, you'll see one in there that I signed as the Chief of 36 the Native Village of Fort Yukon. But also note that our 37 support is contingent on tribal management of this bison herd 38 that they're referring to. What we're saying is we support the 39 project only if there's tribal management of it. And that 40 there's a real -- this is a real issue. And this is something 41 that I've been hammering at with these guys here, and I still 42 haven't had an answer, direct answer from them whether there 43 will be tribal co-management of these resources. To me that's 44 very important. I would hate to see the state manage a 45 resource that maybe eventually may become a big game hunting 46 kind of an activity. So from our point of view, it's a good 47 idea, but I think -- we think that tribal management of that 48 herd is essential, I guess I might as well just say it that

49 way. And what I've been trying to do is trying to get this

50 thing addressed early on in this process, you know. But, you

know, I guess under state management I guess that's a question that's just not popular to even discuss, but from our perspective it is, you know. So again, we support the project contingent on tribal management of it.

5 6

MR. FLEENER: Mr. Chair?

7 8

MR. MILLER: Go ahead, Craig.

9

MR. FLEENER: I think that as far as what the -- what I've been hearing from the Beaver Council, is that their wishes and what they're wanting to do is to have a co-management committee formed of some sort to work with the state and whatever other agencies and landowners are in the area. And I know that the committee hasn't -- it's not formed, or it hasn't gone far yet, but I think that they are still looking for more support before they get it -- before they continue with the project. And I think that our support for the concept of them doing this project is a good idea. And I think that Beaver's idea is to work -- some type of a co-management agreement with the state, and other agencies, of course.

22 23

MR. GOOD: Mr. Chair?

2425

MR. MILLER: Go ahead.

26

27 MR. GOOD: For anybody not from this general area, be 28 very careful driving back to Fairbanks, or you may find 29 yourself a buffalo. And you don't want to find him on your 30 front bumper. The ones that we have here in the Delta area are 31 actually Plains Buffalo. They're smaller than the ones that 32 they're looking to reintroduce. The Wood Bison are much 33 larger. Personally - I can't speak for other members of the 34 council - but I think it would be nice if somebody did it 35 right, brought Wood Buffalo -- Wood Bison back to Alaska where 36 they were. Right now they're just across the line, and they 37 could migrate this way, but I don't think the Canadians are 38 about to allow them to do that. I think it's a worthwhile 39 project to bring them back to the country where they were. 40 There are concerns about this becoming a sport hunting animal. 41 Well, I think they're very justified. The herd here in Delta 42 is managed on a permit system, and I think your odds are 43 probably one in 5,000. You put down your \$5, and you've got 44 that much chance of getting a buffalo. I don't know, when we 45 talk co-management, I think you're probably interested in not 46 just co-management, but this is a subsistence type herd as well 47 here. And I don't know how this is all going to work out, or 48 if it will all work out, but I think it would be good to have 49 the bison back one way or another, the animals that were real

50 occupants of this area.

1

MR. FLEENER: Mr. Chair, I think part of the 2 co-management group that Beaver is working on forming is going to try to hammer some of those issues out with the agencies and 4 the other landowners involved. So I don't think that all of 5 the details have been worked out yet, but that group is 6 probably going to be handling all of those questions, and trying to hold meetings to address those issues.

7 8 9

MR. PHILIP TITUS: Mr. Chairman?

10 11

MR. MILLER: Go ahead.

12 13

MR. PHILIP TITUS: I'm in favor of what Beaver's 14 proposing, but I looked at that map, and they're surrounded by 15 that refuge. And I don't want the refuge managers to say we 16 can't do this because it's -- we never seen buffalo here 17 before. But you never lived for 200 years, so I'm sure you 18 never saw buffalo here before. But history of the Native --19 the residents says there was buffalo there, and I don't see why 20 we cannot pursue actions to reinstate the Woodland Buffalo in 21 that area. Thank you.

22 23

MR. MILLER: Anything else?

24 25

MR. LEE TITUS: Mr. Chair?

26 27

MR. MILLER: Go ahead, Lee.

28 29

MR. LEE TITUS: I speak in favor of this project, but I 30 have the same - I don't know what you call it - concerns that 31 Steve has. I'd like to get more input on the basic issues 32 that's involved, you know, because there's a lot of unaddressed 33 issues that have to be worked out with the villages involved, 34 you know. And, I mean, I don't want all different agencies 35 fighting among themselves on who's going to hunt and who's 36 going to -- I mean, you know, we're going to end up like buying 37 tickets to kill one buffalo, or ten buffalo, you know, get into 38 a lottery system. All these here have to be addressed before 39 it gets my full support. And I'd like more in-depth proposal, 40 because there's a lot of concerns that have to be addressed 41 before it gets my full consent.

42 43

MR. FLEENER: Mr. Chair?

44

MR. MILLER: Go ahead.

45 46

47 MR. FLEENER: I don't really think that -- I mean, what 48 you say is valid, but I think that what Beaver's -- the only 49 thing they're asking for is basically support for the concept,

50 and let them work the details out. We're not going to be

dealing with any kind of proposals for regulations or anything like that until a long ways down the road. And they probably can't even reintroduce them, if it goes through, for a couple of years. So I think that, if I'm right, Paul, that you guys are just looking for a support of the concept. And like the villages in the Yukon Flats have said in some of the letters, with the concerns, a lot of them have put concerns in their letter; you know, the issues that they support. And so we're just saying that we support the concept also, I think is what -10 if I'm right - that type of a letter.

11

12 MR. LEE TITUS: I'll make that into the form of a 13 motion.

14 15

MR. NICHOLIA: Second.

16 17

MR. MILLER: Moved, second.

18

19 MR. FLEENER: That we support the concept of the bison 20 reintroduction by the Beaver Tribal Council. Question.

21 22

MR. MILLER: Question's been called. All in favor?

23 24

ALL MEMBERS: Aye.

2526

MR. MILLER: Opposed, same sign.

27 28

MR. GINNIS: Mr. Chairman, again I have to say that we 29 don't oppose the concept. The only thing we'd like to have 30 addressed here is tribal management of that resource. So I 31 want the minutes somehow to reflect -- you know, I don't want 32 to go against my own resolution here and say I support this 33 project. It's contingent on tribal co-management of that 34 resource. This is support of the Native village. And I got to 35 stick by that. So I hope that you're -- somewhere in the 36 motion that it reflects that we do support the project, but the 37 condition of co-management attached to it.

38 39

MR. MILLER: Maybe you can put that in a letter?

40

41 MR. GINNIS: No, I don't want it in a letter, I just 42 want it in the minutes.

43

44 MR. MILLER: You just want it to show up on the 45 minutes?

46

47 MR. FLEENER: Yeah, I think the idea of a concept 48 doesn't mean that we're saying that we support every aspect of 49 the project yet, and I'm sure it will come before us again. 50 But like Steve said, you can reflect his interest in the

0130 1 minutes. 3 MR. MATHEWS: So then the motion is to support the 4 reintroduction, and then 5 6 MR. FLEENER: The concept of the reintroduction. 7 8 MR. MATHEWS: Okay. 9 10 MR. FLEENER: No reintroduction yet, just a concept. 11 12 MR. GINNIS: So how do I vote? Abstain? 13 14 MR. PHILIP TITUS: Well, we voted already. 15 16 MR. GINNIS: Yeah, I know, but I didn't vote. You see, 17 you guys went ahead and voted on the motion. I just kind of 18 held back because 19 20 MR. LEE TITUS: You can abstain, or you can vote in 21 favor of the concept, because your concerns will be addressed. 22 I mean, your concerns are not -- I mean, they'll be addressed 23 later on when the project continued on in the planning stages. 24 25 MR. FLEENER: On the co-management committee. 26 MR. GINNIS: Okay. Well, anyway, somewhere that should 28 be reflected in the minutes, okay? What he said. 29 30 MR. MATHEWS: It's all on the tape, and I'll make sure 31 I get it. But I understand what the motion is, and that your 32 concern would be addressed in the minutes. 33 34 MR. MILLER: Is there any more Native corporations 35 or 36 37 MR. MATHEWS: That's all I know of. 38 39 MR. MILLER: Okay. Let's move on down to National Park 40 Service. 41 42 MR. MATHEWS: Under the National Park Service, there's 43 statewide. I don't know if there's anybody here from the 44 Anchorage office. So that would bring us right up to Wrangell 45 and Denali and Yukon-Charley, if they so desire to share 46 information. In case I forget, because I'm starting to run 47 around with copies, Hollis did write up a small report. It's 48 in the agency report section there, in case I forget to bring 49 that up.

0131

1 MR. GINNIS: Where's that at? What
2
3 MR. FLEENER: What tab?
4
5 MR. PHILIP TITUS: What tab?
6

MR. MATHEWS: Well, Hollis will come up and address that. It would be under Tab K, I believe.

8

7

10 MR. WELLS: Hi, my name is Jay Wells. I'm the Chief 11 Ranger at Wrangell-St. Elias National Park. And most of you 12 know where that is. A little bit of Unit 12, or actually a 13 good sized chunk of Unit 12 lies within the park and preserve. 14 And I know you're running late here, so I'll keep it very 15 brief. There's three things that I wanted to just mention to 16 you, give you an update. One is the subsistence plan for 17 Wrangell-St. Elias. And we thought we'd have copies here from 18 Anchorage, but apparently our Anchorage staff didn't make it up 19 here. So I'll pass around the one copy I have and we can get 20 some sent to you, if you're interested. The other thing was 21 the resident zone rule making that the council here has written 22 some letters in support of that. I wanted to update you on 23 that process. And then I guess I'll talk a little bit about a 24 statewide Park Service issue, which is this issue paper that 25 recently came to a conclusion here this summer. And the 26 council here has been involved with that for at least a year. 27 You're probably familiar with that. And then I just wanted to 28 update you on a weir project on the Tanada Creek.

29

30 The first issue was the subsistence plan for 31 Wrangell-St. Elias. And it's been pretty clear to me in my 32 time here at the Wrangells that the subsistence regulations -33 not only on the federal side of it, the Federal Board 34 regulations, but also the Park Service has it's own subsistence 35 management regulations - are very confusing. And our primary 36 advisory body, which is the Subsistence Resource Commission, 37 has struggled with some of these things over the years. 38 what the commission asked for was kind of a plan for the 39 Wrangell-St. Elias that kind of laid out all of the issues that 40 they'd worked on over the years, and gave sort of a brief 41 summary of what you can do and what you can't do, sort of the 42 regulation. And then it tracked each of the issues. For 43 example, one of the issues, under eligibility, was the addition 44 of resident zone communities, which I'll talk about in a 45 minute. So what we did, in cooperation with the SRC, is we 46 came up with a pretty brief document that's color coded, and 47 I'll pass this around for you to look at. But the first -- the 48 yellow pages are just kind of a brief summary that you can tear 49 out and give to the general public on, say, what it takes to

50 become eligible to hunt in the National Park.

1 And then for each issue that the commission, 2 Subsistence Resource Commission, has raised - that's kind of a salmon colored paper - this particular one-page item deals with 4 the addition of the Dot Lake, Tetlin, Northway, and Tanacross 5 as resident zone communities. And this is updated for each 6 meeting. We have a meeting coming up November 3rd and 4th. 7 And so each meeting, when it's updated, has just a brief issue 8 background, then it gives the current status, so that the 9 commission can track the progress on each one of these issues. 10 It's a good reference, not only for the commission members, if 11 they're new, but also staff, to go back and be able to follow 12 each of the issues. And each meeting is run just flipping from 13 page to page. The Park Service issues are in green. And then 14 when an issue has been resolved, it turns into lavender. 15 it's pretty straightforward. Let me pass this around.

16 17

MR. LEE TITUS: What color are we in?

18 19

MR. GOOD: Unresolved.

20 21

MR. WELLS: Now, where we intend to go with that, 22 that's still a draft. We're going to meet November 3rd and 23 4th. We'll get the commission's blessing on that. And then it 24 will go out -- we're going to meet with the state and get their 25 comments, and then it'll go out for a 60-day public review 26 period. And we intend to put it to the regional councils, and 27 we deal with three regional councils. And so it'll go through 28 at least two meeting cycles for your council. So we welcome 29 any kind of input that you'd have in the development of this 30 thing. So you'll have at least two other meetings, and we'll 31 get copies of that after this November 3rd and 4th meeting when 32 it's updated. So we'd sure welcome anything you have, any 33 advice or comments on that.

34

35 The next think I wanted to update you on is the 36 resident zone rule making. And this started quite a while ago, 37 but our commission recommended years ago that Northway be added 38 as a resident zone community for Wrangell-St. Elias National 39 Park. Actually, about a year and a half ago, the Park Service 40 committed to drafting rule making that would include, not only 41 Northway, but the community of Tetlin, and since then, because 42 of some of the work that's been done on the Upper Tanana 43 communities, we've added in that rule making the communities of 44 Dot Lake and Tanacross. So it's Northway, Tetlin, Dot Lake, 45 and Tanacross. And where we are in that process right now is 46 we just completed a draft environmental assessment for that 47 rule making. We've written the draft proposed rule, and we'll 48 take that to the SRC at this meeting on November 3rd and 4th. 49 And then if they endorse that, then we'll go forward with that

50 and we'll publish it as a proposed rule. And hopefully about a

year it will take, if everything goes well, and the rule would be issued as a final rule, and those four communities would be added.

4

One project that I just wanted to update you on - I talked about Katie Jon and her fishery at Batzulnetas, and it's a very important fishery for the Ahtna and Upper Tanana people. And we didn't really -- with the pending federal management of fish, we know very little about that fishery. And we did get some money this year, and we worked in a cooperative project with Mentasta Village and we hired two students, put a weir in 12 on Tanada Creek that these students ran, and got some good indication of numbers of fish that are making that run and the 14 timing of that run up to the lake to spawn. We got upwards of -- I counted at least 20,000 fish, I think, before that big 16 storm blew out the road and Tanada Creek, blew out the weir. So we're hoping to do that again next year. I think we received funding for that.

19 20

The last thing I want to mention is something that the 21 council's been involved in for at least a year and a half, this 22 is a Park Service-wide issue, is a review of the Subsistence 23 Management Program. And you've made comments on that in 24 previous meetings, but I just wanted to update you that we, 25 over a period of about a year, we collected comments from all 26 the regional councils, the Subsistence Resource Commissions, 27 the public, the state, and anybody who wanted to comment. And 28 what we did is a few years ago we realized that we needed to 29 take a step back and look at, not only the law, ANILCA, but our 30 regulations the Park Service enacted in 1981 for managing 31 subsistence, and look at the legislative history. And take a 32 step back and just kind of figure out where we're going in 33 terms of our subsistence management program. And a lot of 34 things came to light through that process. Many of the issues 35 are very complex. And I think one of the most important items 36 that came up was, particularly, eligibility and the C&T use 37 process.

38

Since the federal program has started, and some of the 40 state programs have come about, they're kind of in conflict, 41 and there's a need to make some of those processes a lot 42 simpler. So hopefully in the next couple of years we'll take a 43 real serious look at Park Service regulations dealing with 44 eligibility. That's sort of determining who's local to parks or not. It's intended to be a dynamic kind of a paper that 46 addresses many areas of program management for subsistence in 47 the parks, from eligibility, to access, to the management of 48 resource commissions, timber use, cabin use, a variety of 49 things. And that has come out and it's been out for a while

50 now. And it's not something that will stay static. If anybody

```
0134
```

on the council or anybody of the public has any comments, we're always receptive to those, and they'll be updated periodically. And that actually was all I had, so I'd be glad to answer any questions, if anybody has anything.

6

MR. PHILIP TITUS: What kind of fish were you 7 monitoring?

8

MR. WELLS: Salmon species. In fact, Russell, maybe 10 you can give a little more detail on that. Russell is our 11 Chief of Resource Management.

12

13 MR. GALIPEAU: Good evening. My name is Russell 14 Galipeau, and as Jay said, I'm the Chief of Resources 15 Management. And when we got into the Tanada Fish Project, we 16 went right away to Mentasta Village and Chistochina to work 17 with the two village councils there to see what was the best 18 way to run the weir project, because we actually ran it off of 19 Katie Jon's property, so we wanted to get permission and work 20 with her. And we actually tied it in with her culture camp, 21 also, so when they had their culture camp there, we went into 22 the whole reasoning behind why the fish weir and how to get 23 better numbers of fish. Fish and Game has got numbers on 24 Tanada Creek that go back several years, and they ran a weir 25 much higher up on Tanada Creek. I think it was in the 26 eighties, or maybe the late seventies, and so no real work, or 27 in-depth work, has been done on Tanada Creek until this past 28 year. And the salmon primarily are Red Salmon that are coming 29 in there, and there are some King Salmon. And the big question 30 is where the King Salmon spawn, of course, in Tanada Creek. 31 Mostly Reds are going all the way up to Tanada Lake.

32 33

MR. GINNIS: Mr. Chairman?

34 35 36

MR. MILLER: Go ahead, Steve.

37 MR. GINNIS: You know, I didn't quite understand the 38 issue that you're raising about resident zone. You said 39 something to the effect that it was going to come back here 40 probably a couple more times, or something like that effect.

41 42

MR. WELLS: Yeah.

43

44 MR. GINNIS: I'm just wondering, what's your 45 interaction with the communities that this thing actually 46 affects? I mean, do you go back to them for their 47 recommendations, or their suggestions, or whatever?

48 49

MR. WELLS: Yeah, we have. Actually, a lot of these --

50 the original proposal came from those communities, came through

1 our Subsistence Resource Commission. This summer -- we haven't 2 been to Dot Lake yet this year, but we've had quite a few 3 discussions with Bill Miller here, and we were in Tanacross 4 this summer talking with the village council, and in Northway. 5 And we have not yet been to Tetlin. Our trip there was 6 scrubbed because of weather, but this is something that these 7 communities have wanted for some time and we're responding to 8 that. The commission has recommended that for some time. And 9 we added in two of those communities based on some of the work 10 that was done on the Upper Tanana communities for the customary 11 and traditional use determination. So we've been working 12 fairly closely with the communities, and hopefully this rule 13 making, if we can get it through, will satisfy their concerns 14 for being eligible to hunt in the park without a permit. They 15 can right now with a permit, and we've issued a number of those 16 in Northway, Tanacross, Doris Charles, from here, and -- but 17 anyway, once this goes through, means they won't have to have a 18 permit. They'll be considered local to the park and can hunt 19 in the park without that permit. Thank you.

20 21

21 MR. MILLER: One more question. You mentioned Doris 22 Charles hunting. Is that just for her or her decendents, or 23 who all -- how does that work?

24

MR. WELLS: Well, once -- the way it works is, for 26 eligibility in the parks, if you live as a member of a -- live 27 in a community that's one of the -- right now there are 18 28 communities in our resident zone. If you live in that 29 community, anybody can hunt in the park, as long as they have 30 positive C&T determination, without a permit. If you don't 31 live in one of those communities, you have to get this 32 eligibility permit. Doris has one. Anybody in her household 33 right now can hunt in the park, assuming there's positive C&T, 34 under that permit. Now, if Dot Lake becomes a resident zone 35 community, anybody in Dot Lake can hunt in the park without -- 36 any permanent resident of Dot Lake can hunt in the park without 37 a permit. And people that are born into the community or marry 38 in can also do that as well; can hunt as well.

39 40

40 MR. MILLER: Okay, and one more question. When you 41 said the Community of Dot Lake, do you mean the whole 42 community, or the Native Village of Dot Lake?

43

MR. WELLS: Native Village. Our proposed rule -- and 45 the way that works is that the proposed -- when the rule goes 46 through, the community will have a year; you know, the tribal 47 council, the village government, whoever, can tell us what they 48 want included as part of that community. And in this case, 49 we've already received a request through the village council

50 that it be limited to the Native Village of Dot Lake. And the

way the rule is written right now, the draft rules, that the communities will have a year to give us, you know, where they want the -- what they want the community to include. For example, Northway, when we were in Northway, they didn't want to limit the community of Northway just to the Native village. So in the absence of any recommendations, we'll just default to the census boundaries, which are quite broad, and in fact, Northway there's three of them. We were supposed to have a copy of those maps from Anchorage, but they didn't come in today. So that's the way it goes. It'll be up to the -- some kind of village or community entity to recommend a boundary if they want one, and we'll defer to that.

13 14

MR. PHILIP TITUS: When the spawning grounds get -- are 15 you trying to find them?

16 17

17 MR. WELLS: No, we know where they're coming. We're 18 trying to get an indication of the timing of the run and the 19 different -- well, we know the species, but the numbers of fish 20 and the distribution by species.

21

MR. GALIPEAU: Yeah, part of that reasoning is because, 23 as you follow the regulations, Katie Jon has been limited to 24 how many fish she could take. And so what we're trying to do 25 is get better numbers and work with Fish and Game on that to 26 determine what is the actual harvest level that that population 27 can sustain. And possibly, you could take more fish, or 28 possibly you could take less. So we're just trying to get 29 better numbers to make a better call on the harvest numbers 30 that can be taken off of that fishery.

31 32

32 MR. PHILIP TITUS: Well, in the future, we might have 33 to be dealing with the fish, and we need to know where they're 34 going to and how many there are.

35 36

MR. WELLS: That's exactly why we're doing it. We know where they're going to spawn, but we just don't

38

39 MR. PHILIP TITUS: Okay, that's my question. Do you 40 know where they're spawning at?

41 42

MR. WELLS: Oh, yeah.

43

MR. GALIPEAU: The Reds are spawning in Tanada Lake, 45 and the Kings, they spawn upper parts of Tanada Creek. We 46 haven't found exactly where yet.

47 48

MR. PHILIP TITUS: Right under the bridge.

49

should also realize, when Jay was talking about the rule making, that is a public process. So it's another way for the 3 people to express their concerns about how to define these 4 communities. There also will be an environmental assessment 5 associated with that that will help portray the customary and 6 traditional use of these communities, which warrants their 7 addition as resident zone communities. But remember, that is a 8 public process, too, and the environmental assessment will 9 serve part of our regulation procedures, but we also will be 10 holding, when it becomes a public process, hearings in the 11 affected communities. So that's still a piece that has to be 12 done. So be aware that that is a public process and input is 13 very important. Positive input as well as negative input.

14

15 MR. MILLER: In other words, this is the first step, in 16 other words.

17

18 MR. WELLS: Right. We just want to alert you to that 19 process. You'll have at least two more shots at it, through 20 the public comment period and through regional council 21 meetings, to comment on the rule making and to collect 22 testimony or input from whoever you think might be important to 23 justify the addition of those communities. And there probably 24 will be people out there that will object to it, so it's 25 important to turn out for those and support it, if you support 26 it.

27

28 MR. MILLER: Any more questions? Thank you guys for 29 your time.

30 31

MR. WELLS: Thank you.

32 33

MR. MILLER: And down to -- is there anybody here from 34 BLM?

35

36 MR. MATHEWS: No, we would still have Yukon-Charley and 37 Denali National Park.

38 39

MR. MILLER: Oh, okay.

40

41 MR. TWITCHELL: Chair, council members, Hollis 42 Twitchell with Denali National Park. I have just two items 43 that I wanted to talk to you about, and one of them involves 44 the Tanana community as a possible resident zone, and the 45 response from the Denali SRC in regards to that. And the other 46 one is village histories that we're going to want to be working 47 with the different communities around Denali with, and so I'll 48 mention that as well. In Tab K of your report, meeting 49 notebook, there's also just a written informational sheet that

50 I sent in in your notebook regarding Denali issues, so I won't

go into those in any detail, beyond what's there, and go ahead and move onto the Tanana resident zone.

3

The Denali SRC met in March 1997, and that was shortly after the Eastern Interior Council meeting, in which the community of Tanana requested consideration as a resident zone. What I prepared, or what the Park Service prepared, for the SRC was found about in midsection of Tab K. If you look right beyond that Park Service paper, it goes to page 27, after 10 page 27 in Tab K is where there's information that was 11 initially gathered just to provide some background for Denali 12 SRC. And what that is is a little bit of dialogue on what is 13 necessary

14

MR. FLEENER: Excuse me, Hollis, one second. Can we leave some quiet in the back, please? It's a little loud up leave. Sorry, Hollis.

18

19 MR. TWITCHELL: Is this better? Okay, I'll just slide 20 forward a little bit. The information that was presented to 21 the SRC was just a gathering of background on what is required 22 for a resident zone to be established for a park area. And 23 then subsequent to that is some literature that is out there 24 and available regarding the Native uses for the community and 25 the Tanana area. I won't go through all of the different 26 elements of that. It's there for you to review. And I'll just 27 move to the summary in the back on page 11. And what that 28 represents, in terms of the published information that's 29 available, it appears that there's clearly an ancestral use of 30 portions of Denali National Park relating to peoples that 31 reside in the Tanana area. Some of the oral histories that are 32 available. It shows records of use up through in the early 33 1900's, and as late as probably the 1930's and 40's. Most of 34 the people who had utilized that area have moved off away from 35 the area. What we do know is that there's only one individual 36 from Tanana who is currently returning and utilizing that area, 37 the Paul Starr family, and he's here today, if you would like 38 to speak with him. We don't have any other people from Tanana 39 that we're aware of that are coming over and actually using the 40 park area currently. What we've presented is, we don't 41 have

42 43

MR. FLEENER: Can I ask you one question, Mr. Chair?
44 Is that because you have to have - what's the word - a permit,
45 or something, before you can go down there? So the reason that
46 nobody's going down there is because they don't have a permit,
47 not because they don't want to. Is that possibly correct?

48 49

MR. TWITCHELL: I'll defer that to Paul. He's much

50 more familiar with the people in Tanana and whether they

0139 1 just 3 MR. FLEENER: But you do have to have a permit, right? MR. TWITCHELL: To utilize the park areas, you would 6 need a permit. 7 8 And he's the only one that's permitted? MR. FLEENER: 9 10 MR. TWITCHELL: Currently from Tanana. I guess I would 11 like to point out that the preserve lands in the Denali area, 12 which is going to be the lands around Lake Minchumina and 13 south, you are not required to have an eligibility permit to 14 utilize that. So the people of Tanana currently need no 15 special Park Service authorization to come down and utilize 16 those preserve lands, which are fairly significant, 17 encompassing the Muddy River, the Foraker River, the Herron 18 River, portions of the Swift Fork. So what we're talking about 19 is just those lands inside of the park, which would be more to 20 the east of that area. 21 22 So what we don't know is whether there's a significant 23 concentration of people, subsistence users in Tanana, that have 24 customarily and traditionally used resources within Denali 25 National Park. Just looking at this literature that's here, 26 that was available to us, that didn't provide that information. 27 The letter that you have from Denali SRC, that was in your 28 Tab G, asked for two things. The commission, after reviewing 29 this information and speaking with Paul Starr, who is an active 30 member and was present at this meeting, recommended that the 31 Park Service should work with the community of Tanana to 32 evaluate whether they should be added as a resident zone, and 33 in the interim, to travel to Tanana to find out if there's any 34 other individuals such as Paul who has a personal or family 35 history of customarily and traditionally using those park 36 lands, and if so, authorize them to use the area. 37 response to those requests, we traveled to Tanana, after 38 coordinating and consulting with Gerald Nicholia. And he was a 39 great help to us in terms of posting and passing the word 40 around in the communities when we were coming, and actually 41 going door to door to try to talk to people who had used that 42 area. So we traveled to Tanana in August for that meeting. 43 And Gerald has a letter, I believe, that he is going to pass 44 out to you that reflects that visit. 45 46 MR. MILLER: Excuse me. Go ahead, Philip. 47 48 MR. PHILIP TITUS: My concern is that once they're cut

49 off from utilizing that area for subsistence, in the future

50 that they need that area, that they not be able to get back in

there once they're cut off. So I'd like to see that option
remain open for them, just for the future. But Tanana, they
didn't hardly get no moose this season, the residents of
Tanana.

5 6

MR. STARR: My name is Paul Starr. I was newly elected 7 on the Subsistence Resource Commission for Denali Park. And I 8 have to say, honestly, I'd have to agree with Mrs. Collins on the feasibility study that they want to do on the eligibility 10 of subsistence users. But if you look on this map here, you'll 11 see on this little map here that 20-C you'll find the Lake 12 Minchumina area. It's open to any subsistence users east of 13 Lake Minchumina. That would be the Rush Creek River, south of 14 the Kantishna River, it's all restricted just to permit users 15 only. So what I'm saying to you is, the advisory council, that 16 there is no restriction use of Tanana people west of Rush Creek 17 River. So in the future, they would lose no rights, unless the 18 Park Service decides in a few years to extend their 19 restrictions over to cover the rest of the colors, as you look 20 at them, as you see it here. And if they do decide that they 21 wanted to take all of that, then I would be restricted. Then 22 you'd have to go back to this letter and find the roster list 23 that would be for Tanana. And the people that would use it 24 would have to be eligible prior to what's happened in the last 25 few years, that it's known that -- what I'm trying to say that 26 east of Rush Creek River is restricted area. That's only on --27 you can trap there and hunt that area under the permit. And it 28 would

29 30

MR. GINNIS: Mr. Chairman?

31 32

MR. MILLER: Go ahead, Steve.

33

MR. GINNIS: You know, I guess I'm a little bit
35 confused here on this whole issue, you know. My understanding
36 was that when we had that meeting down in Tanana, I think the
37 motion, or I guess there was some directive made to include
38 Tanana as a resident zone so they can utilize this area, right?

39 40

MR. TWITCHELL: That's correct.

41

MR. GINNIS: All right. Now, from looking at what you 43 have here, this information that you have supplied us right 44 here, I mean, when I look at that, it's easy for me to just 45 look at this, and I think this is documentation

46 47

MR. TWITCHELL: Yes, it is.

48 49

MR. GINNIS: already that establishes that they

50 had prior use. So what's the issue?

1 MR. TWITCHELL: The only issue is whether there's 2 significant concentration of people now in Tanana who have that 3 customary and traditional use. And that is what it hinges on, 4 whether a resident zone community can be established or not. 5 So what we've proposed to do is go back to the Tanana Village 6 Council to meet with them, and we're going to see whether 7 they're interested in producing a village history information 8 on what their people's use was up in the Denali area. 9 we'll be coordinating that travel back to Tanana Village this 10 winter. And we are prepared to provide funding to the Tanana 11 Tribal Village to have them do that documentation themselves, 12 either through a village member, or through someone of their 13 own choosing. And we will provide funds for that information. 14 That information then will be used, and will answer that 15 question in terms of whether there's a significant 16 concentration of people who use that area. The result from 17 that, then, would be, if that is the case, we would go through 18 rule making similar to what has been done with 19 Wrangell-St. Elias regarding adding their resident zones, and 20 we would begin that process. So that's what we intend to do, 21 and that's how we plan to proceed. And that's in consultation 22 with the SRC, and I believe moving forward in terms of the 23 request of this council that we work with the village tribal 24 council to evaluate that.

2526

MR. FLEENER: Mr. Chair, a significant is concentration 27 is 51 percent or greater? Is that

28 29

MR. TWITCHELL: That was what was recommended in the 30 Park Service paper. But I think what is important to point out 31 is that's not the final determination or answer. One of the 32 things that Jay mentioned is that the eligibility process for 33 the Park Service is something that would be looked at seriously 34 in the future, in terms of resident zones versus individual 35 permits. And one of the aspects that was very controversial, 36 in terms of comments in that comment period, was that 51 37 percent may be too high; that we may need to be looking at the 38 lower percentages and just what that significant concentration 39 would be.

40

MR. FLEENER: Well, that was going to be my suggestion, 42 because 51 percent means that over half of the people are going 43 down there, and that's — there are a lot of communities where 44 there — and you know, because of the last meeting, that there 45 are certain individuals who do the majority of the hunting. 46 And there may be 15 people — I'm not speaking for Tanana — but 47 there may be 15 people that do the majority of the hunting for 48 the community, and they would never qualify under a 51 percent 49 or greater standard.

MR. MILLER: Go ahead, Nat.

MR. GOOD: Two things. First one, under research here, you do note Hudson Stuck, but you've got the right man in the wrong book. Ten thousand miles with a dog team is the wrong --6 I believe to the top of the world, Hudson Stuck was the --7 Hudson Stuck's party was the first group to climb McKinley, Benali, whichever you wish to call it. And of course Walter Harper was the first man to the top. But all of the observations that Hudson Stuck makes about the Native people and the terrain as he crosses Denali, really should have been part of this research. It's from the same time period, and you have very little from that time period. Second, how long has Denali been a national park?

MR. TWITCHELL: Established in 1917.

MR. GOOD: And by that, I would like to suggest that 19 somewhere along the way people have probably been discouraged, 20 and likely by the Park Service, from utilizing the park. So I 21 think that they've probably been -- you know, intentionally, 22 otherwise, but things change over time. I think that the Park 23 Service has, somewhere between then and now, intentionally 24 discouraged people from utilizing the park.

MR. TWITCHELL: Well, I would expect that through the 27 course of the village histories, that that information will all 28 be brought out in terms of what effect management of the areas 29 by state, federal, whatever. So the village histories would be 30 free for the people to identify what has happened over time, in 31 terms of their use areas, what was the traditional use areas, 32 what affected their usage in the past and contemporarily, so I 33 would expect all that to be well presented.

MR. GINNIS: Mr. Chairman?

MR. MILLER: Go ahead.

MR. GINNIS: Well, you know, I just want to thank you 40 for your efforts at trying to move forward on this. But I just 41 can't believe the bureaucratic rigmarole involved in trying to 42 get this thing done. You know, like I said, when I look at 43 this information right here, it clearly indicates that people 44 from Tanana have used that area. And I don't know if -- I 45 mean, what other significant kind of information you're 46 seeking, but it just kind of interests me that there's so 47 much -- it just seems like there's a layer of this and a layer 48 of that before you get to up here, you know. And I hope that 49 in some of these instances that we can get around some of

50 these -- instead of implementing rules and regulations right to

```
0143
  the T, like it seems to me like that's what most managers do,
  you know. There must be some way of trying to get around some
3 of this stuff, you know. But it just kind of interests me that
4 there's so much bureaucracy to get this kind of a thing
5 accomplished. To me it's very simple. I mean, to me it's very
6 simple, and some of that information is already here. Maybe
7 it's not adequate for you, but for me it looks pretty good.
8
           MR. TWITCHELL: Well, this is a good start. And we'll
10 certainly be willing to move forward and proceed as quickly as
11 we can. And that's one of the reasons we'll be returning to
12 Tanana Tribal Council and working with them this winter.
13
14
          MR. FLEENER: Mr. Chair, I also think it's a good
15 start. It's a lot more cooperation, I quess, that Tanana has
16 seen in a long time. And it seems like the old way was, you
17 can't hunt here unless you have a permit, and you can't have a
18 permit unless you've hunted here. So now it seems like they're
19 at least trying to take a step beyond that, so that's good.
20
21
          MR. TWITCHELL: Okay, thank you. The only other item
22 was we'll also be doing village histories with other
23 communities as well, so it was very beneficial that this effort
24 has come along at this time. So I think it'll really help us
25 to move forward. That's the only two items that I wanted to
26 talk to you about.
27
28
          MR. FLEENER: Yukon-Charley's next.
29
30
          MR. MILLER: Yukon-Charley? Okay.
31
32
          MR. FLEENER: Thank you, Hollis.
33
34
          MR. MATHEWS: Yeah, Yukon-Charley would be next, but
35 she needs to change her tape, so maybe ....
36
37
          MR. MILLER: Let's take five.
38
39
          MR. MATHEWS: I don't know if you want to take five.
40 Maybe take a minute or so. She needs ten seconds.
41
42
          MR. FLEENER: Then we'll break for ten seconds.
43
44
           (Off record)
45
46
           (On record: 6:15 p.m.)
47
          MR. MILLER: Okay, we're at Yukon-Charley Rivers
49 National Preserve.
```

1 MR. ULVI: Okay. Yeah, thank you, Mr. Chairman. Steve 2 Ulvi, ANILCA Subsistence Coordinator for Gates of the Arctic 3 National Park and Yukon-Charley Rivers. Kevin Fox, the Chief 4 of Operations from Eagle, is trying to make it in, and he'll 5 probably make it in tonight, so he'll be here tomorrow, because 6 I know there's a proposal to be discussed. But he may have 7 something else to add here, too, if I don't quite catch 8 everything. I just have a couple of very short things. 9 can move on in the agenda here. First, I just wanted to 10 report, as I have in the past, and there's a number of people 11 here from other agencies that participated also, and that is 12 the Earth Quest Resource Management Camp again occurred in 13 Yukon-Charley Rivers Preserve at Cold Creek Camp this past 14 June. Twenty students or so from rural Alaska were there with 15 a chance to work with agency and other professionals in 16 resource management issues, in hands-on resource management 17 type projects. Earth Quest is a partnership of a wide variety 18 of state and federal agencies and Native groups in Tanana 19 Chiefs Conference, and we really have a diverse number of 20 professionals who work with these kids, and I think have done a 21 fine job in the past, and we have plans to conduct Earth 22 Quest IV again next year, although it will not be in the 23 preserve; probably be in the Fairbanks area.

2425

Secondly, we're reviewing right now a long awaited 26 Subsistence and Ethnohistory Study for the Yukon-Charley area, 27 primarily the communities of Eagle, Eagle Village, Circle, and 28 Central, and the historic and contemporary use patterns in that 29 area. It's taken a number of years, primarily because some of 30 the individuals who are with the universities doing the work 31 with locals, had some very serious problems with injuries and 32 accidents and some other things that have just prolonged the 33 whole thing. But we hope that when this is done, it looks to 34 me as though it will be a very useful document and have a lot 35 of useful information for groups such as yourself for 36 regulatory proposals or customary and traditional use 37 determinations, you know, those kinds of things.

38

Thirdly, I have brought up before this council before that there were some concerns voiced in kind of an on the street corner kind of a way by some people in Circle and Central, down at sort of the western end of the preserve, about the numbers of sport hunters who are coming and hunting moose on the Yukon River in the fall. And what we've done is in the last few years we've kicked up our monitoring and checking of licenses, monitoring those camps, trying to reduce want and waste whenever possible, and on occasion give citations. But we've been monitoring that use, and it appears - Kevin Fox again can speak more explicitly about this - but it appears

50 that the numbers of camps of people who are primarily

transported in by transporter licensed people, is decreasing over say three years ago, or four years. And in conjunction with that, we have a moose survey, trend area type survey, which has not -- we have not been able to do for a number of 5 years, that's scheduled, given decent weather conditions, is 6 scheduled to occur next month, concentrating on the Yukon 7 corridor and the lower stretches of the larger side 8 tributaries, such as the Charley and the Kandik and the Nation, where most of the sport hunting occurs.

10

11 And so we hope to get much better idea about the trends 12 of the moose population there, and a rough idea of densities. 13 And our best professional guess at this stage, with the 14 Department of Fish and Game and the Park Service and local 15 residents, is the moose density is moderate, in some areas low 16 to moderate, and probably a very, very few areas where there's 17 anything better than a very moderate moose population, a very 18 low density Interior type moose population. But our 19 understanding at this stage is that the moose population that 20 is there will sustain both the sport and subsistence use that's 21 going on. But it is something -- with the preserves, we have a 22 situation where we don't have the eligibility definitions that 23 we have for the park areas, which you've been discussing with 24 Hollis and Jay here earlier, with the 1344 permits, or with the 25 resident zones. Congress didn't choose to do that for the 26 preserves, so they're more like refuges, where all rural 27 residents are eligible. And we also have sport hunting that's 28 allowed. So we do -- we're not asleep on the issue, that at 29 some point if that moose population were to decrease greatly, 30 or there was an increase in non-local use, that we would have a 31 potential conflict, and very probably have to begin to look at 32 allocation, because we may not be providing for a reasonable 33 subsistence opportunity, which is the underpinning of a 34 subsistence priority.

35 36

38 39

I'm sorry, I can't respond to that, I'm not MR. ULVI: 40 a biologist. When Kevin comes, I'll pass that question on to 41 him. I don't know if Craig Gardner is still here, but he --42 Craig, do you have any idea what the moose density is there on

37 count? I mean, X amount of moose per X amount of square miles?

MR. MILLER: What do you guys consider as a moderate

43 the Yukon River? 44

45 MR. GARDNER: Oh, I'd say you know, probably .3 to .6 46 moose per square mile. That's probably be kind of a 47 moderate (inaudible - away from microphone).

48 49

MR. FLEENER: A third of a moose per square mile? One

50 moose per

1 MR. MILLER: So you guys figured that as a moderate 2 number? Seems kind of like a low number to me.

3

4 COURT REPORTER: Excuse me, I didn't get that on the 5 record.

6 7

MR. MILLER: Hey, Craiq?

8

9 MR. ULVI: Craig Gardner, area biologist from Tok, said 10 that his best recollection of the moose densities up there is 11 about .3 or so moose per square mile. So it's a very low 12 density population for certain parts of the state, but for the 13 deep Interior, I think that's considered a moderate moose 14 population. And that's certainly arguable. I'm not arguing 15 that it is. That's just the terminology that at least I'm used 16 to hearing, being a non-biologist. So we could speak further 17 to that, if you'd like, but Craig would have to come up or wait 18 for Kevin Fox to get here.

19 20

MR. FLEENER: I have a question.

21 22

MR. GINNIS: Go ahead.

23

MR. FLEENER: Where do you hold your surveying when you 25 do your moose hunting survey? Is it at the Circle ramp, the 26 Circle boat landing?

27 28

MR. ULVI: Mr. Chairman, sometimes we're down there 29 checking licenses, talking to people before they go up, after 30 they've come back. Mostly it's checking the camps actually 31 that are in the preserve along the Yukon Corridor, and both by 32 air and by boat, and the lower stretches of the side 33 tributaries.

34 35

MR. FLEENER: And another question is, have you guys 36 considered the possibility of including Fort Yukon in your 37 ethnohistory study, because I know of at least three families 38 that have told me about hunting up above Circle. You know, 39 there's a lot of relatives that go back and forth between Fort 40 Yukon and Circle, and I know of a few families that hunt up 41 there. And I was just wondering if that was a consideration.

42

MR. ULVI: Mr. Chairman, that was not a -- Fort Yukon 44 was not directly considered in that study. As I said, Eagle, 45 Eagle Village, Central and Circle were. However, if this study 46 is any good, and I actually brought it along with me to try to 47 begin to review and pencil comments in tonight, if it's any 48 good, it will relate to some of those family histories if the 49 people have dug in well enough and done their research. So I

50 hope it does reflect that sort of thing.

1 MR. FLEENER: Okay, as long as you're aware which -- I 2 assume -- you sound like you are, so thank you.

MR. ULVI: And so other than that last -- Mr. Chairman, that last issue really is, that given the proper weather conditions then, we will be trying to conduct this moose survey, which will give us a better idea of what's going on with the moose population along the Yukon Corridor. And that's really all I had to report. As I say, Kevin Fox, he'll be here to tomorrow, may have more to add.

MR. MILLER: Okay, any other questions?

MR. FLEENER: Thank you.

MR. GINNIS: Mr. Chairman?

MR. MILLER: Go ahead, Steve.

MR. GINNIS: Before we go on further, I'd like to ask 21 the gentleman from the Denali Park several questions here. I 22 think it's important to point out, you know this letter here to 23 us from the Denali Park, kind of gives us kind of a brief thing 24 on their council meeting that they had. It's this document 25 right here.

MR. MATHEWS: It's under Tab K. It's the first page.

MR. MILLER: The first page.

MR. GINNIS: Okay, what I wanted to find out has to do 32 with Item No. 4. Item No. 4 has to deal with a temporary 33 closure for the discharge of firearms in development areas - 34 how do they say it, fishna(ph)? What I want some clarification 35 on there is that, if I recall correctly, two years ago this 36 council here addressed this issue. And we said no to it, and 37 as far as I know, the Federal Subsistence Board supported that 38 recommendation. I guess I'm just curious as to where the 39 authority come from to temporarily close this park, or this 40 portion of the area. I'll leave it at that, and then I got 41 another question.

MR. TWITCHELL: Okay. That proposal went through the 44 regional councils and the SRC first, and then the regional 45 councils, and then to the board. And it was a proposal to 46 close one mile on either side of the Kantishna Road in the 47 Kantishna developed area, which equates to about ten square 48 miles. So it includes that corridor from the old park boundary 49 out to the Kantishna airport. That proposal was sent to the

50 commission. The commission reviewed it. They modified the

proposal to just 15 days, the first 15 days of September. That recommendation then went to the regional councils. If I recall 3 right - and Vince, you'll need to correct me - but I understood 4 that this council voted in support of that, as amended by the 5 SRC. The Southcentral Regional Council voted not to support 6 it, so there was two different positions taken by the councils. 7 That then went to the Federal Subsistence Board, and the 8 Federal Subsistence Board tabled the motion, and they suggested 9 that, if the agency needed to deal with this, to deal with it 10 through their own administrative processes, and through their 11 own regulations. We then went back to Denali Subsistence 12 Resource Commission after the Federal Subsistence Board 13 meeting, and put the proposal before them again, and asked them 14 whether they wanted us to proceed or not administratively 15 through our own Park Service regulations. And again, the SRC, 16 with the amendment that they made, and limiting it only to the 17 first 15 days when there was high visitor use in the area. And 18 then we took their support from that and administratively did 19 the temporary closure.

20 21

21 MR. PHILIP TITUS: This closure, it's just for along 22 the road, right?

2324

MR. TWITCHELL: Yes, just along the road.

2526

MR. PHILIP TITUS: How come it didn't state that in 27 there, then we wouldn't have this

2829

MR. GINNIS: No, the point I'm trying to make here I guess is that we did take a position, and it seems to me that this action is contrary to that position. And I guess I don't quite understand what the relationship between this commission and this board is. I mean, who has the final authority? Is there some kind of a breakdown here, or what's going on here, you know? Because I recall this about two years ago, I think it was, that we took action on this thing, and I thought that the action was to not support the closure at all. And then it went on to the Subsistence Board and the supported the position. That's the way I understood it. But maybe you need to research that.

41

MR. MATHEWS: Well, I need to get clarification from 43 other staff, but my understanding is the board did table it, as 44 you said. But Bill mentioned that to me, and I may need to 45 consult with George on that, that they did table it because of 46 deference to the agency management authority, if I remember 47 correctly on that. And so then that left it to the Park 48 Service to resolve this issue, and apparently this is how it 49 proceeded from there. The commission -- your relationship to

50 the commission is, one, you appoint to it, but two, they're

kind of like your sister or brother advisory group. They
advise management of subsistence within the new additions to
the park and preserve. So that's your relationship to the
commission. And maybe Hollis can further lay that out. But
you're kind of sisters to them. The park has different
mandates set up by ANILCA, et cetera, so I'll stop there and
let the Park Service pick up what pieces I've left out.

8

9 MR. TWITCHELL: That is correct. And we'll have to ask 10 Vince to double check the records again, but as I recall at 11 that meeting in Northway, the Eastern Council voted in support 12 of the proposal as amended by the SRC. So we'll need to double 13 check the records on that as well.

14 15

MR. MILLER: Go ahead there, Bill.

16 17

MR. KNAUER: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Twitchell is correct.

18 The Eastern Interior did support the proposal, the Southcentral

19 region did oppose the proposal, and the Western Interior took

20 no action. The board did not act on it, deferring it to the

21 agency for their own administrative action, which they do have

22 authority to do.

23 24

MR. GINNIS: Well, I guess that's something that needs 25 to be ironed out here, from my point of view. I mean, if 26 there's no overriding authority from this board here, there's 27 no need for us to even give direction, if agencies can just go 28 ahead and implement whatever they feel is appropriate. I mean, 29 I think that needs some clarification, for my purpose, anyway.

30 31

MR. FLEENER: Mr. Chair?

32 33

MR. MILLER: Go ahead, Craig.

34

35 MR. FLEENER: It sounds like what they're saying is, 36 the Eastern Interior supported this, the proposal, and

37

38 MR. KNAUER: They did. The Eastern Interior did 39 support this closure, and the agency does have the authority to 40 make a closure for public safety.

41

MR. FLEENER: No, supported the closure.

42 43

 $\,$ MR. GINNIS: No, we voted against the closure, of this 45 prohibiting of these firearms.

46 47

MR. FLEENER: Not according to these two.

48 49

MR. MATHEWS: Well, I don't have the records here.

50 He's going by the chart that was before the board. I assume

MR. MILLER: Go ahead, Lee.

8

9

2223

31

MR. LEE TITUS: I don't think -- I understand what
11 Steve's getting at. I think we dealt -- I mean, we discussed
12 that at some of our earlier meetings, because there was cases
13 in other regions where the regional council made a -- I mean,
14 supported one proposal, and it was passed at a regional council
15 level. But when they had a federal board meeting, when the
16 proposal came up, it was challenged by SRC committee, because
17 they opposed the proposal. And that's one of Steve's -- that's
18 one of the issues he brought out, is why are we supporting this
19 proposal when it can be overridden by somebody else? I mean,
20 it's all in the bureaucratic trail that you take up to the high
21 command.

MR. MATHEWS: Well, I think what I can promise is to 24 investigate your actions and get back to you on that. But the 25 question he is asking is, we still have to answer, is the 26 makeup between the commissions and the councils and the board. 27 And I'll leave that for Hollis and others to explain. But the 28 board decided to table -- my understanding was to give the 29 agency the ability to enforce its own authority in the 30 management arena. I don't know how else to explain that.

32 MR. BOYD: Well, let me take a stab at it. I think 33 clearly the Federal Subsistence Board has the authority to 34 regulate the harvest of fish and wildlife, pursuant to 35 Title VIII of ANILCA. At times, also for Federal Land managing 36 agencies that have certain authorities also under different 37 laws. They also have regulations that govern how they manage 38 those lands, those public lands, under their stewardship. 39 times, the delegation of authority for the board, and the 40 authority granted by law to the various agencies, overlap. 41 This was one of those occasions. I think the board, in areas 42 such as public safety issues - and this is a prime example of 43 that - are at stake. The board will normally defer to the land 44 manager, because they're the ones that are closest to the issue 45 and understand the issue. That doesn't mean the board has 46 given up its authority to regulate the harvest of fish and 47 wildlife or subsistence uses under Title VIII. They take 48 everything you say under advisement, but they may at times, 49 because they're not as close to the issue when it comes to

50 administrative matters like public safety, they won't deal with

that one. They will defer to the land managing agency, who does retain the authority to do those sorts of things. So I understand where there might be some confusion, and it's not as simple as we would like, or as straightforward as we would like it, where we have one entity that we're speaking to, like the board. In this case, I think the board decided that public safety on the park was an issue that the Park Service should attend to.

8

10 MR. GINNIS: Well, I don't know, I just kind of -- I 11 don't know, the way I view it, it's kind of undermining our 12 authority, you know. I mean, we're charged with trying to deal 13 with these kinds of issues, the way I understand it. And we 14 try to deal with them. And yet when it comes to the Federal 15 Board, they kind of reverse our decision. It would seem to me 16 that they would consult with us before they would take that 17 kind of an action. We're advisory to them, we advise them, 18 these things work both ways. It's just not a one-way street, 19 you know. Anyway, I'll go to the next item regarding your 20 report. It's No. 6. You know, that kind of caught me, too, 21 guess I need an explanation on this one, supporting a request 22 for individual exemption for an existing C&T determination for 23 Healy Lake, for an individual. And here we just got done 24 discussing Tanana and trying to get them into a resident zone 25 status. And, you know, the response seemed to be that there's 26 additional work to be done. I can understand that, too. 27 how can this be, you know, that one individual can get a C&T 28 determination to hunt in Units 20(C) and 13(E)?

29

30 MR. TWITCHELL: Within the Federal Program Regulations, 31 this is not the Park Service, but the Federal Program 32 Regulations, subpart E.

33 34

MR. MATHEWS: B.

35

MR. TWITCHELL: B, I believe it is, where it deals with 37 C&T determinations. It does identify in there that for 38 National Park Service areas only, that an individual who is 39 excluded from using an area, based on a C&T determination, that 40 he could request review by the Federal Subsistence Board as to 41 whether he has a personal family history of use of that 42 species. And in this situation, there's an individual who is a 43 subsistence user of the park, who had moved to the community of 44 Healy, and the community of Healy does not have a C&T 45 determination for use of moose. This individual has a family 46 history of moose going back four generations, and part of his 47 eligibility for getting a permit to hunt on park lands involved 48 his family's use of moose resources. He has requested of the 49 Federal Subsistence Board if it would hear his case, and that

50 is going to be prepared and reviewed by the councils in this

```
0152
  February cycle this winter. So that's how this scenario
  involved -- of an individual living in a community and area
3 which currently doesn't have a C&T for use of the species, and
  then that ....
          MR. GINNIS: So what's the difference between this
7 individual and the thing that we were just discussing earlier?
8
          MR. TWITCHELL: He lives in a community that doesn't
10 have C&T use for moose, whereas Tanana has C&T use for moose in
11 20(C). So that's the difference.
12
13
          MR. FLEENER: And he moved into Healy from ....
14
15
          MR. TWITCHELL: He moved into Healy from an area that
16 had ....
17
18
          MR. GINNIS: Well, it's been an interesting -- well,
19 I'll just leave it. Thank you.
20
21
          MR. FLEENER: Thank you, Hollis.
22
23
          MR. MILLER: Thank you, Hollis. I guess we'll recess
24 until tomorrow morning, unless Vince has anything else he wants
25 to do.
26
27
          MR. MATHEWS: Well, we can stay all night. Let's set a
28 time to come back, because of travel for different people.
29
30
          MR. GINNIS: 6:00 in the morning.
31
32
          MR. MILLER: 9:00? Would 9:00 be okay with ....
33
          MR. MATHEWS: 9:00 is fine.
34
35
36
          MR. MILLER: I guess we'll meet here at 9:00.
37
38
          (Off record: 6:40 p.m.)
39
                         ******
40
41
                         MEETING ADJOURNED
                        *****
42
```

CERTIFICATE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
) ss.
STATE OF ALASKA)

I, Sharon D. Gaunt, Notary Public in and for the State of Alaska and Reporter for R & R Court Reporters, Inc., do hereby certify:

THAT the foregoing pages numbered 02 through 152 contain a full, true, and correct Transcript of the Eastern Interior Federal Subsistence Regional Advisory Council meeting taken electronically by me on the 15th day of October, 1997, beginning at the hour of 8:30 a.m. at the Community Hall, Dot Lake, Alaska;

THAT the transcript is a true and correct transcript requested to be transcribed and thereafter transcribed by me to the best of my knowledge and ability;

THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or party interested in any way in this action.

DATED at Fairbanks, Alaska, this 22nd day of October, 1997.

Notary Public in and for Alaska My Commission Expires: 09/03/00

SEAL